

FINAL REPORT March 15, 2016

Compressed Natural Gas Feasibility Study

Capital Area Transit

4104 Poole Rd, Raleigh, NC 27610



TITLE

SECTION

Executive Summary		
Introduction	2	
Background	3	
 A. Vehicle Capital Costs B. Facility Retrofit Analysis C. Fueling Station Analysis D. Diesel Fuel and Natural Gas Cost E. CNG Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) Cost F. Raleigh Public Utility Biomethane Production G. CNG Training and Maintenance H. Environmental Impacts 		
Findings A. Project Costs and Savings B. Cash Flow / Net Present Values	4	
Conclusion	5	
Appendix:	6	
Estimates of Probable Cost, CNG Station and Facility Upgrades Fleet Replacement and Financial Analysis Scenarios Proposed layout For CNG Compound and Fuel Island Improvements		

Executive Summary

Wendel was hired to provide a compressed natural gas (CNG) feasibility study for GoRaleigh Transit. GoRaleigh has an interest in moving the current and a potentially expanding fixed route fleet from B5 biodiesel to CNG operations. The study provides an overview of the environmental benefits and the upfront and long term financial impacts of upgrading maintenance and fueling facilities and transitioning the fleet to CNG operations.

The decision to purchase compressed natural gas (CNG) transit vehicles can be based on a variety of financial, environmental, and political issues. A number of issues are reviewed in this report including the examination of:

- 1. Added Costs of Purchasing CNG vehicles
- 2. Potential Costs for Retrofitting Facilities
- 3. Construction and Operating Costs of a CNG Fueling Station
- 4. Fuel Cost Savings of CNG versus B5 Biodiesel
- 5. Training and Maintenance Requirements for CNG Vehicles
- 6. Environmental Impacts of Utilizing CNG Vehicles
- 7. Financial Analysis and Feasibility of the Overall CNG Project

Conversion of the GoRaleigh transit fleet to CNG operations provides positive environmental benefits and cost savings. The cost effectiveness will vary over the long term and is dependent on fuel prices and the number of replacement and potential expansion vehicles purchased for dedicated CNG operations.

The estimated upfront costs required to implement the CNG program include:

- Maintenance Facility Retrofits and Upgrades \$283,872
- New CNG Fueling Station and Fuel Island Upgrades \$4,707,538

The 20 year projected fuel savings operating buses on CNG versus B5 Biodiesel:

• \$54,370,095

Prior to 2008, CNG prices tracked consistently with crude oil prices. However, the relative price of CNG effectively "decoupled" and began to trade independently from crude oil prices beginning in mid-2008. The acknowledgement of vast national gas resources, new and effective means of gas extraction, and large available domestic supply have helped in holding CNG prices stable in the face of volatile prices in crude oil markets. Together with a

growing trend to reduce dependence on foreign oil and sustainability initiatives that support environmental protection and stewardship, the popularity of CNG as an alternative fuel source for transportation continues to gain momentum. Based upon recent projections through 2040, the U.S. EIA projects natural gas prices will continue to remain less expensive than gasoline or diesel fuel. Despite the current low retail gasoline and diesel prices in the United States, CNG's projected price stability and lower escalation of cost has the potential to further increase the savings demonstrated in this analysis.

The study examines the potential fuel cost savings of using natural gas as compared to equivalent biodiesel operations over a twenty (20) year period of financial analysis. Separate cost benefit scenarios are presented for regular fleet replacement and potential expansion for the Wake County plan. During the 2018-2037 period of the feasibility study, the analysis evaluates the cost effectiveness of GoRaleigh Transit investing in CNG vehicles, necessary CNG facility upgrades, and the cost of a new CNG fueling station.

During the 20 year period of the study and based on the cost benefit scenarios:

- The amount of B5 biodiesel estimated to be displaced by CNG fuel is:
 - \circ 11,968,600 gallons for the 79 buses from the current fleet
 - o 16,201,900 gallons for the 92 local service expansion buses
 - 5,273,600 gallons for the 32 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) expansion buses
- The accumulated differential fuel cost savings of operating CNG versus B5 biodiesel is estimated to be:
 - o \$19,789,905 replacing 79 buses from the current fleet from 2018-2028
 - \$25,957,854 implementing 92 local service expansion buses from 2018-2025
 - \$8,622,336 implementing 32 BRT expansion buses starting in 2022
- The initial increased cost of purchasing CNG buses is estimated to be:
 - \$3,634,000 for the 79 buses from the current fleet
 - \$4,232,000 for the 92 local service expansion buses
 - \circ \$1,472,000 for the 32 BRT expansion buses
- Based on the cost savings of CNG fuel versus B5 biodiesel, the initial simple payback period on the \$46,000 increased capital cost of a CNG bus is estimated to be 3 years and 9 months.

The recommended CNG fueling station compressor systems are considered to meet the anticipated CNG usage required for conversion of the current fleet as well as expansion of the fleet up to a total of 200 vehicles. Facility upgrade requirements for CNG vehicle operations and maintenance are examined. Estimates of probable cost are provided for the proposed CNG station, fueling island upgrades, and facility upgrades.

Carrying out all of the recommended fueling and facility upgrade options, and dedicating all planned future transit bus purchases to CNG operations during the period of 2018 through 2037 provides an internal rate of return of 17.6% and net present value savings of \$23,815,603 when compared to equivalent B5 biodiesel operations. Examining the separate components of the conversion to CNG individually provides:

- An internal rate of return of 8.5% and net present value savings of \$5,580,764 when comparing 79 CNG buses from the current fleet with equivalent B5 biodiesel operations
- An internal rate of return of 11.4% and net present value savings of \$8,953,946 when comparing 92 local service expansion buses with equivalent B5 biodiesel operations
- An internal rate of return of 13.9% and net present value savings of \$13,483,943 when comparing both the 92 local service and 32 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) or a total of 124 expansion buses with equivalent B5 biodiesel operations.

Natural gas is one of the cleanest burning of all fossil fuels. The positive environmental aspects of conversion to CNG are substantial. Each heavy duty CNG transit bus operated on CNG eliminates an average of 10,300 gallons of B5 biodiesel annually. Replacing this with natural gas, the net impact is an annual reduction of 20 metric tons of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) per bus. Over the life of the 20 year study period, dedicating all planned future transit bus purchases to CNG operations results in displacement of 33,444,100 gallons of B5 biodiesel resulting in a net Greenhouse Gas Emissions reduction of 64,760 metric tons of CO₂.

The annual reduction of 64,760 metric tons of CO_2 is equivalent to the annual greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE's) from 23,211 tons of waste sent to the landfill, the CO_2 emissions from 69,559,613 pounds of coal burned, the electricity use for 8,908 homes for a year, or the carbon sequestered by 1,660,513 tree seedlings grown for 10 years.

Section 2

Introduction

GoRaleigh Transit is exploring the utilization of Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicles for city bus operations. This report examines a variety of issues involved in transitioning the heavy duty transit vehicle fleet from B5 biodiesel to CNG. The study primarily focuses on the financial impacts of purchasing new replacement CNG buses for the current fixed route fleet and for the potential expanded transit fleet that may be purchased as a result of a Wake County transportation sales tax initiative. The feasibility of transitioning the light duty paratransit fleet to CNG is not included in this report. The primary vehicle financial impacts are with the added cost of the CNG engines, fuel systems, and storage tanks. Changes in maintenance practices and suggested CNG training requirements are included.

Some of the initial up front capital investments in CNG can be sizable, but in most cases can be considered a one-time expense. If you're building a costly fueling station such as that for CNG, this cost can be amortized or depreciated into the price of the fuel. This report provides the opinions of probable cost of a potential fueling station and examination of current and future projected fuel costs. Requirements and opinions of probable cost for recommended CNG facility upgrades are provided for the maintenance facility at 4104 Poole Road.

It is important to bear in mind when reading this report that the net present value of estimated annual cash flows and investment internal rates of return figures used are net differences in operating biodiesel vehicles compared to CNG vehicles. Included are the extra incremental capital investments in new CNG vehicles, facility improvements, and a new fueling station. Since CNG costs vary by region, this analysis focused on North Carolina. Environmental impacts of CO₂ for CNG versus B5biodiesel are also analyzed.

Section 3

Background

A number of issues need to be addressed when transitioning a fleet from traditional fuels to compressed natural gas. The following areas were addressed in the study:

- A. Added Vehicle Capital Cost for CNG
- B. Costs for Retrofitting Facilities
- C. CNG Fueling Station Analysis
- D. Diesel Fuel and Natural Gas Costs
- E. CNG Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) Cost
- F. Training and Maintenance Requirements
- G. Environmental Impacts of CO₂ Utilizing CNG vehicles

A. Vehicle Capital Costs

The GoRaleigh transit fixed route fleet is comprised of approximately 100 fixed route buses. The fleet consists primarily of 40 foot diesel buses and also includes 3 – 2008 diesel hybrid electric buses. Because of the substantial additional costs of vehicle storage tanks and fuel systems, good candidate vehicles for CNG operations should have long replacement cycles along with high annual mileage and/or high annual fuel usage to achieve satisfactory return on investment through differential fuel savings. Where public fueling is not available, substantial added fueling infrastructure costs further challenge the case for adequate payback on the upfront investments. More than 40 GoRaleigh vehicles consume between 11,000 – 13,000 gallons of diesel annually. Overall the GoRaleigh transit service fleet conservatively averages 10,300 gallons of diesel fuel use per bus annually. Because of the level of fuel consumption, the system's large transit vehicle fleet is an excellent candidate for CNG operations.

For the purposes of the financial scenario, Table I lists the fleet schedule used for 1) the current transit fleet replacements, 2) the projected expansion schedule for additional local bus service, 3) the projected 32 bus expansion for the Western Boulevard, New Bern Avenue, Capital Boulevard, and Wilmington Street Bus Rapid Transit Corridors.

Fiscal Year	Regular Fleet	Expansion Fleet	Bus Rapid Transit
2018	1	17	
2019		15	
2020	18	13	
2021	19	12	
2022		9	32
2023	7	10	
2024	2	8	
2025		8	
2026	21		
2027			
2028	11		

Table I.	Fleet Replacement	and Expansion	Projections
----------	-------------------	---------------	--------------------

The price differential for a new Gillig CNG bus with a 155 diesel gallon equivalent (DGE) CNG tank system and a Cummins ISL-G natural gas engine is estimated to be \$46,000 for the purpose of this study. This configuration is dedicated CNG operation. Cost figures were verified through a comparison of bid sources including the current GoRaleigh bus supplier Gillig Corporation of California.

The financial scenario period of the study extends to 2037. With an assumed bus lifecycle of 12 years, additional CNG vehicles upcharge costs are allocated in 2029 through 2037 for assumed future replacements of the CNG buses listed in Table I.

B. Facility Retrofit Analysis

Evaluating the cost to retrofit existing buildings where CNG vehicles may be maintained and stored is an important element of the study. This section of the report provides our code review analysis, design options and opinion of cost for the building system upgrades required and recommended for CNG vehicles. The facilities were evaluated to determine if the current HVAC systems meet the ventilation requirement of the building code. The



Looking down the center of the maintenance garage; fully heated and cooled with a geothermal system.

Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems were evaluated concerning the potential exposure to CNG vehicles along with the associated electrical upgrades required. Three facilities were identified by city staff for examination for CNG operations. The Maintenance Garage, Fueling Area, and Bush Wash facility all located at 4104 Poole Road were examined. No retrofit should be required for the bus wash facility.

1. Maintenance Facility

The existing maintenance garage has very few items that need to be modified to become compliant for servicing CNG vehicles. It is understood that this garage will provide 'major maintenance' as defined by NFPA 30A. Major maintenance is a building or portions of a building where major repairs, such as engine overhauls, painting, body and fender work, and repairs that require draining of the motor vehicle fuel tank, are performed on motor vehicles including associated floor space used for offices, break rooms, parts rooms, etc.

The existing ventilation/exhaust system consists of four (4), 16,000 cfm, roof mounted exhaust fans that are interconnected with louvers along the walls. Currently the louvers open and the exhaust fans run when the building is between heating and cooling. There is also an override switch to engage this system if the space needs to be exhausted for whatever reason. We recommend maintaining these two options and integrating in a gas detection system as well, so if methane was detected in the space, the louvers will open and the exhaust fans will start.

The existing exhaust fans do not meet the intent of the code for use with CNG, and the fans themselves are not suitable for a flammable gas. This requires replacing the four (4) exhaust fans with aluminum, up-blast style exhaust fans with explosion proof motors located outside of the airstream. These fans will be sized to provide 1 CFM of exhaust air per 12 cubic feet of room area. This is the minimum requirement per NFPA 52 to purge methane from the space. This will decrease the overall amount of air being exhausted so there will be no electrical impact on the system.

The duct stub penetrating through the roof currently drops to a distance of 24" below the deck. For methane applications the requirement is to exhaust within the top 18" of the space, so the existing duct stubs will be removed and should be re-worked to exhaust from a point nearly flush with the bottom side of the roof deck.

A gas detection system will be required throughout the existing maintenance garage to monitor and detect methane levels within the top 18" of the space. This system will be interlocked with an emergency exhaust system so upon detection of a predetermined level of methane, the louvers open, exhaust fans start and the space is purged. Additionally, the rooftop units supplying air to this space will shut down.

The existing light fixtures were investigated and found to be vented, so rising gas cannot be trapped within the fixture housing, making the fixtures code compliant. All conduit passing through the top 18 inches of the space was verified to already have seal-off fittings to meet the intent of the code for CNG.

According to architectural plans, the existing wall between the repair bays and front office suite calls for a one hour rated fire wall with all penetrations appearing to be properly firerated. Wendel recommends this wall be a 2-hour rated assembly. Wendel investigated the makeup of the precast panel walls with manufacturer Tindal Corporation. Based on the actual construction of the pre-cast concrete wall, it was verified the wall already has the make-up of a two-hour rated fire wall. The same issue exists with the current precast concrete wall between the maintenance repair bays, 2nd floor parts storage mezzanine, and mechanical room. Based on the actual construction of the pre-cast concrete wall, it was verified that this wall also already has the make-up of a two-hour rated fire wall. There is a need to seal all of the ductwork and conduit penetrations with 2-hour fire stopping and sealing on this wall. The caulking used between all the precast panel joints on both walls will need to be investigated. There may be a need to remove and reinstall new caulking if it is not found to have at least a two hour fire rating.

It is also recommended to install a two-hour fire rated partition between the maintenance repair bays and the corridor leading to the back maintenance offices, parts room, and locker/break room. This will eliminate the need to classify this portion of the building. A double door large enough to fit a forklift through could be installed in this location to not limit the day to day operations of the facility.

Maintenance Garage Upgrades – Cost Estimate

The opinion of probable cost for retrofitting the Maintenance Garage is estimated to be \$283,872. A detailed cost estimate is attached to this report. The major cost components are:

- a. Mechanical Modifications \$ 22,100
- b. Hazardous Gas Detection System \$167,500
- c. Electrical Modifications \$4,315
- d. Architectural Modifications \$27,860
- e. Contingency and Project Soft Costs \$62,097

2. Current Fueling Canopy and Extension

There are two existing fueling lanes used for diesel fueling of the fleet. There is an unused, third fueling lane with provision to expand. The canopy extending from the fueling building currently covers all three fueling lanes. Based on the drawings and site drainage, it is apparent that provisions were made to add a fourth fueling lane and extend the canopy to cover all four lanes.

The approach for CNG fueling will be to initially provide a total of two (2) CNG dispensers. One dispenser would be installed on the unused third lane and the second would be installed on a new fourth fueling lane. Both lanes will require raised concrete islands for the CNG dispensers. Utilities would be extended to serve the equipment located here. This will allow all fueling operations



Existing fueling lanes 1 and 2, closest to building, used for diesel fueling. The 3rd lane will be fitted out, a 4th lane created, and the canopy extended. Lanes 3 and 4 will be used for CNG fueling.

to occur within the same area, and will not have any impact on the current flow of traffic and operations around the site. Additional equipment such as fare vaults and fluid reels are included in the estimates and would be installed at fueling lanes 3 and 4 to mimic what is currently existing in lanes 1 and 2. Wendel recommends extending the overhead canopy to cover all four fueling lanes and provide vents in the end of the canopy to ensure natural gas would never be trapped up at the roof. As the diesel fleet is phased out, diesel fuel dispensers on lanes one and two would be replaced with additional CNG dispensers.

Because natural gas is being dispensed under the canopy, the top 18 inches under the canopy are classified as Class 1 Division 2 (explosion proof) for all electrical installations. The existing raceway system serving the pendant mounted lighting will need to be reworked down so it is located outside of the classified 18 inches.

The layout of the site is already designed to handle an additional two future fueling lanes and trench drains are already in place to handle this expansion. In order to support the additional canopy, three new steel columns and concrete footings would be added. Each column will be protected with two steel bollards with HDPE sleeves. The canopy extension is approximately 20' wide by 93'-6" long. It is recommended to have the same basic structure consisting of steel girders and sloped open web joists. Metal fascia would be extended around the new canopy to match the existing. The metal roof deck would be tied into the existing along with 2" of tapered polyisocyanurate insulation and a TPO membrane roof. Two additional PVC downspouts would need to be added at the end columns and tied into the existing underground drainage system. All of the steel to include columns, girders, joists and metal deck would be painted to match the existing.

Although, not part of the CNG fueling needs, it was mentioned that the breezeway between the fuel office and server room at the center of the fueling complex building is having a windtunnel effect. With the CNG station location proposed, it is recommended as a part of this project that a CMU wall be placed along with a 3 foot by 7 foot man door installed on the end of the breezeway nearest the proposed new CNG station compound. The costs for the breezeway improvement, canopy extension and other improvements are included in cost estimates for the overall fueling station project.

C. CNG Fueling Station

According to the US Energy Information Annual Energy Outlook, natural gas used for transportation equates to less than 1% of total natural gas consumed. This percentage is expected to increase in the coming years based on the reasonable price of natural gas fuel compared to the historically higher price of petroleum based fuels. The biggest challenge to natural gas use for transportation overall is fleets cannot convert their vehicles without a place to fuel, and fueling stations require significant upfront capital investments. In order for natural gas vehicles to be feasible for transit operations, fuel must be readily available and convenient. An offsite station would not feasibly service this transit fleet.

1. Fueling Station Type

An analysis of fueling requirements was conducted for full implementation of the current fleet replacement and the two fleet expansion plans identified in this study. There a typically two types of CNG stations, time-fill and fastfill (see diagrams right). The main structural differences between the two systems are the amount of storage capacity available and the size of the compressor(s). These factors determine the amount of fuel dispensed and time it takes for CNG to be delivered. Drivers filling up at a fast-fill station experience similar fill times to a conventional fueling station. Because of the equipment requirements for more rapid fueling,



Fast-Fill Station



fast-fill is also a more expensive option to implement. The option of a time-fill CNG station was examined and found to not be feasible. This is primarily due to the daily fueling requirements and operational logistics including probing, cleaning, fluid checks and other necessary end of day service requirements occurring at the fueling islands. Based on these transit operating characteristics, space constraints, and fueling requirements, a fast-fill station is the best option.

2. Fueling Station Requirements & Location

The CNG fleet would be largely procured over the next 8-10 years. With this short timeframe it is most cost effective to purchase up front the CNG refueling infrastructure to accommodate much of the future phase in of transit vehicles. The station requirements for this study are based on the fleet replacement and expansion schedules referenced earlier in

this report. This includes initially adding 38 replacement and potentially 57 expansion transit coaches during the first four fiscal years of 2018-2021. Provided improvements are made in increased gas pressure and flow rates, the station compressors initially proposed are sized to handle this as well as the majority of future growth over the next several fiscal years.

3. CNG Compressor Compound

Several areas on the site were investigated for the potential installation of a compressor compound. As different areas were evaluated, it became clear there was one location on the site that was more appealing than all others. There is an area approximately 75'x75' located directly north of the fuel building and west of the dumpster area, which has a close proximity to the existing fueling lanes. This area currently provides four (4) parking spaces for broken-down buses. This location offers the least impact to the existing parking, bus flow and operations on the site. According to maintenance staff, this bus staging can be easily relocated to accommodate the new station.

The mechanical equipment within the station would initially consist of a manually regenerated single vessel natural gas dryer, three (3) 400 HP natural gas compressors, a bank of three (3) stacked 37' storage vessels, buffer fill panel and de-fueling panel.



Proposed location for CNG station.

With proper maintenance, this equipment has an expected life of approximately 20 years. The intent of the design is to size and install compressor equipment that meets a minimum demand for the next 5 to 10 years of anticipated CNG usage. Based on the currently available 25 psi gas pressures, the proposed station design can accommodate a demand of 125 – 135 CNG buses over 7 hours of fueling. Two of the proposed compressors can provide an eight minute fill per bus, filling one after another for the entire fleet, on two separate dispensers. Based on the information we received, the current fueling process takes anywhere from 8 to 11 minutes to complete by the time the bus is fueled, fare box emptied, fluids topped off, etc. The third compressor is initially redundant to ensure the station is fully operational in the event a compressor is down for maintenance, but would offer the required additional capacity for a faster fill when all compressors are up and running, as well as additional vehicle fueling capacity on a third or fourth dispenser.

As the fleet grows and is expanded, it can be expected that there will be additional time demands and higher operating costs associated with the time necessary to fuel a larger fleet of buses. However, modern CNG station equipment when properly designed can provide refueling times comparable to those experienced dispensing diesel fuel. Therefore the cost increases would be neutral whether operating the fleet on diesel or CNG.

A new gas service would need to be brought in from the southeast corner of the site and routed along the south side for approximately 450', and then north to the new compound location. The concrete lot would be saw cut and trenched as required to accommodate the new gas pipe installation. The piping would emerge from below grade and a meter set assembly with a regulator would be located along the north wall of the fuel building at the west corner. PSNC Energy is the gas provider currently looking at the options of providing the gas supply from the existing pipe at the street, which will allow the station to receive approximately 25psi of gas pressure. The second option PSNC is looking into is extending a pipe from the nearest transmission line to provide a higher pressure and volume to the station. PSNC is evaluating the cost of the installation and anticipated gas usage over the next 10 years. Based on anticipated usage they will provide an additional cost, if any, to bring in the larger supply. The current system with lower 25psi gas pressure results in larger, more expensive equipment. If significantly higher gas pressures are not obtained, a fourth compressor may be required in later years as the fleet expands beyond 135 vehicles. The current estimated equipment cost for one compressor is \$475,000. The initial CNG station cost estimates are based on three compressors initially with the assumption of the current 25psi gas pressure available. All of these variables would need to be determined prior to the actual final design of the CNG station compressor requirements.

The electrical equipment for the CNG fueling compound and fueling dispensing under the canopy consists of a pad mounted utility transformer that will supply a service rated 2500A integrated switchboard consisting of metering, main circuit breaker, 480V distribution section, generator connections with conductor terminal hookups, along with integrated 45kVA dry-type transformer and 208Y/120V distribution, all installed in weather proof NEMA 3R enclosure. The generator and main circuit breakers would be interlocked to allow for manual generator transfer. The existing pad-mounted 500kVA transformer presently located near the fueling station site will be replaced by Duke Energy with a 2500kVA transformer with 480Y/277V secondary. This transformer will pick up the existing load of the maintenance building, as well as the additional load of the CNG fueling equipment. There would be means to bring a temporary generator on site and connect into the electrical system to provide temporary power to the compound if the utility power was interrupted and an emergency backup generator is not provided in the final design.

The addition of an emergency backup generator should be considered for the project. A 500 kW generator to operate only one compressor is estimated to add \$150,000 in equipment costs. A 1500 kW generator enabling operations of the entire system during a power outage is recommended. A 1500 kW generator is estimated to add \$375,000 in equipment costs to the project and insures that the critical vehicle fueling operations are not disrupted in emergency situations. The current site generator, immediately adjacent to the proposed CNG compressor compound, is already dedicated to critical life safety operations and cannot be used for the new CNG station.

Emergency Shutdown Device (ESD) stations would be provided within the compound at the south entrance, through the fuel building and at two locations near the north side of the compound where you enter through the bollards. The entire compound would be protected by bollards along the perimeter on the two exposed sides, north and west. The existing concrete lot would be saw cut and removed at the locations of the compressors. Structurally designed inertia block foundations would be installed to mount the compressors.

The site layout for the CNG compound and fuel Island improvements is depicted on the following page. This layout is preliminary in nature and would be subject to final design

requirements and approvals. In the event a fourth compressor and permanent backup generator system is added there would also need to be adjustments made to the site layout.



Preliminary Layout of CNG Compound and Fuel Island Improvements

CNG Station and Fueling Island Upgrades - Cost Estimate

The opinion of probable cost for the CNG Station and Fueling Island upgrades and expansion is estimated to be \$4,707,538. The detailed cost estimate is attached to this report. The major cost components are:

- a. CNG Compressor* Compound \$ 2,219,150
- b. Fast Fill Station and Fueling Islands \$558,700
- c. Fueling Systems Electrical \$614,758
- d. Contingency and Project Soft Costs \$1,314,930
 - * Fourth Compressor Add Option; Equipment Only \$475,000

D. Diesel Fuel and Natural Gas Costs

Estimating future diesel prices for 2018 is highly uncertain. North Carolina recently reduced fuel tax rates from 37.5 cents per gallon to 36 cents per gallon for the period April 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. The United States federal excise tax is 24.4 cents per gallon for diesel fuel. The transit system does not pay fuel taxes. In an effort to reduce America's dependence on foreign oil, reduce urban emissions and reduce greenhouse gases, the federal government offers a number of tax incentives to encourage the use of natural gas vehicles including a 50-cent tax credit per gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) of compressed natural gas (CNG). The credit went into effect October 1, 2006 and originally expired December 31, 2009. Congress has extended this credit several times. The most recent extension of this incentive occurred on December 18, 2015 when this provision was included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (PL 114-113, H.R. 2029). This law extends the incentive for 2016 and retroactively for 2015. Although not a part of this financial analysis, if this provision continues to be extended, substantial addition savings in annual fuel costs would be realized.

For the purposes of financial analysis we have used the projection from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) latest Short-Term Energy Outlook published in January 2016. Based on this report the diesel fuel retail price averaged \$2.71 a gallon in 2015, is projected to average \$2.29 a gallon in 2016, and \$2.59 gallon in 2017. We have used what should be a very conservative fuel benchmark of \$2.50 per gallon starting in 2018 for the financial comparison to CNG fuel.

According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), of the 2,300 trillion cubic feet of technically recoverable natural gas resources are estimated to be in the U.S., almost a quarter is held in shale rock formations. With the development of shale gas extraction techniques, the verified U.S. natural gas useable reserves have increased and the resulting impact has been more stable natural gas prices than that of oil based fuels such as diesel and gasoline.

The last Annual Energy Outlook 2015 (AEO2015) prepared by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) was released in April 2015. The EIA projected that the inflation rate, as measured by growth in the Consumer Price Index (CPI), averages 2.0% from 2013 to 2040

in the Reference case, compared with the actual average annual CPI inflation rate of 2.9% from 1983 to 2013. A number of scenarios are presented for future fuel cost projections with the EIA's normal reference case projecting average annual increases of 2.6% for gasoline and diesel. Although it is difficult to judge among the differing EIA scenarios, we have applied a reasonable 2% annual inflation rate to the \$2.50 baseline for the B5 biodiesel prices in the financial payback scenario. A 2% annual inflation rate results in a fiscal year 2037 projected price of \$3.64 a gallon for diesel fuel.

The AEO2015 also presented a variety of scenarios for future natural gas prices. The EIA projections vary among a number of scenarios with a 2% annual increase in prices in the reference case. The current New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) gas futures for March 2016 project natural gas prices in the \$2.059 (\$.30/DGE) per dekatherm to a high of \$4.296 (\$.62/DGE) in March 2028. In the EIA High Oil and Gas Resource case, natural gas production continues to increase and the Henry Hub natural gas spot price falls from \$3.14 per dekatherm in 2015 to \$3.12 per dekatherm in 2020 before rising to \$4.38 per dekatherm in 2040. Natural gas prices have remained consistently low and stable since 2008. Based on current indicators it is reasonable to assume relatively flat natural gas prices through 2018 and slightly increased prices for the 2018 to 2037 timeframe of this feasibility analysis. With natural gas representing only 43% of the price for a diesel gallon equivalent of CNG, we have applied a .75% overall annual inflation rate to the \$1.40/DGE 2018 baseline initial DGE CNG price in the financial payback scenario. A .75% annual inflation rate results in a fiscal year 2037 projected CNG DGE price of \$1.59. The following section details the other cost components in the DGE component price.

E. CNG Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) Cost

Gasoline and diesel fuel are dispensed and sold on a liquid gallon basis. Compressed natural gas as a transportation fuel is sold on a gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) basis. There is not an adopted standard for the dispensing of a diesel gallon equivalent (DGE). On a liquid gallon basis, diesel fuel has a higher energy content per gallon than unleaded gasoline. Natural gas as a commodity is priced on dekatherm (DTH) basis or a unit of energy equal to 10 therms or one million British thermal units (MMBtu). There is 1000 cubic feet in a dekatherm. A GGE of compressed natural gas is equal to 126.67 cubic feet of natural gas. A DGE of compressed natural gas is equal to 143.94 cubic feet. To more accurately reflect the cost comparison of the fuels, we have used a buildup approach to the cost per DGE of the CNG fuel. The DGE cost is based on natural gas cost, CNG equipment maintenance reserve, drying and compression cost and capital recovery.

The following Table II depicts the breakdown of the estimated fiscal year 2018 initial baseline built-up CNG cost per GGE for the financial scenarios.

Component	DGE	Percentage
1. Natural Gas	\$0.60	43%
2. Maintenance Reserve	\$0.25	18%
3. Drying and Compression	\$0.20	14%
4. Capital Recovery	\$0.35	25%
Total Cost	\$1.40	100.0%

Table II. CNG Cost Breakdown per DGE

1. Natural Gas Cost

The latest published North Carolina Natural Gas price (EIA Release Date: 1/29/2016 gas price update) for industrial companies (based on volume used) was \$5.57 per 1,000 cubic feet (dekatherm) for October 2015 or a DGE price of \$0.80. The prior 12 month average was \$6.15. The local gas supplier PSNC has a "Large Quantity General Service – 145 Rate Schedule" that would apply to the proposed level of gas usage. That rate for January 2016 is equivalent to approximately \$3.40 per dekatherm or DGE price of \$0.49. This price is a firm rate for uninterrupted gas service. The average natural gas spot price at the benchmark Henry Hub for December 2015 of \$1.93 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) was the lowest monthly average since March 1999. EIA's latest Short-Term Energy Outlook (STEO) published in January 2016 expects natural gas prices to rise, averaging \$2.65/MMBtu in 2016 and \$3.22/MMBtu in 2017. If GoRaleigh worked with gas marketers to lock in all or a portion of future

commodity pricing, the city should be able to achieve a rate near \$.60 per DGE beginning in fiscal year 2018.

2. Maintenance Reserve

The CNG fueling facility maintenance costs typically are based upon a gallon equivalent cost. Industry average maintenance costs run anywhere from \$0.20 to \$0.30 per gallon depending on the size and complexity of the station. This cost allocation covers the annual maintenance recommended by the manufacturer as well as the periodic overhauls of the compressors. Typical costs for the size station proposed in this study are estimated to be \$0.25 per gallon. It should be noted that this is not an annual expenditure but a maintenance reserve fund that would be set aside to fund periodic and future equipment maintenance. A vehicle consuming 10,300 GGE of CNG would be allocated an equivalent of \$2,575 per year.

3. Drying and Compression

Drying and compression typically includes the energy costs to run the station, primarily electric. It is estimated that this cost should be in the \$.20 per GGE range for this size station. This is an overall industry average based on local utility rates in the Raleigh metropolitan area. Operating the time-fill station in the evening hours at off peak rates should help lower energy costs.

4. Capital Recovery

The amortized cost of equipment and cost of capital is factored into the per gallon price at a rate of \$.35 per gallon. Transit Authorities may sometimes assume no cost of capital as those costs would be recovered as a part of the overall savings. A private company using capital market debt could use a figure as high as \$.50 per gallon equivalent.

F. Raleigh Public Utility Biomethane Production

The Raleigh Public Utility may implement an anaerobic digestion program to recover bio-gas. Excluding water vapor, about half of the recovered gas is generally methane and most of the rest is carbon dioxide, with small amounts of nitrogen, oxygen, and hydrogen, and variable trace amounts of hydrogen sulfide and siloxanes. It is similar in nature but not the same as pipeline natural gas which is generally composed of 95% or higher methane content.

In order to be a usable product for bus operations, the bio-gas would need to be refined. Cummins Westport does have standard fuel quality requirements that can be found online at the following link, <u>http://www.cumminswestport.com/fuel-quality-calculator</u>. Any refined biomethane gas used in the ISL-G natural gas engine used in transit buses would need to meet the minimum requirements based on this model or it could potentially impact engine warranties.

There has also been discussion of trucking the product to the Poole Road Transit Operations and Maintenance facility. It would seem this may not be feasible from the standpoint of planned fueling station infrastructure or normal bus fueling operations. The use of recovered gas from an anaerobic digestion program and the gases delivery and dispensing would need additional study and investigation to identify potential impacts with gas quality issues and current operations at the facility.

An optimum scenario would be to have the Public Utility introduce the refined biogases into PSNC Energy's existing distribution system while meeting PSNC's established "Biomethane Gas Quality Specification" requirements. This would eliminate any gas quality concerns for either the GoRaleigh transit system or the Public Utility and still allow for the contracting of fuel between the entities.

G. CNG Training and Maintenance Requirements

Like conventional vehicles, CNG vehicles require proper maintenance. It is important to have trained technicians to properly maintain and service CNG vehicles. Because of the unique aspects of high pressure gas, the specialized equipment and the best practices required when transitioning to the CNG fuel source, additional training in the area of CNG fuel storage and fuel systems is recommended. Original equipment manufacturers generally fully back the vehicles they produce with standard warranties, adequate parts inventory, and trained technicians available to assist you as needed. As CNG vehicles are introduced into the fleet, additional targeted CNG engine diagnostic and maintenance training will be a benefit to ongoing operations. Overall training regimens for CNG vehicles should be continuous and ongoing. It is recommended that continuing education and training programs be developed to reinforce any new standard operating procedures and safety requirements necessary for CNG vehicles.

1. Specialized CNG Training

A variety of training resources are available for compressed natural gas vehicles. An important consideration and a vital part of fleet safety is ensuring the integrity of CNG storage and fuel systems. The National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) requires all on-board CNG storage cylinders manufactured after March 1995 be visually inspected every three years or 36,000 miles whichever comes first. In addition, cylinders should be inspected following any accident. It would be recommended that you initially designate an adequate number of maintenance employees to work on CNG storage and fuel systems and have those employees receive CNG Fuel System Inspector training before or immediately after vehicles arrive and are put in service. The time required for this would generally be a one and a half to two day class and a certification exam. As a longer term strategy the consideration of modifying or adopting a new job classification such as "Fuel System Specialist" for vehicle inspections and/or a "Fueling System Technician" with training focused on maintaining the fueling station compression and dispensing equipment could be considered. Course information and training center information can be found through the Clean Vehicle Education Foundation. Additional sources of information can also be found online or through networking with peer systems who have operated CNG transit fleets.

2. Vehicle Maintenance

Costs associated with a heavy duty dedicated CNG engine versus an equivalent diesel engine has narrowed. Although there is not good published data, it is generally accepted that the cost of maintaining a CNG engine is slightly higher than a pre-2010 diesel engine. The costs are similar however when compared to a post 2010 diesel engine and the increased maintenance requirements due to the 2010 EPA requirements. At the time of this study, there is not a large amount of additional reliable cost data to validate true maintenance costs of CNG versus diesel engines. Many published articles suggest little or no cost difference when coupled with good maintenance practices. We found no reliable data published for transit operations and have projected no additional costs for CNG vehicle maintenance in the feasibility cost model.

Dedicated natural gas engines in medium and heavy-duty diesel powered vehicles change from compression ignition to spark-ignition. Overall, maintenance for the ISL-G Cummins dedicated natural gas engine is similar to that of diesel engines, but there are a few key differences. Spark plugs will need to be replaced per the original equipment manufacturers recommended maintenance schedules. Motor oil specifically formulated for natural gas engines is required. If diesel engine oil is used, valve torching, piston scuffing and reduction in spark plug life will occur. For the ISL G, valve adjustment must be performed at intervals recommended by the engine manufacturer. This is an important step in achieving longer engine life. Because the ISL-G does not require a Diesel Particulate Filter (DPF) or Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) systems, operators do not need to perform regenerations, and Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF) is not required. The fleet should save money by eliminating diesel emissions fluid, and diesel particulate filters and the regeneration issues sometimes accompanying the system with idling and low vehicles speeds. In the longer term, newer CNG engines have reduced

maintenance requirements over their predecessors. In the case of the heavy duty transit vehicle, Cummins generally provides on-site training as required for mechanics maintaining the ISL-G engine that would be utilized in the buses.

3. CNG Station Maintenance and Training

Like any other equipment, CNG station equipment requires preventive and corrective maintenance. Regular station maintenance will help prevent larger costs in the future. The station manufacturer will provide a comprehensive inspection and preventive maintenance program for the station equipment. The maintenance may be performed by facilities staff or be contracted to a third-party service provider. Although generally included, it is recommended that the transit system require the CNG station manufacturer provide on-site training on equipment to designated facilities staff, mechanic(s) or fueling system technician(s) that will include all maintenance and operations requirements for the station. Designated staff should work with a station trainer during initial station start up to understand all the required maintenance and operations requirements. After a station has been successfully in operation a few months, it is also recommended that the same staff receive training on EPA. MPCA, and local rules and regulations. Training consisting of 3-5 days of classroom and technical training on compressor and fueling station system maintenance (if available) at the compressor manufacturing plant or training center is also encouraged. If the transit system wants this included in the procurement, it can be required at the time the specifications are issued for a station.

H. Environmental Impacts

Natural gas is one of the cleanest burning of all fossil fuels. The positive environmental aspects of conversion to CNG are substantial. The carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission coefficients of different fuels can be found on the U.S. Energy Information website: http://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/co2_vol_mass.cfm

The following a comparison of fuels relevant to this feasibility study. Because GoRaleigh utilizes biodiesel, the CO₂ environmental statements presented in this study are based on a

comparison of CNG to B5 biodiesel as opposed to ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel. The following are the carbon dioxide emission coefficients by differing fuel types.

Carbon Dioxide Emission Coefficients

- Natural Gas = 119.9 pounds per 1000 cubic feet (dekatherm)
- Natural Gas = 15.2 pounds per GGE
- Gasoline = 19.6 pounds per gallon
- Natural Gas = 17.3 pounds per DGE
- Diesel Fuel = 22.4 pounds per gallon (ultra-low sulfur)
- Biodiesel* = 21.56 pounds per gallon (B5)

* According to the U.S. Department of Energy, Alternative Fuels Data Center, using biodiesel reduces greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE's) because carbon dioxide released from biodiesel combustion is offset by the carbon dioxide absorbed while growing the soybeans or other feedstock. Using B20 reduces carbon dioxide emissions by 15%.

A gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) is 126.67 cubic feet of natural gas. A diesel gallon equivalent (DGE) is 143.94 cubic feet of natural gas. A metric ton is 2,200 pounds. Each gallon of gasoline replaced with CNG represents a 4.4 pound or 22% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. Each gallon of B5 biodiesel replaced with CNG represents a 4.26 pound or 20% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE's).

Each heavy duty CNG transit bus operated on CNG is projected to eliminate the use of 10,300 gallons of B5 biodiesel annually. Replacing this with natural gas, the net impact is an annual reduction of 20 metric tons of CO_2 per bus. The annual reduction of 20 metric tons of CO_2 is equivalent to the annual greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE's) from 7.2 tons of waste sent to the landfill, the CO_2 emissions from 21,484 pounds of coal burned, the electricity use for 2.8 homes for a year, or the carbon sequestered by 513 tree seedlings grown for 10 years.

Over the life of the 20 year study period, dedicating all planned future transit bus purchases to CNG operations results in displacement of 33,444,100 gallons of B5 biodiesel resulting in a net Greenhouse Gas Emissions reduction of 64,760 metric tons of CO2.

Section 4

Findings

A life cycle analysis provides an economic analysis of the proposed capital investments that are expected in order to provide CNG vehicles while recognizing the change in operating costs of both the facilities and fleet. The long term financial analysis of return on investment supports the capital outlays necessary to retrofit facilities, procure CNG fueled vehicles as outlined in the study, and build fueling station infrastructure. It is recommended that the transit system construct a station on site. The most feasible location for a CNG station for operations as detailed in the study is adjacent to current fueling operations.

The financial feasibility of the switch to CNG is feasible beginning in 2018 when considering both current fleet and expand fleet considerations. If the expansion plans are not carried out, the switch to CNG would be feasible beginning in 2020 and beyond as larger numbers of vehicles begin being replaced.

A. Project Costs and Savings

The following tables highlight additional costs (red) and resulting cost saving items related to the CNG items in the report.

Category	Fuel Savings Utilizing CNG vs. B5 biodiesel	Increased Bus Costs for CNG Engine, Fuel System, Tanks	20 Year Net Savings After CNG Bus Upcharge
Overall CNG Program	\$54,370,095	(\$12,446,833)	*\$41,923,262
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$19,789,905	(\$4,454,333)	\$15,335,572
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$25,957,854	(\$6,029,833)	\$20,480,021
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$8,622,336	(\$1,962,667)	\$6,107,669

Table III.

* Does Not Include Facility or CNG Fueling System Cost Estimates Listed Below.

Category	Equipment and Construction	Contingency and Project Soft Costs	Total Estimate
Maintenance Facility Retrofits and Upgrades	(\$221,775)	(\$62,097)	(\$283,872)
CNG Fueling Station and Fuel Island Upgrades	(\$3,392,608)	(\$1,314,930)	(\$4,707,538)

B. Cash Flow / Net Present Values

The annual cash flows are calculated as the net of capital outlays, increased vehicle costs, vehicle maintenance costs and projected fuel savings. The **net present value** (**NPV**) of a project is determined by the summation of all annual cost savings (inflow cash) and all annual debts (outflow cash) over the life of the project stated in current dollars.

The total annual expenditure (savings & costs) is brought back to a present worth using a discount rate and is shown in the Cash Flows tables, which can found in the Appendix at the end of this study. A discount rate is defined as, "The rate of return required by an investor to accept the risks of a certain investment." This rate typically includes the inflation cost of money and the potential "risk-free" investment an owner could make. This rate differs based on the individual owner, their standard practice, and their tolerance for risk. Traditionally, this rate is typically greater than inflation. For the purpose of this analysis, a rate of 2.5% is assumed.

The internal rate of return calculation is used for a series of cash flows represented by the numbers in values. These cash flows do not have to be even, and may be negative or positive based on net expenditures versus revenues. Cash flows must occur at regular intervals, such as annually in our case. The **internal rate of return (IRR)** is the interest rate received for an investment consisting of payments (negative values) and income (positive values) that occur at regular periods.

The project cash flow analysis scenarios include estimated capital outlays for the increased cost of CNG buses, facility upgrades to the maintenance building, construction of a new fast-fill CNG station with fueling island upgrade and expansion and projected fuel savings. A full CNG implementation of the current fleet as well as all planned expansion of new local and bus rapid transit services provides a net total project savings of \$36,931,852 with overall positive cash flows beginning in year nine. Assuming a discount rate of 2.5% generates a NPV savings of \$23,815,603 and an IRR of 17.6%.

The Appendix contains the fleet replacement schedules, fuel cost projections, and the separate cash flow analysis spreadsheets for a variety of scenarios presented in this report.

Section 5

Conclusion

The move to begin transitioning the transit fleet to compressed natural gas (CNG) makes sense and warrants consideration as an economic as well as environmental enhancement to vehicle use in transit operations. The recommended strategy would be to buy new CNG transit buses as the older buses are replaced and the transit fleet is expanded.

The reliability of new heavy duty CNG transit buses has continued to improve. The introduction of new CNG engines, improved technology, and more reliable storage and dispensing systems has improved the operations and maintenance experience in all climates.

With an initially well designed maintenance building, the required retrofits and cost for the maintenance facility upgrades are not extensive compared to many other transit projects.

The business case analysis in this study comparing operations with CNG to B5 biodiesel provides positive fuel cost savings and reduced greenhouse gas emissions and the ability to yield a short term payback of higher vehicle purchase costs in under four years. Should diesel prices escalate further than in the financial comparisons the cost savings could increase substantially.

Should a decision be made to move ahead, strategic discussion with stakeholders and partners including the natural gas and electric providers should be undertaken early to make certain infrastructure is adequately planned. A dedicated, on-site, fast-fill CNG fueling system is preferred and with the nine month to one year timeframe required to order and construct a CNG station, caution should be taken to ensure that fueling station infrastructure is operational when heavy duty CNG transit buses are received and placed into service.

Section 6

Appendix
	OPINION OF PROBABLE COST V		ndel
Project Title: Estimate: Location: Dwner: File Name:	GoRaleigh CNG Feasibility Study FUELING STATION CONSTRUCTION SUMM Raleigh, NC GoRaleigh Transit GoRaleigh Cost Estimate.xlsx	ARY Project No.: Revision Date: Orig. Date: Estimated by: Checked by: Approved by:	479301 3/11/16 01/21/16 CBC JTW JPH
This estimate is	a total design and construction estimate		
ITEM NO. DESCR	RIPTION	ESTIMATED UNIT PRICE QUANTITY UNIT MAT.& LAB.	ESTIMATED AMOUNT
<u>CNG C</u> Equipm	OMPRESSOR COMPOUND ent, Installation and Construction Total	Sub Total	2,219,150
<mark>FAST F</mark> Equipm	FILL STATION AND CANOPY nent, Installation and Construction Total	Sub Total	558,700
<u>FUELIN</u> Equipm	NG ELECTRICAL WORK Internet and Construction Total	Sub Total	614,758 -
	FUE	LING STATION CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY TOTAL	3,392,608
10.0% 9.0% 5.0%	Contingency Design CM Fee		339,260.79 305,334.71 169,630.39
1.5% 2.5%	General Conditions - \$50K Pre-construction + duration (or +/- \$45k/month) Permit/Testing and Inspection Allowance Bonds/Insurance Allowance	\$315K T&M General Conditions for 7 month field	365,000.00 50,889.12 84,815.20
		ADDITIONAL PROJECT CHARGES	1,314,930 \$ 4,707,538

K

OPINION OF PROBABLE COST WORKSHEET

Project Title: Estimate: Location: Owner: File Name: GoRaleigh CNG Feasibility Study CNG FACILITY - FUELING Raleigh, NC GoRaleigh Transit GoRaleigh Cost Estimate.xlsx



Project No.: 479301 Revision Date: 3/11/16 Orig. Date: 01/21/16 Estimated by: CBC Checked by: JTW Approved by: JPH

EM D. DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED	UNIT	UNIT PRICE MAT.& LAB.	ESTIMATED AMOUNT
CNG Compressor Compound (79' x 30' Area)				
Natural Gas Service: 620' 4" pipe + Tap by PSNC	1	ea	-	-
Compressor Package (400HP NG300E 790 scfm)	3	ea	475,000.00	1,425,000.00
Single Vessel Dryer with Manual Regen	1	ea	135,000.00	135,000.00
Storage Vessels (37' Tubes)	1	ea	120,000.00	120,000.00
Starter Panel	1	ea	120,000.00	120,000.00
Site Control Panel	1	ea	35,000.00	35,000.00
Priority Panel	1	ea	85,000.00	85,000.00
Excavate additional 2' at each compressor	55	су	50.00	2,750.00
(3) Concrete inertia blocks; (10 x 24.5 x 2.5)	68	су	400.00	27,200.00
Storage cylinder foundation	3	су	400.00	1,200.00
Bollards	38	ea	1,000.00	38,000.00
Compound Installation	1	ls	200,000.00	200,000.00
Equipment Freight	1	ea	30,000.00	30,000.00
		Sub Total		2,219,150
<u>Fast Fill Station and Fueling Islands</u>	2	63	40 000 00	80 000 00
Additional Tubing & Valves	1	ls		15,000,00
Bollards	8	62		8 000 00
Sawout concrete	1	ls	1,000.00	1 500 00
Trenching including backfill	500	IS If	20.00	10 000 00
12" concrete paving w #4 @16' oc ew	1 000	sf	18.00	18,000.00
Hose Reels	1,000	ea	6 500 00	6 500 00
Fare Box Vault	2	ea	30,000,00	60,000,00
Card Reader	2	ea	10,000,00	20,000,00
Vacuum System	2	ea	10,000.00	20,000.00
Dispenser Pad/curb @ 3 x 90 x 6"	2	ea	10,000.00	20.000.00
Signage/Pavement Markings	- 1	ls	2,500.00	2,500.00
Equipment Freight	1	ea	5.000.00	5.000.00
Fast Fill Installation	1	ls	180.000.00	180.000.00
Canopy Extension 20' x 93.5'	1.870	sf	50.00	93,500.00
Canopy - Concrete Paving Repair	1,870	sf	10.00	18,700.00
	,	Sub Total		558,700
Fueling Systems Electrical				
Electrical Utility Fee	1	ls	15,000.00	15,000.00
2500A Electrical Service Feeder (Underground PVC sch	a. 40) 30	ft	580.70	17,421.00
2500A Outdoor Switchgear - Service Rated, NEMA 3R.	1	ls	127,000.00	127,000.00
6004 feeders for compressors (Underground DCS)	DUTION.	If	202.65	45 506 25
100A feeders for driver (Underground CBS)	225	II If	202.00	45,590.25
204 Dispensing Equipment Prench Circuit (Inderground	70 1000) 275	II If	16.94	4,747.50
ZUA Dispensing Equipment Branch Circuit (Underground	705 JRGS) 375	II If	10.04	0,313.13
	8	11 00	1 800 00	2,397.00
Reworking Lighting Branch wiring under capaby	0 250	Ca If	1,000.00	14,400.00 1 210 00
ESD Loop Wiring	200	II If	10.04 11 QQ	4,210.00 267200
1 500 kW/ Emerginey Backup Caparator	220		375 000 00	2,073.00
1,000 KW LINEIGHCY BACKUP Generaloi	I		575,000.00	61/ 759
	CNG F	ACILITY - F	UELING TOTAL	\$ 3,392,608

Page 2





OPINION OF PROBABLE COST WORKSHEET

Project Title: Estimate: Location: Owner: File Name: GoRaleigh CNG Feasibility Study **CNG FACILITY - MAINTENANCE SHOP** Raleigh, NC GoRaleigh Transit GoRaleigh Cost Estimate.xlsx



Project No.: 479301 Revision Date: 3/11/16 Orig. Date: 01/21/16 Estimated by: CBC Checked by: JTW Approved by: JPH

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPT	ION	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE MAT.& LAB.	ESTIMATED AMOUNT
	ΜΔΙΝΤΕΝΔ	NCE SHOP MODIFICATIONS - MECHANICAL				
	Roofton Ex	haust Fan	4	ea	4 150 00	16 600 00
	Ductwork/ F	Ductwork modifications	1	ls	2 500 00	2 500 00
	HVAC Cont	trols System modification	1	ea	3.000.00	3.000.00
				Sub Total	0,000100	22,100
	MAINTENA	NCE SHOP - HAZARDOUS GAS DETECTION				
	Methane De	etection System with automatic calibration	1	ls	167,500.00	167,500.00
				Sub Total		167,500
	MAINTENA	NCE SHOP - ELECTRICAL				
	Conduit and	d control wire from Gas detection to HVAC controls	200	lf	7.60	1,520.00
	20A Branch	n Circuit for Gas System Controler	90	lf	8.84	795.15
	Mechanical	Equipment Connections (Disconnect & Reconnect)	4	ea	500.00	2,000.00
				Sub Total		4,315
	MAINTENA	NCE SHOP MODIFICATIONS - ARCHITECTURAL				
	Paint touch	-up	360	SF	2.00	720.00
	CMU - 2 ho	bur rated	180	SF	23.00	4,140.00
	Misc seallin	ng of wall penetrations at utilities	10	ea	2,000.00	20,000.00
	2hr fire rate	ed man doors	3	ea	1,000.00	3,000.00
				Sub Total		27,860
		·	Pro	ject Sub To	otal -	221,775
	10.0% Co	ontingency				22,178
	9.0% De	esign				19,960
	5.0% CN	M Fee				11,089
	1.5% Pe	ermit/Testing and Inspection Allowance				3,327
	2.5% Bo	onds/Insurance Allowance			-	5,544
			ADDITION	NAL PROJI	ECT CHARGES	62,097
		CNG	FACILITY - MAIN	NTENANCE	E SHOP TOTAL	\$ 283,872
					=	



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	2.50	1.40	1.10	17	\$ 782,000	175,100	175,100	2.50	1.40	1.10
2019		\$ -	-	10.300	2.55	1.41	1.14	15	\$ 690.000	154.500	329.600	2.55	1.41	1.14
2020	18	\$ 828,000	185,400	195,700	2.60	1.42	1.18	13	\$ 598.000	133.900	463,500	2.60	1.42	1.18
2021	19	\$ 874,000	195,700	391,400	2.65	1.43	1.22	12	\$ 552,000	123,600	587,100	2.65	1.43	1.22
2022		\$ -	-	391 400	2.05	1 44	1.22	41	\$ 1 886 000	422 300	1 009 400	2.00	1 44	1.22
2022	7	\$ 372,000	72 100	463 500	2.75	1 / 5	1 30	10	\$ 460,000	103 000	1 112 /00	2.75	1 45	1 30
2023	2	\$ 92,000	20,600	403,500	2.75	1.45	1.50	10	\$ 368,000	82 400	1 104 800	2.75	1.45	1.50
2024	2	\$ 92,000	20,800	484,100	2.01	1.40	1.35	°	\$ 308,000	02,400	1,134,000	2.01	1.40	1.55
2025		\$ -	-	484,100	2.87	1.47	1.40	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	1,277,200	2.87	1.47	1.40
2026	21	\$ 966,000	216,300	700,400	2.93	1.48	1.45		ş -	-	1,277,200	2.93	1.48	1.45
2027		\$-	-	700,400	2.99	1.49	1.50		\$-	-	1,277,200	2.99	1.49	1.50
2028	11	\$ 421,667	113,300	813,700	3.05	1.50	1.55		\$-	-	1,277,200	3.05	1.50	1.55
2029		\$-	-	813,700	3.11	1.51	1.60		\$-	-	1,277,200	3.11	1.51	1.60
2030	1	\$ 30,667	-	813,700	3.17	1.52	1.65	17	\$ 521,333	-	1,277,200	3.17	1.52	1.65
2031		\$-	-	813,700	3.23	1.53	1.70	15	\$ 402,500	-	1,277,200	3.23	1.53	1.70
2032	18	\$ 414.000	-	813.700	3.29	1.54	1.75	13	\$ 299.000	-	1.277.200	3.29	1.54	1.75
2033	19	\$ 364.167	-	813.700	3.36	1.55	1.81	12	\$ 230.000	-	1.277.200	3.36	1.55	1.81
2034		\$ -		813 700	3.43	1.56	1.87	41	\$ 628.667		1,277,200	3,43	1.56	1.87
2025	7	\$ 80 500		812 700	3 50	1 57	1 02	10	\$ 115,000	_	1 277 200	2 50	1 57	1 02
2035	/	÷ 00,500	-	010 - 00	5.50	1.57	1.55	10	÷ 115,000	-	1,277,200	5.50	1.57	1.55
2036	2	\$ 15,333	-	813,700	3.57	1.58	1.99	8	\$ 61,333	-	1,277,200	3.57	1.58	1.99
2037		\$-	-	813,700	3.64	1.59	2.05	8	\$ 30,667	-	1,277,200	3.64	1.59	2.05
TOTALS		\$ 4,454,333	813,700	11,968,600					\$ 7,992,500	1,277,200	21,475,500			

Baseline Diesel CNG DGE 143.94 CF/Dkth 2.500 * Increases 2% Annually 1.400 # Increases .75% Annually

\$

\$

CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle 46,000 10,300

\$

GoRaleigh Transit Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D = A + B + C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$463,500	\$259,560	-\$203,940	\$0	\$0	-\$203,940	\$828,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,819,410	-\$5,615,470
2	\$866,745	\$479,259	-\$387,486	\$0	\$0	-\$387,486	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$302,514
3	\$1,713,920	\$936,064	-\$777,856	\$0	\$0	-\$777,856	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$648,144
4	\$2,593,025	\$1,399,255	-\$1,193,770	\$0	\$0	-\$1,193,770	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$232,230
5	\$3,782,160	\$2,017,152	-\$1,765,008	\$0	\$0	-\$1,765,008	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$120,992
6	\$4,333,725	\$2,285,055	-\$2,048,670	\$0	\$0	-\$2,048,670	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$1,266,670
7	\$4,717,709	\$2,451,194	-\$2,266,515	\$0	\$0	-\$2,266,515	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$1,806,515
8	\$5,054,931	\$2,589,111	-\$2,465,820	\$0	\$0	-\$2,465,820	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,097,820
9	\$5,794,368	\$2,926,848	-\$2,867,520	\$0	\$0	-\$2,867,520	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$1,901,520
10	\$5,913,024	\$2,946,624	-\$2,966,400	\$0	\$0	-\$2,966,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,966,400
11	\$6,377,245	\$3,136,350	-\$3,240,895	\$0	\$0	-\$3,240,895	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$2,819,228
12	\$6,502,699	\$3,157,259	-\$3,345,440	\$0	\$0	-\$3,345,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,345,440
13	\$6,628,153	\$3,178,168	-\$3,449,985	\$0	\$0	-\$3,449,985	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	\$2,897,985
14	\$6,753,607	\$3,199,077	-\$3,554,530	\$0	\$0	-\$3,554,530	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$3,152,030
15	\$6,879,061	\$3,219,986	-\$3,659,075	\$0	\$0	-\$3,659,075	\$713,000	\$0	\$0	\$713,000	\$2,946,075
16	\$7,025,424	\$3,240,895	-\$3,784,529	\$0	\$0	-\$3,784,529	\$594,167	\$0	\$0	\$594,167	\$3,190,362
17	\$7,171,787	\$3,261,804	-\$3,909,983	\$0	\$0	-\$3,909,983	\$628,667	\$0	\$0	\$628,667	\$3,281,316
18	\$7,318,150	\$3,282,713	-\$4,035,437	\$0	\$0	-\$4,035,437	\$195,500	\$0	\$0	\$195,500	\$3,839,937
19	\$7,464,513	\$3,303,622	-\$4,160,891	\$0	\$0	-\$4,160,891	\$76,667	\$0	\$0	\$76,667	\$4,084,224
20	\$7,610,876	\$3,324,531	-\$4,286,345	\$0	\$0	-\$4,286,345	\$30,667	\$0	\$0	\$30,667	\$4,255,678
Totals	\$104,964,622	\$50,594,527	-\$54,370,095	\$0	\$0	-\$54,370,095	\$12,446,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$17,438,243	\$36,931,852

⁽¹⁾ Differential between diesel fuel increasing 2.0% and NG .75% annually.

Internal Rate of Return: 17.6%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$23,815,603

 $^{\rm (6)}$ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D = A + B + C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$25,750	\$14,420	-\$11,330	\$0	\$0	-\$11,330	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,026,080
2	\$26,265	\$14,523	-\$11,742	\$0	\$0	-\$11,742	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,742
3	\$508,820	\$277,894	-\$230,926	\$0	\$0	-\$230,926	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	-\$597,074
4	\$1,037,210	\$559,702	-\$477,508	\$0	\$0	-\$477,508	\$874,000	\$0	\$0	\$874,000	-\$396,492
5	\$1,056,780	\$563,616	-\$493,164	\$0	\$0	-\$493,164	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$493,164
6	\$1,274,625	\$672,075	-\$602,550	\$0	\$0	-\$602,550	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$280,550
7	\$1,360,321	\$706,786	-\$653,535	\$0	\$0	-\$653,535	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$561,535
8	\$1,389,367	\$711,627	-\$677,740	\$0	\$0	-\$677,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$677,740
9	\$2,052,172	\$1,036,592	-\$1,015,580	\$0	\$0	-\$1,015,580	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$49,580
10	\$2,094,196	\$1,043,596	-\$1,050,600	\$0	\$0	-\$1,050,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,050,600
11	\$2,481,785	\$1,220,550	-\$1,261,235	\$0	\$0	-\$1,261,235	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$839,568
12	\$2,530,607	\$1,228,687	-\$1,301,920	\$0	\$0	-\$1,301,920	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,301,920
13	\$2,579,429	\$1,236,824	-\$1,342,605	\$0	\$0	-\$1,342,605	\$30,667	\$0	\$0	\$30,667	\$1,311,938
14	\$2,628,251	\$1,244,961	-\$1,383,290	\$0	\$0	-\$1,383,290	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,383,290
15	\$2,677,073	\$1,253,098	-\$1,423,975	\$0	\$0	-\$1,423,975	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$1,009,975
16	\$2,734,032	\$1,261,235	-\$1,472,797	\$0	\$0	-\$1,472,797	\$364,167	\$0	\$0	\$364,167	\$1,108,630
17	\$2,790,991	\$1,269,372	-\$1,521,619	\$0	\$0	-\$1,521,619	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,521,619
18	\$2,847,950	\$1,277,509	-\$1,570,441	\$0	\$0	-\$1,570,441	\$80,500	\$0	\$0	\$80,500	\$1,489,941
19	\$2,904,909	\$1,285,646	-\$1,619,263	\$0	\$0	-\$1,619,263	\$15,333	\$0	\$0	\$15,333	\$1,603,930
20	\$2,961,868	\$1,293,783	-\$1,668,085	\$0	\$0	-\$1,668,085	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,668,085
Totals	\$37,962,401	\$18,172,496	-\$19,789,905	\$0	\$0	-\$19,789,905	\$4,454,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,445,743	\$10,344,162

⁽¹⁾ Differential between diesel fuel increasing 2.0% and NG .75% annually.

Internal Rate of Return: 8.5%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$5,580,764

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D = A + B + C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$437,750	\$245,140	-\$192,610	\$0	\$0	-\$192,610	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,580,800
2	\$840,480	\$464,736	-\$375,744	\$0	\$0	-\$375,744	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$314,256
3	\$1,205,100	\$658,170	-\$546,930	\$0	\$0	-\$546,930	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$51,070
4	\$1,555,815	\$839,553	-\$716,262	\$0	\$0	-\$716,262	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	\$164,262
5	\$2,725,380	\$1,453,536	-\$1,271,844	\$0	\$0	-\$1,271,844	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$614,156
6	\$3,059,100	\$1,612,980	-\$1,446,120	\$0	\$0	-\$1,446,120	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$986,120
7	\$3,357,388	\$1,744,408	-\$1,612,980	\$0	\$0	-\$1,612,980	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,244,980
8	\$3,665,564	\$1,877,484	-\$1,788,080	\$0	\$0	-\$1,788,080	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,420,080
9	\$3,742,196	\$1,890,256	-\$1,851,940	\$0	\$0	-\$1,851,940	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,851,940
10	\$3,818,828	\$1,903,028	-\$1,915,800	\$0	\$0	-\$1,915,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,915,800
11	\$3,895,460	\$1,915,800	-\$1,979,660	\$0	\$0	-\$1,979,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,979,660
12	\$3,972,092	\$1,928,572	-\$2,043,520	\$0	\$0	-\$2,043,520	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,043,520
13	\$4,048,724	\$1,941,344	-\$2,107,380	\$0	\$0	-\$2,107,380	\$521,333	\$0	\$0	\$521,333	\$1,586,047
14	\$4,125,356	\$1,954,116	-\$2,171,240	\$0	\$0	-\$2,171,240	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$1,768,740
15	\$4,201,988	\$1,966,888	-\$2,235,100	\$0	\$0	-\$2,235,100	\$299,000	\$0	\$0	\$299,000	\$1,936,100
16	\$4,291,392	\$1,979,660	-\$2,311,732	\$0	\$0	-\$2,311,732	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$2,081,732
17	\$4,380,796	\$1,992,432	-\$2,388,364	\$0	\$0	-\$2,388,364	\$628,667	\$0	\$0	\$628,667	\$1,759,697
18	\$4,470,200	\$2,005,204	-\$2,464,996	\$0	\$0	-\$2,464,996	\$115,000	\$0	\$0	\$115,000	\$2,349,996
19	\$4,559,604	\$2,017,976	-\$2,541,628	\$0	\$0	-\$2,541,628	\$61,333	\$0	\$0	\$61,333	\$2,480,295
20	\$4,649,008	\$2,030,748	-\$2,618,260	\$0	\$0	-\$2,618,260	\$30,667	\$0	\$0	\$30,667	\$2,587,593
Totals	\$67,002,221	\$32,422,031	-\$34,580,190	\$0	\$0	-\$34,580,190	\$7,992,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,983,910	\$21,596,280

⁽¹⁾ Differential between diesel fuel increasing 2.0% and NG .75% annually.

Internal Rate of Return: 13.9%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$13,483,943

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D = A + B + C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$437,750	\$245,140	-\$192,610	\$0	\$0	-\$192,610	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,580,800
2	\$840,480	\$464,736	-\$375,744	\$0	\$0	-\$375,744	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$314,256
3	\$1,205,100	\$658,170	-\$546,930	\$0	\$0	-\$546,930	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$51,070
4	\$1,555,815	\$839,553	-\$716,262	\$0	\$0	-\$716,262	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	\$164,262
5	\$1,835,460	\$978,912	-\$856,548	\$0	\$0	-\$856,548	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$442,548
6	\$2,152,700	\$1,135,060	-\$1,017,640	\$0	\$0	-\$1,017,640	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$557,640
7	\$2,431,212	\$1,263,192	-\$1,168,020	\$0	\$0	-\$1,168,020	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$800,020
8	\$2,719,612	\$1,392,972	-\$1,326,640	\$0	\$0	-\$1,326,640	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$958,640
9	\$2,776,468	\$1,402,448	-\$1,374,020	\$0	\$0	-\$1,374,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,374,020
10	\$2,833,324	\$1,411,924	-\$1,421,400	\$0	\$0	-\$1,421,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,421,400
11	\$2,890,180	\$1,421,400	-\$1,468,780	\$0	\$0	-\$1,468,780	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,468,780
12	\$2,947,036	\$1,430,876	-\$1,516,160	\$0	\$0	-\$1,516,160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,516,160
13	\$3,003,892	\$1,440,352	-\$1,563,540	\$0	\$0	-\$1,563,540	\$521,333	\$0	\$0	\$521,333	\$1,042,207
14	\$3,060,748	\$1,449,828	-\$1,610,920	\$0	\$0	-\$1,610,920	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$1,208,420
15	\$3,117,604	\$1,459,304	-\$1,658,300	\$0	\$0	-\$1,658,300	\$299,000	\$0	\$0	\$299,000	\$1,359,300
16	\$3,183,936	\$1,468,780	-\$1,715,156	\$0	\$0	-\$1,715,156	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$1,485,156
17	\$3,250,268	\$1,478,256	-\$1,772,012	\$0	\$0	-\$1,772,012	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$1,634,012
18	\$3,316,600	\$1,487,732	-\$1,828,868	\$0	\$0	-\$1,828,868	\$115,000	\$0	\$0	\$115,000	\$1,713,868
19	\$3,382,932	\$1,497,208	-\$1,885,724	\$0	\$0	-\$1,885,724	\$61,333	\$0	\$0	\$61,333	\$1,824,391
20	\$3,449,264	\$1,506,684	-\$1,942,580	\$0	\$0	-\$1,942,580	\$30,667	\$0	\$0	\$30,667	\$1,911,913
Totals	\$50,390,381	\$24,432,527	-\$25,957,854	\$0	\$0	-\$25,957,854	\$6,029,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$11,021,243	\$14,936,611

⁽¹⁾ Differential between diesel fuel increasing 2.0% and NG .75% annually.

Internal Rate of Return: 11.4%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$8,953,946

Note: 32 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



1 CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN SCALE: 1" = 20'







COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS (CNG) VEHICLE FUELING STATION EXTENSION 4104 POOLE ROAD RALEIGH, NC

LOCATION MAP SCALE: 1"=200' FEASIBILITY STUDY



Williamsville, NY 14221 www.wendelcompanies.com p:716.688.0766 f:716.625.6825 WENDEL ENGINEERING, P.C.



NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT, AND THE IDEAS AND DESIGNS INCORPORATED HEREIN, AS AN INSTRUMENT OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICE, IS THE PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND ENGINEER AND IS NOT TO BE USED IN WHOLE OR IN PART, FOR ANY OTHER PROJECT WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF THE ARCHITECT AND ENGINEER. UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATION OR ADDITION TO ANY SURVEY DRAWING, DESIGN, SPECIFICATION, PLAN OR REPORT IS PROHIBITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE LAW, CODE AND RULES.

ы

DWG. TITLE

CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN

REVISIONS

DATE

DATE	2/3/16	
SCALE	AS SHOWN	
DWN.	TAR	СНК.
PROJ. No.	479301	
DWG. No.		

CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN NOTES:

- 1. THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS CONCEPTUAL AND PREPARED BASED ON THE AS-BUILT INFORMATION PROVIDED.
- 2. THIS CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN WAS PREPARED WITHOUT THE USE OF A TOPOGRAPHIC AND UTILITY SURVEY. EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS APPROXIMATE. FINAL DESIGN MAY VARY.

C-1



ARCHITECTURE ENGINEERING PLANNING ENERGY EFFICIENCY CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

111 Washington Avenue NorthSuite 300, Minneapolis, MN 55401P: 612.332.1401 w: wendelcompanies.com

Minnesota New York Virginia Arizona West Virginia Washington, DC



DRAFT REPORT January 13, 2017

Compressed Natural Gas Supplement to Feasibility Study

Capital Area Transit

4104 Poole Rd, Raleigh, NC 27610



TITLESECTIONExecutive Summary1Updated Financial Data2Transit Bus Technology Review3Appendix4Fleet Replacement and Financial Analysis Scenarios

Executive Summary

Wendel was originally hired to provide a compressed natural gas (CNG) feasibility study for GoRaleigh Transit. That study was completed on March 15, 2016 with a formal presentation to the Raleigh Transit Authority Board on August 11, 2016.

The purpose of this update is to provide two supplemental pieces of information to the original report. Part #1 provides recalculated financials based on the latest 2017 United States Energy Information Administration (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook data released January 5, 2017. The original report analyzed a 20 year horizon beginning in 2018 with a 100% fleet implementation. This supplement report expands the scenarios from 20 to 25 year horizons, recalculates findings using EIA Reference, High Oil High Price, and Low Oil Price cases with financial scenarios based on 100%, 75%, and 50% CNG fleet implementations.

Part #2 provides narrative comparisons for the current state of technology for operating Clean Diesel, Hybrid Diesel-Electric, CNG, Battery Electric, and Hydrogen Fuel Cell Battery Electric transit buses.

The original report financials used a 2018 baseline of \$2.50 per diesel gallon and a 2% annual inflation rate resulting in a projected diesel price of \$3.64 in 2037. The natural gas diesel gallon equivalent (DGE) baseline was \$1.40 and .75% overall annual inflation rate resulting in a projected CNG DGE price of \$1.59 in 2037.

The updated financial scenarios use the 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE price ranges listed in Table S1.

Category	Reference Case	High Oil Price	Low Oil Price
2018 - Diesel	\$3.02	\$4.55	\$2.72
2042 - Diesel	\$6.95	\$11.78	\$3.96
2018 - CNG/DGE	\$2.57	\$2.60	\$2.62
2042 - CNG/DGE	\$4.34	\$4.24	\$3.83

Table S1. - 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE Fuel Projections

The updated supplement financials use EIA projected pricing based on diesel fuel for onroad use which include Federal and State taxes while excluding county and local taxes. The diesel pricing chart data below can be referenced at http://tinyurl.com/jsdc6af.



Nominal Petroleum Prices: Transportation: Diesel Fuel

The natural gas prices for transportation delivered is based on fuel used in motor vehicles, trains, and ships and includes the estimated motor vehicle fuel taxes and estimated dispensing costs or charges. The natural gas pricing chart data below can be referenced at http://tinyurl.com/j9qvrh6.



Natural Gas: Delivered Prices: Transportation

WENDEL | GoRaleigh Transit CNG Feasibility Study – 2017 Supplement Raleigh, North Carolina

In the original report natural gas pricing was based on GoRaleigh owning a private CNG station. Natural gas pricing was based on purchasing at industrial rates plus adding station component costs for maintenance reserve, drying, compression and capital recovery.

Although the EIA data includes taxes, marketing, etc. taxes would not be charged to GoRaleigh on fuels, and costs for marketing and profit margins would reflect reduced costs with direct purchasing. However, the updated financial scenarios still do provide a consistent analysis based on published data where both fuel sources are priced on market based economics. It should also be noted that as illustrated in Table S1. 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE Fuel Projections, long term natural gas prices continue to be very stable in comparison to diesel which continues to have wide pricing variations in the differing case scenarios in the EIA update.

The original report financial data was based on 100% CNG fleet implementation. The fuel cost savings figures did not take into consideration the approximate \$5,000,000 estimated one time cost of the new CNG station and required maintenance and fueling facility upgrades.

The 20 year fuel cost savings were projected in the original report were as follows:

- \$19,789,905 replacing 79 buses from the current fleet from 2018-2028
- o \$25,957,854 implementing 92 local service expansion buses from 2018-2025
- \$8,622,336 implementing 32 BRT expansion buses starting in 2022

The tables in Section 2 – Updated Financial Data illustrate the 25 year savings based on the differing EIA cases and corresponding levels of CNG fleet implementation.

Updated Financial Data

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings
100% CNG Program	\$81,053,106	(\$16,337,667)	\$64,715,439
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$30,371,933	(\$5,968,500)	\$24,403,433
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$37,764,140	(\$7,793,167)	\$29,970,973
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$12,917,033	(\$2,576,000)	\$10,341,033
75% CNG Fleet	\$61,508,920	(\$12,400,833)	\$49,108,087
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$23,094,318	(\$4,542,500)	\$18,551,818
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$28,726,827	(\$5,926,333)	\$22,800,494
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$9,687,775	(\$1,932,000)	\$7,755,775
50% CNG Program	\$42,327,709	(\$8,536,833)	\$33,790,876
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$16,144,120	(\$3,174,000)	\$12,970,120
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$19,725,072	(\$4,074,833)	\$15,650,239
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$6,458,516	(\$1,288,000)	\$5,170,516

Table S2a. - 2017 EIA Reference Case

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings
100% CNG Program	\$246,635,322	(\$16,337,667)	\$230,297,655
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$91,919,349	(\$5,968,500)	\$85,950,849
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$115,472,120	(\$7,793,167)	\$107,678,953
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$39,248,853	(\$2,576,000)	\$36,672,853
75% CNG Fleet	\$187,170,566	(\$12,400,833)	\$174,769,733
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$69,901,562	(\$4,542,500)	\$65,359,062
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$87,832,363	(\$5,926,333)	\$81,906,030
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$29,436,640	(\$1,932,000)	\$27,504,640
50% CNG Program	\$42,327,709	(\$8,536,833)	\$33,790,876
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$16,144,120	(\$3,174,000)	\$12,970,120
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$19,725,072	(\$4,074,833)	\$15,650,239
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$6,458,516	(\$1,288,000)	\$5,170,516

Table S2b. - 2017 EIA High Oil Price Case

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings
100% CNG Program	(\$9,065,487)	(\$16,337,667)	(\$25,403,154)
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$2,982,423)	(\$5,968,500)	(\$8,950,923)
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$4,678,836)	(\$7,793,167)	(\$12,472,003)
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$1,404,228)	(\$2,576,000)	(\$3,980,228)
75% CNG Fleet	(\$6,886,240)	(\$12,400,833)	(\$19,287,073)
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$2,278,403)	(\$4,542,500)	(\$6,820,903)
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$3,554,666)	(\$5,926,333)	(\$9,480,999)
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$1,053,171)	(\$1,932,000)	(\$2,985,171)
50% CNG Program	(\$4,744,171)	(\$8,536,833)	(\$13,281,004)
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$1,587,296)	(\$3,174,000)	(\$4,761,296)
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$2,454,761)	(\$4,074,833)	(\$6,529,594)
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$702,114)	(\$1,288,000)	(\$1,990,114)

Table S2c. - 2017 EIA Low Oil Price Case*

* 2017 EIA Low Oil Price Case Results in Additional Costs and NO fuel savings in all scenarios.

Transit Bus Technology Review

With the increasing awareness of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and transportation with alternative fuels, the variety of transit vehicles propulsion choices continue to attract attention. The capital and operating costs of using differing vehicles for public transit can be challenging to evaluate as buses vary significantly in terms of costs, performance and maintenance requirements. Certain routes and segments of transit systems may or may not be ideal when considering the differing bus operating conditions and environments. The comprehensive assessment framework and data gathering process needed to objectively evaluate differing bus implementations is not provided in this technology review.

The intention of this information is to provide a high level comparison of the differing pricing and technologies based on available data for differing bus types listed. Ultimately fleet procurement decisions won't dictate purchasing one type versus another but will consider a wide range of factors including service and maintenance requirements other direct and indirect impacts on the environment. Challenges with up front capital funding are also impacted by the differing vehicle costs of hydrogen fuel cell, battery electric, CNG, hybrid diesel-electric, and clean diesel transit buses.

The following table is a summary of vehicle capital cost and relative miles per diesel gallon equivalent performance data using a clean diesel transit bus as a baseline. Fueling or charging infrastructure and differences in maintenance and other operating costs unrelated to fuel source are not evaluted.

Category	Hydrogen Fuel Cell	Battery Electric	CNG	Hybrid Diesel Electric	Clean Diesel
Typical Price Per Unit	\$1.8-\$2.5M	\$850,000	\$510,000	\$684,000	\$464,000
Incremental Cost over Diesel	\$1.3 - 2.0M	\$386,000	\$46,000	\$220,000	n/a
Annual Incremental Vehicle Cost	\$10K - \$16K	\$32,167	\$3,833	\$18,333	\$0
kWh per Mile / MPG	4.91 - 7.09	1.72 - 1.92	4.00	5.25	4.50

Table S3. – Transit Bus Comparisons

Section 4

Appendix

EIA 2017 REFERENCE CASE FINANCIAL SCENARIOS



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

FY In Service	Current	CNG	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGF	Spread /	Expansion Buses	CN	G Uncharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGF	Spread /
2018	1	\$ 46.000	10 300	10 300	3.02	2 57	0.45	17	¢	782 000	175 100	175 100	2, Gai 3 02	2 57	0.45
2010		\$ +0,000	10,500	10 300	3.02	2.57	0.45	15	¢	690,000	154 500	329 600	3.02	2.57	0.45
2013	10	\$ 929 000	195 400	195 700	2.47	2.01	0.00	12	ب د	598,000	122 000	462 500	2.47	2.01	0.00
2020	10	\$ 828,000	105,400	201 400	3.47	2.07	0.80	13	ې د	553,000	133,500	403,500	3.47	2.07	0.80
2021	19	\$ 874,000	195,700	391,400	3.04	2.71	0.92	12	Ş	552,000	123,600	587,100	3.64	2./1	0.92
2022		Ş -		391,400	3.83	2.76	1.07	41	\$	1,886,000	422,300	1,009,400	3.83	2.76	1.07
2023	7	\$ 322,000	72,100	463,500	3.97	2.81	1.16	10	Ş	460,000	103,000	1,112,400	3.97	2.81	1.16
2024	2	\$ 92,000	20,600	484,100	4.09	2.86	1.23	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,194,800	4.09	2.86	1.23
2025		\$-	-	484,100	4.28	2.93	1.35	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,277,200	4.28	2.93	1.35
2026	21	\$ 966,000	216,300	700,400	4.44	3.00	1.43		\$	-	-	1,277,200	4.44	3.00	1.43
2027		\$-	-	700,400	4.59	3.08	1.51		\$	-	-	1,277,200	4.59	3.08	1.51
2028	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	813,700	4.71	3.19	1.51		\$	-	-	1,277,200	4.71	3.19	1.51
2029		\$-	-	813,700	4.86	3.29	1.57		\$	-	-	1,277,200	4.86	3.29	1.57
2030	1	\$ 46,000	-	813,700	5.06	3.38	1.67	17	\$	782,000	-	1,277,200	5.06	3.38	1.67
2031		\$-	-	813,700	5.24	3.43	1.81	15	\$	690,000	-	1,277,200	5.24	3.43	1.81
2032	18	\$ 759,000	-	813,700	5.44	3.53	1.91	13	\$	548,167	-	1,277,200	5.44	3.53	1.91
2033	19	\$ 728,333	-	813,700	5.55	3.59	1.96	12	\$	460,000	-	1,277,200	5.55	3.59	1.96
2034		\$ -	-	813,700	5.72	3.66	2.05	41	\$	1,414,500	-	1,277,200	5.72	3.66	2.05
2035	7	\$ 214,667	-	813,700	5.86	3.77	2.09	10	\$	306,667	-	1,277,200	5.86	3.77	2.09
2036	2	\$ 53,667	-	813,700	6.07	3.86	2.22	8	\$	214,667	-	1,277,200	6.07	3.86	2.22
2037		\$-	-	813,700	6.19	3.95	2.24	8	\$	184,000	-	1,277,200	6.19	3.95	2.24
2038	21	\$ 402,500	-	813,700	6.33	4.03	2.30		\$	-	-	1,277,200	6.33	4.03	2.30
2039		\$-	-	813,700	6.52	4.10	2.41		\$	-	-	1,277,200	6.52	4.10	2.41
2040	11	\$ 126,500	-	813,700	6.68	4.17	2.51		\$	-	-	1,277,200	6.68	4.17	2.51
2041		\$-	-	813,700	6.82	4.25	2.57		\$	-	-	1,277,200	6.82	4.25	2.57
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	813,700	6.95	4.34	2.61	17	\$	65,167	-	1,277,200	6.95	4.34	2.61
TOTALS		\$ 5,968,500	813,700	16,037,100					\$	10,369,167	1,277,200	27,861,500			

* EIA 2017 Reference Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Reference Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle Note: 32 BRT Buses

46,000

10,300

\$

GoRaleigh Transit Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$559,458	\$475,632	-\$83,826	\$0	\$0	-\$83,826	\$828,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,819,410	-\$5,735,584
2	\$1,113,589	\$888,620	-\$224,968	\$0	\$0	-\$224,968	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$465,032
3	\$2,285,780	\$1,760,838	-\$524,942	\$0	\$0	-\$524,942	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$901,058
4	\$3,556,892	\$2,655,163	-\$901,728	\$0	\$0	-\$901,728	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$524,272
5	\$5,365,190	\$3,862,781	-\$1,502,409	\$0	\$0	-\$1,502,409	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$383,591
6	\$6,249,747	\$4,423,981	-\$1,825,766	\$0	\$0	-\$1,825,766	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$1,043,766
7	\$6,870,473	\$4,799,731	-\$2,070,742	\$0	\$0	-\$2,070,742	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$1,610,742
8	\$7,538,741	\$5,155,661	-\$2,383,080	\$0	\$0	-\$2,383,080	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,015,080
9	\$8,773,264	\$5,937,876	-\$2,835,388	\$0	\$0	-\$2,835,388	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$1,869,388
10	\$9,074,999	\$6,083,026	-\$2,991,973	\$0	\$0	-\$2,991,973	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,991,973
11	\$9,838,105	\$6,674,088	-\$3,164,017	\$0	\$0	-\$3,164,017	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$2,658,017
12	\$10,167,330	\$6,888,695	-\$3,278,635	\$0	\$0	-\$3,278,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,278,635
13	\$10,574,700	\$7,073,604	-\$3,501,096	\$0	\$0	-\$3,501,096	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	\$2,673,096
14	\$10,956,025	\$7,169,555	-\$3,786,470	\$0	\$0	-\$3,786,470	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$3,096,470
15	\$11,364,357	\$7,371,763	-\$3,992,594	\$0	\$0	-\$3,992,594	\$1,307,167	\$0	\$0	\$1,307,167	\$2,685,428
16	\$11,599,529	\$7,506,814	-\$4,092,715	\$0	\$0	-\$4,092,715	\$1,188,333	\$0	\$0	\$1,188,333	\$2,904,381
17	\$11,951,352	\$7,660,780	-\$4,290,572	\$0	\$0	-\$4,290,572	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	\$2,876,072
18	\$12,247,656	\$7,875,711	-\$4,371,945	\$0	\$0	-\$4,371,945	\$521,333	\$0	\$0	\$521,333	\$3,850,611
19	\$12,693,975	\$8,061,828	-\$4,632,148	\$0	\$0	-\$4,632,148	\$268,333	\$0	\$0	\$268,333	\$4,363,814
20	\$12,945,538	\$8,258,789	-\$4,686,748	\$0	\$0	-\$4,686,748	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$4,502,748
21	\$13,228,731	\$8,424,982	-\$4,803,749	\$0	\$0	-\$4,803,749	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$4,401,249
22	\$13,628,465	\$8,581,465	-\$5,047,000	\$0	\$0	-\$5,047,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,047,000
23	\$13,961,679	\$8,721,618	-\$5,240,061	\$0	\$0	-\$5,240,061	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	\$5,113,561
24	\$14,267,814	\$8,894,790	-\$5,373,024	\$0	\$0	-\$5,373,024	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,373,024
25	\$14,526,931	\$9,079,423	-\$5,447,508	\$0	\$0	-\$5,447,508	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$5,378,508
Totals	\$235,340,320	\$154,287,214	-\$81,053,106	\$0	\$0	-\$81,053,106	\$16,337,667	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$21,329,077	\$59,724,030

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

17.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$35,724,139

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$31,081	\$26,424	-\$4,657	\$0	\$0	-\$4,657	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,032,753
2	\$33,745	\$26,928	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,817
3	\$678,591	\$522,749	-\$155,842	\$0	\$0	-\$155,842	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	-\$672,158
4	\$1,422,757	\$1,062,065	-\$360,691	\$0	\$0	-\$360,691	\$874,000	\$0	\$0	\$874,000	-\$513,309
5	\$1,499,097	\$1,079,306	-\$419,791	\$0	\$0	-\$419,791	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$419,791
6	\$1,838,161	\$1,301,171	-\$536,990	\$0	\$0	-\$536,990	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$214,990
7	\$1,981,057	\$1,383,972	-\$597,085	\$0	\$0	-\$597,085	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$505,085
8	\$2,072,052	\$1,417,053	-\$654,999	\$0	\$0	-\$654,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$654,999
9	\$3,107,198	\$2,102,998	-\$1,004,200	\$0	\$0	-\$1,004,200	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$38,200
10	\$3,214,062	\$2,154,405	-\$1,059,657	\$0	\$0	-\$1,059,657	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,059,657
11	\$3,828,622	\$2,597,305	-\$1,231,317	\$0	\$0	-\$1,231,317	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$725,317
12	\$3,956,744	\$2,680,822	-\$1,275,922	\$0	\$0	-\$1,275,922	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,275,922
13	\$4,115,277	\$2,752,782	-\$1,362,495	\$0	\$0	-\$1,362,495	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$1,316,495
14	\$4,263,675	\$2,790,122	-\$1,473,553	\$0	\$0	-\$1,473,553	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,473,553
15	\$4,422,582	\$2,868,814	-\$1,553,768	\$0	\$0	-\$1,553,768	\$759,000	\$0	\$0	\$759,000	\$794,768
16	\$4,514,102	\$2,921,371	-\$1,592,731	\$0	\$0	-\$1,592,731	\$728,333	\$0	\$0	\$728,333	\$864,398
17	\$4,651,019	\$2,981,289	-\$1,669,730	\$0	\$0	-\$1,669,730	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,669,730
18	\$4,766,329	\$3,064,932	-\$1,701,397	\$0	\$0	-\$1,701,397	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$1,486,731
19	\$4,940,020	\$3,137,361	-\$1,802,658	\$0	\$0	-\$1,802,658	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$1,748,992
20	\$5,037,919	\$3,214,012	-\$1,823,907	\$0	\$0	-\$1,823,907	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,823,907
21	\$5,148,127	\$3,278,688	-\$1,869,439	\$0	\$0	-\$1,869,439	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$1,466,939
22	\$5,303,688	\$3,339,585	-\$1,964,104	\$0	\$0	-\$1,964,104	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,964,104
23	\$5,433,363	\$3,394,127	-\$2,039,236	\$0	\$0	-\$2,039,236	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	\$1,912,736
24	\$5,552,499	\$3,461,519	-\$2,090,980	\$0	\$0	-\$2,090,980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,090,980
25	\$5,653,338	\$3,533,371	-\$2,119,966	\$0	\$0	-\$2,119,966	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$2,116,133
Totals	\$87,465,105	\$57,093,172	-\$30,371,933	\$0	\$0	-\$30,371,933	\$5,968,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,959,910	\$19,412,023

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

10.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$10,408,221

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$528,377	\$449,208	-\$79,169	\$0	\$0	-\$79,169	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,694,241
2	\$1,079,843	\$861,692	-\$218,151	\$0	\$0	-\$218,151	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$471,849
3	\$1,607,189	\$1,238,089	-\$369,100	\$0	\$0	-\$369,100	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$228,900
4	\$2,134,135	\$1,593,098	-\$541,037	\$0	\$0	-\$541,037	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	-\$10,963
5	\$3,866,093	\$2,783,475	-\$1,082,618	\$0	\$0	-\$1,082,618	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$803,382
6	\$4,411,586	\$3,122,810	-\$1,288,776	\$0	\$0	-\$1,288,776	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$828,776
7	\$4,889,417	\$3,415,760	-\$1,473,657	\$0	\$0	-\$1,473,657	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,105,657
8	\$5,466,689	\$3,738,608	-\$1,728,082	\$0	\$0	-\$1,728,082	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,360,082
9	\$5,666,067	\$3,834,878	-\$1,831,188	\$0	\$0	-\$1,831,188	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,831,188
10	\$5,860,937	\$3,928,621	-\$1,932,316	\$0	\$0	-\$1,932,316	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,932,316
11	\$6,009,483	\$4,076,783	-\$1,932,700	\$0	\$0	-\$1,932,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,932,700
12	\$6,210,586	\$4,207,873	-\$2,002,713	\$0	\$0	-\$2,002,713	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,002,713
13	\$6,459,422	\$4,320,822	-\$2,138,600	\$0	\$0	-\$2,138,600	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$1,356,600
14	\$6,692,350	\$4,379,433	-\$2,312,918	\$0	\$0	-\$2,312,918	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$1,622,918
15	\$6,941,775	\$4,502,949	-\$2,438,826	\$0	\$0	-\$2,438,826	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	\$1,890,659
16	\$7,085,427	\$4,585,443	-\$2,499,983	\$0	\$0	-\$2,499,983	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$2,039,983
17	\$7,300,333	\$4,679,491	-\$2,620,842	\$0	\$0	-\$2,620,842	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	\$1,206,342
18	\$7,481,327	\$4,810,779	-\$2,670,548	\$0	\$0	-\$2,670,548	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$2,363,881
19	\$7,753,955	\$4,924,466	-\$2,829,489	\$0	\$0	-\$2,829,489	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$2,614,823
20	\$7,907,619	\$5,044,778	-\$2,862,841	\$0	\$0	-\$2,862,841	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$2,678,841
21	\$8,080,604	\$5,146,295	-\$2,934,310	\$0	\$0	-\$2,934,310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,934,310
22	\$8,324,777	\$5,241,880	-\$3,082,897	\$0	\$0	-\$3,082,897	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,082,897
23	\$8,528,317	\$5,327,491	-\$3,200,826	\$0	\$0	-\$3,200,826	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,200,826
24	\$8,715,315	\$5,433,271	-\$3,282,044	\$0	\$0	-\$3,282,044	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,282,044
25	\$8,873,593	\$5,546,051	-\$3,327,542	\$0	\$0	-\$3,327,542	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$3,262,375
Totals	\$147,875,216	\$97,194,042	-\$50,681,173	\$0	\$0	-\$50,681,173	\$10,369,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$15,360,577	\$35,320,597

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

13.8%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$20,565,022

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$528,377	\$449,208	-\$79,169	\$0	\$0	-\$79,169	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,694,241
2	\$1,079,843	\$861,692	-\$218,151	\$0	\$0	-\$218,151	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$471,849
3	\$1,607,189	\$1,238,089	-\$369,100	\$0	\$0	-\$369,100	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$228,900
4	\$2,134,135	\$1,593,098	-\$541,037	\$0	\$0	-\$541,037	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	-\$10,963
5	\$2,603,695	\$1,874,585	-\$729,110	\$0	\$0	-\$729,110	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$315,110
6	\$3,104,449	\$2,197,533	-\$906,916	\$0	\$0	-\$906,916	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$446,916
7	\$3,540,612	\$2,473,481	-\$1,067,131	\$0	\$0	-\$1,067,131	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$699,131
8	\$4,055,931	\$2,773,806	-\$1,282,125	\$0	\$0	-\$1,282,125	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$914,125
9	\$4,203,856	\$2,845,232	-\$1,358,624	\$0	\$0	-\$1,358,624	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,358,624
10	\$4,348,437	\$2,914,783	-\$1,433,654	\$0	\$0	-\$1,433,654	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,433,654
11	\$4,458,648	\$3,024,710	-\$1,433,939	\$0	\$0	-\$1,433,939	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,433,939
12	\$4,607,854	\$3,121,970	-\$1,485,884	\$0	\$0	-\$1,485,884	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,485,884
13	\$4,792,475	\$3,205,771	-\$1,586,703	\$0	\$0	-\$1,586,703	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$804,703
14	\$4,965,292	\$3,249,256	-\$1,716,036	\$0	\$0	-\$1,716,036	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$1,026,036
15	\$5,150,349	\$3,340,897	-\$1,809,452	\$0	\$0	-\$1,809,452	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	\$1,261,285
16	\$5,256,929	\$3,402,103	-\$1,854,826	\$0	\$0	-\$1,854,826	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$1,394,826
17	\$5,416,376	\$3,471,881	-\$1,944,496	\$0	\$0	-\$1,944,496	\$310,500	\$0	\$0	\$310,500	\$1,633,996
18	\$5,550,662	\$3,569,288	-\$1,981,374	\$0	\$0	-\$1,981,374	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$1,674,707
19	\$5,752,935	\$3,653,636	-\$2,099,298	\$0	\$0	-\$2,099,298	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$1,884,632
20	\$5,866,943	\$3,742,900	-\$2,124,044	\$0	\$0	-\$2,124,044	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$1,940,044
21	\$5,995,287	\$3,818,219	-\$2,177,068	\$0	\$0	-\$2,177,068	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,177,068
22	\$6,176,447	\$3,889,137	-\$2,287,310	\$0	\$0	-\$2,287,310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,287,310
23	\$6,327,461	\$3,952,655	-\$2,374,806	\$0	\$0	-\$2,374,806	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,374,806
23	\$6,466,202	\$4,031,137	-\$2,435,065	\$0	\$0	-\$2,435,065	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,435,065
25	\$6,583,634	\$4,114,812	-\$2,468,822	\$0	\$0	-\$2,468,822	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$2,403,655
Totals	\$110,574,018	\$72,809,878	-\$37,764,140	\$0	\$0	-\$37,764,140	\$7,793,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,784,577	\$24,979,564

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

11.7%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$14,073,311

Note: 32 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

 $^{\mbox{\tiny (2)}}$ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

75% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections														ndel
FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	3.02	2.57	0.45	13	\$ 598,000	133,900	133,900	3.02	2.57	0.45
2019		\$-	-	10,300	3.28	2.61	0.66	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	247,200	3.28	2.61	0.66
2020	14	\$ 644,000	144,200	154,500	3.47	2.67	0.80	10	\$ 460,000	103,000	350,200	3.47	2.67	0.80
2021	14	\$ 644,000	144,200	298,700	3.64	2.71	0.92	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	442,900	3.64	2.71	0.92
2022		\$-	-	298,700	3.83	2.76	1.07	31	\$ 1,426,000	319,300	762,200	3.83	2.76	1.07
2023	5	\$ 230,000	51,500	350,200	3.97	2.81	1.16	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	844,600	3.97	2.81	1.16
2024	2	\$ 92,000	20,600	370,800	4.09	2.86	1.23	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	906,400	4.09	2.86	1.23
2025		\$-	-	370,800	4.28	2.93	1.35	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	968,200	4.28	2.93	1.35
2026	16	\$ 736,000	164,800	535,600	4.44	3.00	1.43		\$-	-	968,200	4.44	3.00	1.43
2027		\$-	-	535,600	4.59	3.08	1.51		\$-	-	968,200	4.59	3.08	1.51
2028	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	618,000	4.71	3.19	1.51		\$-	-	968,200	4.71	3.19	1.51
2029		\$-	-	618,000	4.86	3.29	1.57		\$-	-	968,200	4.86	3.29	1.57
2030	1	\$ 46,000	- 10	618,000	5.06	3.38	1.67	13	\$ 598,000	-	968,200	5.06	3.38	1.67
2031		\$-	-	618,000	5.24	3.43	1.81	11	\$ 506,000	-	968,200	5.24	3.43	1.81
2032	14	\$ 590,333	-	618,000	5.44	3.53	1.91	10	\$ 421,667	-	968,200	5.44	3.53	1.91
2033	14	\$ 536,667	-	618,000	5.55	3.59	1.96	9	\$ 345,000	-	968,200	5.55	3.59	1.96
2034		\$-	-	618,000	5.72	3.66	2.05	31	\$ 1,069,500	-	968,200	5.72	3.66	2.05
2035	5	\$ 153,333	-	618,000	5.86	3.77	2.09	8	\$ 245,333	-	968,200	5.86	3.77	2.09
2036	2	\$ 53,667	-	618,000	6.07	3.86	2.22	6	\$ 161,000	-	968,200	6.07	3.86	2.22
2037		\$-	-	618,000	6.19	3.95	2.24	6	\$ 138,000	-	968,200	6.19	3.95	2.24
2038	16	\$ 306,667	-	618,000	6.33	4.03	2.30		\$-	-	968,200	6.33	4.03	2.30
2039		\$-	-	618,000	6.52	4.10	2.41		\$ -	-	968,200	6.52	4.10	2.41
2040	8	\$ 92,000		618,000	6.68	4.17	2.51		\$-	-	968,200	6.68	4.17	2.51
2041		\$-	-	618,000	6.82	4.25	2.57		\$-	-	968,200	6.82	4.25	2.57
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	618,000	6.95	4.34	2.61	13	\$ 49,833	-	968,200	6.95	4.34	2.61
TOTALS		\$ 4,542,500	618,000	12,205,500					\$ 7,858,333	968,200	21,115,000			

Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

* EIA 2017 Reference Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Reference Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle Note: 24 BRT Buses

46,000

10,300

\$

GoRaleigh Transit 75% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$435,134	\$369,936	-\$65,198	\$0	\$0	-\$65,198	\$644,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,635,410	-\$5,570,212
2	\$843,628	\$673,197	-\$170,431	\$0	\$0	-\$170,431	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$335,569
3	\$1,750,050	\$1,348,141	-\$401,909	\$0	\$0	-\$401,909	\$1,104,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,104,000	-\$702,091
4	\$2,695,749	\$2,012,334	-\$683,415	\$0	\$0	-\$683,415	\$1,058,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,058,000	-\$374,585
5	\$4,063,342	\$2,925,489	-\$1,137,854	\$0	\$0	-\$1,137,854	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$288,146
6	\$4,738,370	\$3,354,129	-\$1,384,241	\$0	\$0	-\$1,384,241	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$786,241
7	\$5,226,618	\$3,651,329	-\$1,575,289	\$0	\$0	-\$1,575,289	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,207,289
8	\$5,731,207	\$3,919,508	-\$1,811,699	\$0	\$0	-\$1,811,699	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$1,535,699
9	\$6,671,337	\$4,515,260	-\$2,156,077	\$0	\$0	-\$2,156,077	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$1,420,077
10	\$6,900,780	\$4,625,634	-\$2,275,146	\$0	\$0	-\$2,275,146	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,275,146
11	\$7,463,390	\$5,063,101	-\$2,400,288	\$0	\$0	-\$2,400,288	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,032,288
12	\$7,713,147	\$5,225,906	-\$2,487,240	\$0	\$0	-\$2,487,240	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,487,240
13	\$8,022,186	\$5,366,182	-\$2,656,004	\$0	\$0	-\$2,656,004	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	\$2,012,004
14	\$8,311,468	\$5,438,973	-\$2,872,495	\$0	\$0	-\$2,872,495	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$2,366,495
15	\$8,621,237	\$5,592,372	-\$3,028,865	\$0	\$0	-\$3,028,865	\$1,012,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,012,000	\$2,016,865
16	\$8,799,643	\$5,694,825	-\$3,104,818	\$0	\$0	-\$3,104,818	\$881,667	\$0	\$0	\$881,667	\$2,223,151
17	\$9,066,543	\$5,811,626	-\$3,254,917	\$0	\$0	-\$3,254,917	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	\$2,185,417
18	\$9,291,325	\$5,974,677	-\$3,316,648	\$0	\$0	-\$3,316,648	\$398,667	\$0	\$0	\$398,667	\$2,917,981
19	\$9,629,912	\$6,115,869	-\$3,514,043	\$0	\$0	-\$3,514,043	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$3,299,376
20	\$9,820,753	\$6,265,288	-\$3,555,464	\$0	\$0	-\$3,555,464	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$3,417,464
21	\$10,035,589	\$6,391,366	-\$3,644,223	\$0	\$0	-\$3,644,223	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$3,337,557
22	\$10,338,836	\$6,510,077	-\$3,828,759	\$0	\$0	-\$3,828,759	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,828,759
23	\$10,591,619	\$6,616,400	-\$3,975,219	\$0	\$0	-\$3,975,219	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$3,883,219
24	\$10,823,859	\$6,747,772	-\$4,076,087	\$0	\$0	-\$4,076,087	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,076,087
25	\$11,020,431	\$6,887,838	-\$4,132,593	\$0	\$0	-\$4,132,593	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$4,078,926
Totals	\$178,606,151	\$117,097,231	-\$61,508,920	\$0	\$0	-\$61,508,920	\$12,400,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$17,392,243	\$44,116,676

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

15.1%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$25,964,468

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}$ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service 75% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$31,081	\$26,424	-\$4,657	\$0	\$0	-\$4,657	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,032,753
2	\$33,745	\$26,928	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,817
3	\$535,730	\$412,696	-\$123,033	\$0	\$0	-\$123,033	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$520,967
4	\$1,085,788	\$810,523	-\$275,264	\$0	\$0	-\$275,264	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$368,736
5	\$1,144,048	\$823,681	-\$320,367	\$0	\$0	-\$320,367	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$320,367
6	\$1,388,833	\$983,107	-\$405,726	\$0	\$0	-\$405,726	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$175,726
7	\$1,517,405	\$1,060,063	-\$457,342	\$0	\$0	-\$457,342	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$365,342
8	\$1,587,103	\$1,085,402	-\$501,701	\$0	\$0	-\$501,701	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$501,701
9	\$2,376,092	\$1,608,175	-\$767,918	\$0	\$0	-\$767,918	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$31,918
10	\$2,457,812	\$1,647,486	-\$810,326	\$0	\$0	-\$810,326	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$810,326
11	\$2,907,814	\$1,972,637	-\$935,177	\$0	\$0	-\$935,177	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$567,177
12	\$3,005,122	\$2,036,067	-\$969,055	\$0	\$0	-\$969,055	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$969,055
13	\$3,125,527	\$2,090,720	-\$1,034,807	\$0	\$0	-\$1,034,807	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$988,807
14	\$3,238,234	\$2,119,080	-\$1,119,154	\$0	\$0	-\$1,119,154	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$1,119,154
15	\$3,358,923	\$2,178,846	-\$1,180,077	\$0	\$0	-\$1,180,077	\$590,333	\$0	\$0	\$590,333	\$589,744
16	\$3,428,432	\$2,218,763	-\$1,209,669	\$0	\$0	-\$1,209,669	\$536,667	\$0	\$0	\$536,667	\$673,003
17	\$3,532,419	\$2,264,270	-\$1,268,149	\$0	\$0	-\$1,268,149	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$1,268,149
18	\$3,619,997	\$2,327,796	-\$1,292,200	\$0	\$0	-\$1,292,200	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$1,138,867
19	\$3,751,914	\$2,382,806	-\$1,369,108	\$0	\$0	-\$1,369,108	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$1,315,441
20	\$3,826,267	\$2,441,021	-\$1,385,246	\$0	\$0	-\$1,385,246	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$1,385,246
21	\$3,909,970	\$2,490,143	-\$1,419,827	\$0	\$0	-\$1,419,827	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$1,113,161
22	\$4,028,118	\$2,536,394	-\$1,491,724	\$0	\$0	-\$1,491,724	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,491,724
23	\$4,126,605	\$2,577,818	-\$1,548,787	\$0	\$0	-\$1,548,787	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$1,456,787
24	\$4,217,088	\$2,629,002	-\$1,588,086	\$0	\$0	-\$1,588,086	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,588,086
25	\$4,293,674	\$2,683,573	-\$1,610,101	\$0	\$0	-\$1,610,101	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$1,606,268
Totals	\$66,527,742	\$43,433,424	-\$23,094,318	\$0	\$0	-\$23,094,318	\$4,542,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,533,910	\$13,560,408

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

8.1%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$6,775,454

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 75% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$404,053	\$343,512	-\$60,541	\$0	\$0	-\$60,541	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,528,869
2	\$809,883	\$646,269	-\$163,613	\$0	\$0	-\$163,613	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$342,387
3	\$1,214,321	\$935,445	-\$278,876	\$0	\$0	-\$278,876	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$181,124
4	\$1,609,961	\$1,201,811	-\$408,151	\$0	\$0	-\$408,151	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$5,849
5	\$2,919,295	\$2,101,807	-\$817,487	\$0	\$0	-\$817,487	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$608,513
6	\$3,349,537	\$2,371,022	-\$978,515	\$0	\$0	-\$978,515	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$610,515
7	\$3,709,213	\$2,591,266	-\$1,117,947	\$0	\$0	-\$1,117,947	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$841,947
8	\$4,144,103	\$2,834,106	-\$1,309,997	\$0	\$0	-\$1,309,997	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$1,033,997
9	\$4,295,244	\$2,907,085	-\$1,388,159	\$0	\$0	-\$1,388,159	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,388,159
10	\$4,442,968	\$2,978,148	-\$1,464,820	\$0	\$0	-\$1,464,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,464,820
11	\$4,555,576	\$3,090,464	-\$1,465,111	\$0	\$0	-\$1,465,111	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,465,111
12	\$4,708,025	\$3,189,839	-\$1,518,185	\$0	\$0	-\$1,518,185	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,518,185
13	\$4,896,659	\$3,275,462	-\$1,621,197	\$0	\$0	-\$1,621,197	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$1,023,197
14	\$5,073,233	\$3,319,892	-\$1,753,341	\$0	\$0	-\$1,753,341	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$1,247,341
15	\$5,262,313	\$3,413,526	-\$1,848,788	\$0	\$0	-\$1,848,788	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$1,427,121
16	\$5,371,211	\$3,476,062	-\$1,895,149	\$0	\$0	-\$1,895,149	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	\$1,550,149
17	\$5,534,124	\$3,547,356	-\$1,986,768	\$0	\$0	-\$1,986,768	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	\$917,268
18	\$5,671,328	\$3,646,881	-\$2,024,447	\$0	\$0	-\$2,024,447	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	\$1,779,114
19	\$5,877,998	\$3,733,063	-\$2,144,935	\$0	\$0	-\$2,144,935	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	\$1,983,935
20	\$5,994,485	\$3,824,267	-\$2,170,218	\$0	\$0	-\$2,170,218	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$2,032,218
21	\$6,125,619	\$3,901,223	-\$2,224,396	\$0	\$0	-\$2,224,396	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,224,396
22	\$6,310,718	\$3,973,683	-\$2,337,035	\$0	\$0	-\$2,337,035	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,337,035
23	\$6,465,014	\$4,038,582	-\$2,426,432	\$0	\$0	-\$2,426,432	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,426,432
24	\$6,606,771	\$4,118,770	-\$2,488,001	\$0	\$0	-\$2,488,001	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,488,001
25	\$6,726,756	\$4,204,265	-\$2,522,492	\$0	\$0	-\$2,522,492	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$2,472,658
Totals	\$112,078,409	\$73,663,807	-\$38,414,601	\$0	\$0	-\$38,414,601	\$7,858,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,849,743	\$25,564,858

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

11.8%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$14,438,118

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 75% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$404,053	\$343,512	-\$60,541	\$0	\$0	-\$60,541	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,528,869
2	\$809,883	\$646,269	-\$163,613	\$0	\$0	-\$163,613	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$342,387
3	\$1,214,321	\$935,445	-\$278,876	\$0	\$0	-\$278,876	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$181,124
4	\$1,609,961	\$1,201,811	-\$408,151	\$0	\$0	-\$408,151	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$5,849
5	\$1,972,496	\$1,420,140	-\$552,356	\$0	\$0	-\$552,356	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$230,356
6	\$2,369,185	\$1,677,065	-\$692,120	\$0	\$0	-\$692,120	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$324,120
7	\$2,697,609	\$1,884,557	-\$813,052	\$0	\$0	-\$813,052	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$537,052
8	\$3,086,034	\$2,110,504	-\$975,530	\$0	\$0	-\$975,530	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$699,530
9	\$3,198,586	\$2,164,851	-\$1,033,735	\$0	\$0	-\$1,033,735	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,033,735
10	\$3,308,593	\$2,217,770	-\$1,090,824	\$0	\$0	-\$1,090,824	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,090,824
11	\$3,392,450	\$2,301,410	-\$1,091,040	\$0	\$0	-\$1,091,040	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,091,040
12	\$3,505,976	\$2,375,412	-\$1,130,564	\$0	\$0	-\$1,130,564	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,130,564
13	\$3,646,448	\$2,439,174	-\$1,207,274	\$0	\$0	-\$1,207,274	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$609,274
14	\$3,777,940	\$2,472,260	-\$1,305,679	\$0	\$0	-\$1,305,679	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$799,679
15	\$3,918,744	\$2,541,987	-\$1,376,757	\$0	\$0	-\$1,376,757	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$955,090
16	\$3,999,838	\$2,588,557	-\$1,411,281	\$0	\$0	-\$1,411,281	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	\$1,066,281
17	\$4,121,156	\$2,641,648	-\$1,479,508	\$0	\$0	-\$1,479,508	\$241,500	\$0	\$0	\$241,500	\$1,238,008
18	\$4,223,330	\$2,715,762	-\$1,507,567	\$0	\$0	-\$1,507,567	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	\$1,262,234
19	\$4,377,233	\$2,779,941	-\$1,597,292	\$0	\$0	-\$1,597,292	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	\$1,436,292
20	\$4,463,978	\$2,847,858	-\$1,616,120	\$0	\$0	-\$1,616,120	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$1,478,120
21	\$4,561,631	\$2,905,166	-\$1,656,465	\$0	\$0	-\$1,656,465	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,656,465
22	\$4,699,471	\$2,959,126	-\$1,740,345	\$0	\$0	-\$1,740,345	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,740,345
23	\$4,814,372	\$3,007,455	-\$1,806,918	\$0	\$0	-\$1,806,918	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,806,918
23	\$4,919,936	\$3,067,169	-\$1,852,767	\$0	\$0	-\$1,852,767	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,852,767
25	\$5,009,287	\$3,130,835	-\$1,878,451	\$0	\$0	-\$1,878,451	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$1,828,618
Totals	\$84,102,511	\$55,375,684	-\$28,726,827	\$0	\$0	-\$28,726,827	\$5,926,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,917,743	\$17,809,083

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

9.8%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$9,569,335

Note: 24 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

50% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	3.02	2.57	0.45	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	92,700	3.02	2.57	0.45
2019		\$ -	-	10,300	3.28	2.61	0.66	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	175,100	3.28	2.61	0.66
2020	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	103,000	3.47	2.67	0.80	7	\$ 322,000	72,100	247,200	3.47	2.67	0.80
2021	10	\$ 460,000	103,000	206,000	3.64	2.71	0.92	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	309,000	3.64	2.71	0.92
2022		\$ -	-	206,000	3.83	2.76	1.07	21	\$ 966,000	216,300	525,300	3.83	2.76	1.07
2023	4	\$ 184,000	41,200	247,200	3.97	2.81	1.16	5	\$ 230,000	51,500	576,800	3.97	2.81	1.16
2024	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	257,500	4.09	2.86	1.23	4	\$ 184,000	41,200	618,000	4.09	2.86	1.23
2025		\$-	-	257,500	4.28	2.93	1.35	4	\$ 184,000	41,200	659,200	4.28	2.93	1.35
2026	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	370,800	4.44	3.00	1.43		\$-	-	659,200	4.44	3.00	1.43
2027		\$ -	-	370,800	4.59	3.08	1.51		\$-	-	659,200	4.59	3.08	1.51
2028	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	432,600	4.71	3.19	1.51		\$-	-	659,200	4.71	3.19	1.51
2029		\$ -	-	432,600	4.86	3.29	1.57		\$-	-	659,200	4.86	3.29	1.57
2030	1	\$ 46,000	-	432,600	5.06	3.38	1.67	9	\$ 414,000	-	659,200	5.06	3.38	1.67
2031		\$ -	-	432,600	5.24	3.43	1.81	8	\$ 368,000	-	659,200	5.24	3.43	1.81
2032	9	\$ 379,500	-	432,600	5.44	3.53	1.91	7	\$ 295,167	-	659,200	5.44	3.53	1.91
2033	10	\$ 383,333	-	432,600	5.55	3.59	1.96	6	\$ 230,000	-	659,200	5.55	3.59	1.96
2034		\$ -	-	432,600	5.72	3.66	2.05	21	\$ 724,500	-	659,200	5.72	3.66	2.05
2035	4	\$ 122,667	-	432,600	5.86	3.77	2.09	5	\$ 153,333	-	659,200	5.86	3.77	2.09
2036	1	\$ 26,833	-	432,600	6.07	3.86	2.22	4	\$ 107,333	-	659,200	6.07	3.86	2.22
2037		\$ -	-	432,600	6.19	3.95	2.24	4	\$ 92,000	-	659,200	6.19	3.95	2.24
2038	11	\$ 210,833	-	432,600	6.33	4.03	2.30		\$ -	-	659,200	6.33	4.03	2.30
2039		\$-	-	432,600	6.52	4.10	2.41		\$-	-	659,200	6.52	4.10	2.41
2040	6	\$ 69,000	-	432,600	6.68	4.17	2.51		\$-	-	659,200	6.68	4.17	2.51
2041		\$-	-	432,600	6.82	4.25	2.57		\$-	-	659,200	6.82	4.25	2.57
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	432,600	6.95	4.34	2.61	9	\$ 34,500	-	659,200	6.95	4.34	2.61
TOTALS		\$ 3,174,000	432,600	8,528,400					\$ 5,362,833	659,200	14,409,700			

* EIA 2017 Reference Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Reference Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 16 BRT Buses
GoRaleigh Transit 50% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$310,810	\$264,240	-\$46,570	\$0	\$0	-\$46,570	\$460,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,451,410	-\$5,404,840
2	\$607,412	\$484,702	-\$122,710	\$0	\$0	-\$122,710	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$245,290
3	\$1,214,321	\$935,445	-\$278,876	\$0	\$0	-\$278,876	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$457,124
4	\$1,872,048	\$1,397,454	-\$474,594	\$0	\$0	-\$474,594	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$261,406
5	\$2,800,945	\$2,016,599	-\$784,346	\$0	\$0	-\$784,346	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$181,654
6	\$3,267,841	\$2,313,193	-\$954,649	\$0	\$0	-\$954,649	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$540,649
7	\$3,582,762	\$2,502,927	-\$1,079,835	\$0	\$0	-\$1,079,835	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$849,835
8	\$3,923,672	\$2,683,356	-\$1,240,317	\$0	\$0	-\$1,240,317	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$1,056,317
9	\$4,569,409	\$3,092,644	-\$1,476,765	\$0	\$0	-\$1,476,765	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$970,765
10	\$4,726,562	\$3,168,242	-\$1,558,319	\$0	\$0	-\$1,558,319	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,558,319
11	\$5,137,138	\$3,484,992	-\$1,652,147	\$0	\$0	-\$1,652,147	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$1,376,147
12	\$5,309,049	\$3,597,053	-\$1,711,996	\$0	\$0	-\$1,711,996	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,711,996
13	\$5,521,764	\$3,693,606	-\$1,828,158	\$0	\$0	-\$1,828,158	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$1,368,158
14	\$5,720,880	\$3,743,708	-\$1,977,172	\$0	\$0	-\$1,977,172	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$1,609,172
15	\$5,934,098	\$3,849,295	-\$2,084,803	\$0	\$0	-\$2,084,803	\$674,667	\$0	\$0	\$674,667	\$1,410,136
16	\$6,056,897	\$3,919,814	-\$2,137,083	\$0	\$0	-\$2,137,083	\$613,333	\$0	\$0	\$613,333	\$1,523,749
17	\$6,240,608	\$4,000,210	-\$2,240,397	\$0	\$0	-\$2,240,397	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	\$1,515,897
18	\$6,395,328	\$4,112,440	-\$2,282,887	\$0	\$0	-\$2,282,887	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$2,006,887
19	\$6,628,381	\$4,209,624	-\$2,418,757	\$0	\$0	-\$2,418,757	\$134,167	\$0	\$0	\$134,167	\$2,284,590
20	\$6,759,739	\$4,312,471	-\$2,447,268	\$0	\$0	-\$2,447,268	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$2,355,268
21	\$6,907,613	\$4,399,252	-\$2,508,361	\$0	\$0	-\$2,508,361	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	\$2,297,528
22	\$7,116,341	\$4,480,962	-\$2,635,379	\$0	\$0	-\$2,635,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,635,379
23	\$7,290,335	\$4,554,146	-\$2,736,190	\$0	\$0	-\$2,736,190	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$2,667,190
24	\$7,450,189	\$4,644,570	-\$2,805,618	\$0	\$0	-\$2,805,618	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,805,618
25	\$7,585,491	\$4,740,979	-\$2,844,512	\$0	\$0	-\$2,844,512	\$38,333	\$0	\$0	\$38,333	\$2,806,178
Totals	\$122,929,634	\$80,601,925	-\$42,327,709	\$0	\$0	-\$42,327,709	\$8,536,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$13,528,243	\$28,799,465

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

12.4%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$16,382,491

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service; 50% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$31,081	\$26,424	-\$4,657	\$0	\$0	-\$4,657	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,032,753
2	\$33,745	\$26,928	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	-\$6,817	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,817
3	\$357,153	\$275,131	-\$82,022	\$0	\$0	-\$82,022	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$331,978
4	\$748,819	\$558,982	-\$189,838	\$0	\$0	-\$189,838	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$270,162
5	\$788,999	\$568,056	-\$220,943	\$0	\$0	-\$220,943	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220,943
6	\$980,352	\$693,958	-\$286,395	\$0	\$0	-\$286,395	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$102,395
7	\$1,053,754	\$736,155	-\$317,599	\$0	\$0	-\$317,599	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$271,599
8	\$1,102,155	\$753,752	-\$348,404	\$0	\$0	-\$348,404	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$348,404
9	\$1,644,987	\$1,113,352	-\$531,635	\$0	\$0	-\$531,635	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$25,635
10	\$1,701,562	\$1,140,567	-\$560,995	\$0	\$0	-\$560,995	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$560,995
11	\$2,035,470	\$1,380,846	-\$654,624	\$0	\$0	-\$654,624	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$378,624
12	\$2,103,585	\$1,425,247	-\$678,338	\$0	\$0	-\$678,338	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$678,338
13	\$2,187,869	\$1,463,504	-\$724,365	\$0	\$0	-\$724,365	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$678,365
14	\$2,266,764	\$1,483,356	-\$783,408	\$0	\$0	-\$783,408	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$783,408
15	\$2,351,246	\$1,525,192	-\$826,054	\$0	\$0	-\$826,054	\$379,500	\$0	\$0	\$379,500	\$446,554
16	\$2,399,903	\$1,553,134	-\$846,769	\$0	\$0	-\$846,769	\$383,333	\$0	\$0	\$383,333	\$463,435
17	\$2,472,694	\$1,584,989	-\$887,705	\$0	\$0	-\$887,705	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$887,705
18	\$2,533,998	\$1,629,457	-\$904,540	\$0	\$0	-\$904,540	\$122,667	\$0	\$0	\$122,667	\$781,874
19	\$2,626,340	\$1,667,964	-\$958,375	\$0	\$0	-\$958,375	\$26,833	\$0	\$0	\$26,833	\$931,542
20	\$2,678,387	\$1,708,715	-\$969,672	\$0	\$0	-\$969,672	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$969,672
21	\$2,736,979	\$1,743,100	-\$993,879	\$0	\$0	-\$993,879	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	\$783,046
22	\$2,819,682	\$1,775,476	-\$1,044,207	\$0	\$0	-\$1,044,207	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,044,207
23	\$2,888,623	\$1,804,473	-\$1,084,151	\$0	\$0	-\$1,084,151	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$1,015,151
24	\$2,951,962	\$1,840,301	-\$1,111,660	\$0	\$0	-\$1,111,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,111,660
25	\$3,005,572	\$1,878,501	-\$1,127,071	\$0	\$0	-\$1,127,071	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$1,123,237
Totals	\$46,501,681	\$30,357,560	-\$16,144,120	\$0	\$0	-\$16,144,120	\$3,174,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$8,165,410	\$7,978,710

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

5.8%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$3,305,300

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 50% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$279,729	\$237,816	-\$41,913	\$0	\$0	-\$41,913	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,363,497
2	\$573,667	\$457,774	-\$115,893	\$0	\$0	-\$115,893	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$252,107
3	\$857,167	\$660,314	-\$196,853	\$0	\$0	-\$196,853	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$125,147
4	\$1,123,229	\$838,473	-\$284,756	\$0	\$0	-\$284,756	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$8,756
5	\$2,011,946	\$1,448,543	-\$563,403	\$0	\$0	-\$563,403	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$402,597
6	\$2,287,489	\$1,619,235	-\$668,254	\$0	\$0	-\$668,254	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$438,254
7	\$2,529,009	\$1,766,772	-\$762,236	\$0	\$0	-\$762,236	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$578,236
8	\$2,821,517	\$1,929,604	-\$891,913	\$0	\$0	-\$891,913	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$707,913
9	\$2,924,421	\$1,979,292	-\$945,129	\$0	\$0	-\$945,129	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$945,129
10	\$3,025,000	\$2,027,675	-\$997,324	\$0	\$0	-\$997,324	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$997,324
11	\$3,101,668	\$2,104,146	-\$997,522	\$0	\$0	-\$997,522	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$997,522
12	\$3,205,463	\$2,171,805	-\$1,033,658	\$0	\$0	-\$1,033,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,033,658
13	\$3,333,895	\$2,230,102	-\$1,103,794	\$0	\$0	-\$1,103,794	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$689,794
14	\$3,454,116	\$2,260,352	-\$1,193,764	\$0	\$0	-\$1,193,764	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$825,764
15	\$3,582,852	\$2,324,103	-\$1,258,749	\$0	\$0	-\$1,258,749	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	\$963,582
16	\$3,656,994	\$2,366,680	-\$1,290,314	\$0	\$0	-\$1,290,314	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$1,060,314
17	\$3,767,914	\$2,415,221	-\$1,352,693	\$0	\$0	-\$1,352,693	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	\$628,193
18	\$3,861,330	\$2,482,983	-\$1,378,347	\$0	\$0	-\$1,378,347	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$1,225,014
19	\$4,002,041	\$2,541,660	-\$1,460,382	\$0	\$0	-\$1,460,382	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	\$1,353,048
20	\$4,081,352	\$2,603,756	-\$1,477,596	\$0	\$0	-\$1,477,596	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$1,385,596
21	\$4,170,634	\$2,656,152	-\$1,514,482	\$0	\$0	-\$1,514,482	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,514,482
22	\$4,296,659	\$2,705,487	-\$1,591,172	\$0	\$0	-\$1,591,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,591,172
23	\$4,401,712	\$2,749,673	-\$1,652,039	\$0	\$0	-\$1,652,039	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,652,039
24	\$4,498,227	\$2,804,269	-\$1,693,958	\$0	\$0	-\$1,693,958	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,693,958
25	\$4,579,919	\$2,862,478	-\$1,717,441	\$0	\$0	-\$1,717,441	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$1,682,941
Totals	\$76,427,953	\$50,244,365	-\$26,183,588	\$0	\$0	-\$26,183,588	\$5,362,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,354,243	\$15,829,345

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

9.2%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$8,326,295

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 50% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Reference Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$279,729	\$237,816	-\$41,913	\$0	\$0	-\$41,913	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,363,497
2	\$573,667	\$457,774	-\$115,893	\$0	\$0	-\$115,893	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$252,107
3	\$857,167	\$660,314	-\$196,853	\$0	\$0	-\$196,853	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$125,147
4	\$1,123,229	\$838,473	-\$284,756	\$0	\$0	-\$284,756	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$8,756
5	\$1,380,747	\$994,098	-\$386,649	\$0	\$0	-\$386,649	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$156,649
6	\$1,633,921	\$1,156,596	-\$477,324	\$0	\$0	-\$477,324	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$247,324
7	\$1,854,606	\$1,295,633	-\$558,973	\$0	\$0	-\$558,973	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$374,973
8	\$2,116,138	\$1,447,203	-\$668,935	\$0	\$0	-\$668,935	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$484,935
9	\$2,193,316	\$1,484,469	-\$708,847	\$0	\$0	-\$708,847	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$708,847
10	\$2,268,750	\$1,520,756	-\$747,993	\$0	\$0	-\$747,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$747,993
11	\$2,326,251	\$1,578,110	-\$748,142	\$0	\$0	-\$748,142	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$748,142
12	\$2,404,098	\$1,628,854	-\$775,244	\$0	\$0	-\$775,244	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$775,244
13	\$2,500,422	\$1,672,576	-\$827,845	\$0	\$0	-\$827,845	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$413,845
14	\$2,590,587	\$1,695,264	-\$895,323	\$0	\$0	-\$895,323	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$527,323
15	\$2,687,139	\$1,743,077	-\$944,062	\$0	\$0	-\$944,062	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	\$648,895
16	\$2,742,746	\$1,775,010	-\$967,735	\$0	\$0	-\$967,735	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$737,735
17	\$2,825,936	\$1,811,416	-\$1,014,520	\$0	\$0	-\$1,014,520	\$172,500	\$0	\$0	\$172,500	\$842,020
18	\$2,895,997	\$1,862,237	-\$1,033,760	\$0	\$0	-\$1,033,760	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$880,427
19	\$3,001,531	\$1,906,245	-\$1,095,286	\$0	\$0	-\$1,095,286	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	\$987,953
20	\$3,061,014	\$1,952,817	-\$1,108,197	\$0	\$0	-\$1,108,197	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$1,016,197
21	\$3,127,976	\$1,992,114	-\$1,135,862	\$0	\$0	-\$1,135,862	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,135,862
22	\$3,222,494	\$2,029,115	-\$1,193,379	\$0	\$0	-\$1,193,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,193,379
23	\$3,301,284	\$2,062,255	-\$1,239,029	\$0	\$0	-\$1,239,029	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,239,029
23	\$3,373,670	\$2,103,202	-\$1,270,469	\$0	\$0	-\$1,270,469	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,270,469
25	\$3,434,939	\$2,146,859	-\$1,288,081	\$0	\$0	-\$1,288,081	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$1,253,581
Totals	\$57,777,354	\$38,052,282	-\$19,725,072	\$0	\$0	-\$19,725,072	\$4,074,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,066,243	\$10,658,828

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Reference Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation
⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

Internal Rate of Return:

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$5,080,440

7.2%

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

Note: 16 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

EIA 2017 HIGH OIL PRICE CASE FINANCIAL SCENARIOS

		100% CI	NG Fleet	Replacer	nent, E>	pansio	n, and F	Fuel Cos	t P	rojectio	ons			we	ndel
FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNO	G Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	4.55	2.60	1.95	17	\$	782,000	175,100	175,100	4.55	2.60	1.95
2019		\$-	-	10,300	5.28	2.68	2.59	15	\$	690,000	154,500	329,600	5.28	2.68	2.59
2020	18	\$ 828,000	185,400	195,700	5.90	2.76	3.14	13	\$	598,000	133,900	463,500	5.90	2.76	3.14
2021	19	\$ 874,000	195,700	391,400	6.40	2.82	3.58	12	\$	552,000	123,600	587,100	6.40	2.82	3.58
2022		\$ -	-	391,400	6.87	2.88	3.99	41	\$	1,886,000	422,300	1,009,400	6.87	2.88	3.99
2023	7	\$ 322,000	72,100	463,500	7.14	2.93	4.21	10	\$	460,000	103,000	1,112,400	7.14	2.93	4.21
2024	2	\$ 92,000	20,600	484,100	7.34	2.99	4.35	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,194,800	7.34	2.99	4.35
2025		\$ -	-	484,100	7.41	3.11	4.30	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,277,200	7.41	3.11	4.30
2026	21	\$ 966,000	216,300	700,400	7.64	3.18	4.46		\$	-	-	1,277,200	7.64	3.18	4.46
2027		\$ -	-	700,400	7.92	3.26	4.66		\$	-	-	1,277,200	7.92	3.26	4.66
2028	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	813,700	8.24	3.33	4.91		\$	-	-	1,277,200	8.24	3.33	4.91
2029		\$ -	-	813,700	8.53	3.39	5.14		\$	-	-	1,277,200	8.53	3.39	5.14
2030	1	\$ 46,000	-	813,700	8.75	3.47	5.28	17	\$	782,000	-	1,277,200	8.75	3.47	5.28
2031		\$ -	-	813,700	9.01	3.53	5.49	15	\$	690,000	-	1,277,200	9.01	3.53	5.49
2032	18	\$ 759,000	-	813,700	9.39	3.59	5.80	13	\$	548,167	-	1,277,200	9.39	3.59	5.80
2033	19	\$ 728,333	-	813,700	9.46	3.65	5.81	12	\$	460,000	-	1,277,200	9.46	3.65	5.81
2034		\$ -	-	813,700	9.72	3.70	6.02	41	\$	1,414,500	-	1,277,200	9.72	3.70	6.02
2035	7	\$ 214,667	-	813,700	9.95	3.77	6.17	10	\$	306,667	-	1,277,200	9.95	3.77	6.17
2036	2	\$ 53,667	-	813,700	10.18	3.84	6.34	8	\$	214,667	-	1,277,200	10.18	3.84	6.34
2037		\$ -	-	813,700	10.35	3.91	6.44	8	\$	184,000	-	1,277,200	10.35	3.91	6.44
2038	21	\$ 402,500	-	813,700	10.80	3.98	6.83		\$	-	-	1,277,200	10.80	3.98	6.83
2039		\$ -	-	813,700	10.93	4.04	6.89		\$	-	-	1,277,200	10.93	4.04	6.89
2040	11	\$ 126,500	-	813,700	11.22	4.10	7.13		\$	-	-	1,277,200	11.22	4.10	7.13
2041		\$ -	-	813,700	11.50	4.16	7.33		\$	-	-	1,277,200	11.50	4.16	7.33
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	813,700	11.78	4.24	7.53	17	\$	65,167	-	1,277,200	11.78	4.24	7.53
TOTALS		\$ 5,968,500	813,700	16,037,100					\$ 1	10,369,167	1,277,200	27,861,500			

Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle



* EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation

CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 32 BRT Buses

Fleet and Fuel Projections

GoRaleigh Transit Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$843,933	\$482,876	-\$361,057	\$0	\$0	-\$361,057	\$828,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,819,410	-\$5,458,353
2	\$1,793,432	\$911,802	-\$881,630	\$0	\$0	-\$881,630	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$191,630
3	\$3,886,166	\$1,817,433	-\$2,068,733	\$0	\$0	-\$2,068,733	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	\$642,733
4	\$6,261,148	\$2,756,940	-\$3,504,208	\$0	\$0	-\$3,504,208	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	\$2,078,208
5	\$9,624,430	\$4,029,203	-\$5,595,227	\$0	\$0	-\$5,595,227	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	\$3,709,227
6	\$11,248,320	\$4,618,893	-\$6,629,427	\$0	\$0	-\$6,629,427	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$5,847,427
7	\$12,328,723	\$5,026,355	-\$7,302,368	\$0	\$0	-\$7,302,368	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$6,842,368
8	\$13,051,539	\$5,477,629	-\$7,573,911	\$0	\$0	-\$7,573,911	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$7,205,911
9	\$15,099,180	\$6,286,997	-\$8,812,183	\$0	\$0	-\$8,812,183	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$7,846,183
10	\$15,663,343	\$6,446,121	-\$9,217,222	\$0	\$0	-\$9,217,222	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,217,222
11	\$17,223,174	\$6,952,923	-\$10,270,251	\$0	\$0	-\$10,270,251	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$9,764,251
12	\$17,836,571	\$7,093,378	-\$10,743,193	\$0	\$0	-\$10,743,193	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,743,193
13	\$18,297,629	\$7,250,578	-\$11,047,051	\$0	\$0	-\$11,047,051	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	\$10,219,051
14	\$18,848,397	\$7,379,643	-\$11,468,754	\$0	\$0	-\$11,468,754	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$10,778,754
15	\$19,632,947	\$7,509,574	-\$12,123,373	\$0	\$0	-\$12,123,373	\$1,307,167	\$0	\$0	\$1,307,167	\$10,816,206
16	\$19,785,024	\$7,626,902	-\$12,158,123	\$0	\$0	-\$12,158,123	\$1,188,333	\$0	\$0	\$1,188,333	\$10,969,789
17	\$20,316,600	\$7,736,509	-\$12,580,091	\$0	\$0	-\$12,580,091	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	\$11,165,591
18	\$20,794,467	\$7,889,100	-\$12,905,367	\$0	\$0	-\$12,905,367	\$521,333	\$0	\$0	\$521,333	\$12,384,033
19	\$21,285,521	\$8,030,016	-\$13,255,505	\$0	\$0	-\$13,255,505	\$268,333	\$0	\$0	\$268,333	\$12,987,171
20	\$21,647,504	\$8,177,571	-\$13,469,933	\$0	\$0	-\$13,469,933	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$13,285,933
21	\$22,585,187	\$8,313,196	-\$14,271,991	\$0	\$0	-\$14,271,991	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$13,869,491
22	\$22,857,085	\$8,441,371	-\$14,415,714	\$0	\$0	-\$14,415,714	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,415,714
23	\$23,465,773	\$8,565,992	-\$14,899,782	\$0	\$0	-\$14,899,782	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	\$14,773,282
24	\$24,034,969	\$8,705,642	-\$15,329,327	\$0	\$0	-\$15,329,327	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,329,327
25	\$24,621,035	\$8,870,131	-\$15,750,904	\$0	\$0	-\$15,750,904	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$15,681,904
Totals	\$403,032,097	\$156,396,775	-\$246,635,322	\$0	\$0	-\$246,635,322	\$16,337,667	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$21,329,077	\$225,306,246

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

46.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$145,938,932

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$46,885	\$26,826	-\$20,059	\$0	\$0	-\$20,059	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,017,351
2	\$54,346	\$27,630	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,716
3	\$1,153,706	\$539,551	-\$614,155	\$0	\$0	-\$614,155	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	-\$213,845
4	\$2,504,459	\$1,102,776	-\$1,401,683	\$0	\$0	-\$1,401,683	\$874,000	\$0	\$0	\$874,000	\$527,683
5	\$2,689,179	\$1,125,807	-\$1,563,372	\$0	\$0	-\$1,563,372	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,563,372
6	\$3,308,330	\$1,358,498	-\$1,949,832	\$0	\$0	-\$1,949,832	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$1,627,832
7	\$3,554,908	\$1,449,317	-\$2,105,591	\$0	\$0	-\$2,105,591	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$2,013,591
8	\$3,587,265	\$1,505,547	-\$2,081,718	\$0	\$0	-\$2,081,718	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,081,718
9	\$5,347,626	\$2,226,645	-\$3,120,981	\$0	\$0	-\$3,120,981	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$2,154,981
10	\$5,547,434	\$2,283,001	-\$3,264,433	\$0	\$0	-\$3,264,433	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,264,433
11	\$6,702,615	\$2,705,817	-\$3,996,797	\$0	\$0	-\$3,996,797	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$3,490,797
12	\$6,941,326	\$2,760,477	-\$4,180,848	\$0	\$0	-\$4,180,848	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,180,848
13	\$7,120,752	\$2,821,653	-\$4,299,099	\$0	\$0	-\$4,299,099	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$4,253,099
14	\$7,335,091	\$2,871,881	-\$4,463,210	\$0	\$0	-\$4,463,210	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,463,210
15	\$7,640,408	\$2,922,445	-\$4,717,963	\$0	\$0	-\$4,717,963	\$759,000	\$0	\$0	\$759,000	\$3,958,963
16	\$7,699,591	\$2,968,105	-\$4,731,486	\$0	\$0	-\$4,731,486	\$728,333	\$0	\$0	\$728,333	\$4,003,153
17	\$7,906,460	\$3,010,760	-\$4,895,700	\$0	\$0	-\$4,895,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,895,700
18	\$8,092,428	\$3,070,142	-\$5,022,286	\$0	\$0	-\$5,022,286	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$4,807,619
19	\$8,283,528	\$3,124,982	-\$5,158,546	\$0	\$0	-\$5,158,546	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$5,104,879
20	\$8,424,398	\$3,182,405	-\$5,241,993	\$0	\$0	-\$5,241,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,241,993
21	\$8,789,309	\$3,235,184	-\$5,554,125	\$0	\$0	-\$5,554,125	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	\$5,151,625
22	\$8,895,122	\$3,285,066	-\$5,610,056	\$0	\$0	-\$5,610,056	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,610,056
23	\$9,132,000	\$3,333,563	-\$5,798,437	\$0	\$0	-\$5,798,437	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	\$5,671,937
24	\$9,353,510	\$3,387,910	-\$5,965,600	\$0	\$0	-\$5,965,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,965,600
25	\$9,581,585	\$3,451,923	-\$6,129,662	\$0	\$0	-\$6,129,662	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$6,125,829
Totals	\$149,692,260	\$57,777,911	-\$91,914,349	\$0	\$0	-\$91,914,349	\$5,968,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,959,910	\$80,954,439

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

25.8%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$50,879,812

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$797,047	\$456,049	-\$340,998	\$0	\$0	-\$340,998	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,432,412
2	\$1,739,085	\$884,171	-\$854,914	\$0	\$0	-\$854,914	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$164,914
3	\$2,732,460	\$1,277,883	-\$1,454,578	\$0	\$0	-\$1,454,578	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$856,578
4	\$3,756,689	\$1,654,164	-\$2,102,525	\$0	\$0	-\$2,102,525	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	\$1,550,525
5	\$6,935,251	\$2,903,396	-\$4,031,855	\$0	\$0	-\$4,031,855	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	\$2,145,855
6	\$7,939,991	\$3,260,395	-\$4,679,596	\$0	\$0	-\$4,679,596	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$4,219,596
7	\$8,773,815	\$3,577,038	-\$5,196,777	\$0	\$0	-\$5,196,777	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$4,828,777
8	\$9,464,274	\$3,972,082	-\$5,492,192	\$0	\$0	-\$5,492,192	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$5,124,192
9	\$9,751,554	\$4,060,352	-\$5,691,201	\$0	\$0	-\$5,691,201	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,691,201
10	\$10,115,909	\$4,163,120	-\$5,952,789	\$0	\$0	-\$5,952,789	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,952,789
11	\$10,520,560	\$4,247,106	-\$6,273,454	\$0	\$0	-\$6,273,454	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,273,454
12	\$10,895,245	\$4,332,901	-\$6,562,344	\$0	\$0	-\$6,562,344	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,562,344
13	\$11,176,877	\$4,428,924	-\$6,747,953	\$0	\$0	-\$6,747,953	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$5,965,953
14	\$11,513,307	\$4,507,762	-\$7,005,544	\$0	\$0	-\$7,005,544	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$6,315,544
15	\$11,992,539	\$4,587,129	-\$7,405,410	\$0	\$0	-\$7,405,410	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	\$6,857,243
16	\$12,085,433	\$4,658,797	-\$7,426,636	\$0	\$0	-\$7,426,636	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$6,966,636
17	\$12,410,140	\$4,725,750	-\$7,684,390	\$0	\$0	-\$7,684,390	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	\$6,269,890
18	\$12,702,039	\$4,818,958	-\$7,883,081	\$0	\$0	-\$7,883,081	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$7,576,414
19	\$13,001,993	\$4,905,035	-\$8,096,959	\$0	\$0	-\$8,096,959	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$7,882,292
20	\$13,223,106	\$4,995,167	-\$8,227,939	\$0	\$0	-\$8,227,939	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$8,043,939
21	\$13,795,878	\$5,078,011	-\$8,717,867	\$0	\$0	-\$8,717,867	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,717,867
22	\$13,961,963	\$5,156,306	-\$8,805,658	\$0	\$0	-\$8,805,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,805,658
23	\$14,333,773	\$5,232,428	-\$9,101,345	\$0	\$0	-\$9,101,345	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,101,345
24	\$14,681,459	\$5,317,732	-\$9,363,727	\$0	\$0	-\$9,363,727	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,363,727
25	\$15,039,450	\$5,418,208	-\$9,621,242	\$0	\$0	-\$9,621,242	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$9,556,075
Totals	\$253,339,837	\$98,618,864	-\$154,720,974	\$0	\$0	-\$154,720,974	\$10,369,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$15,360,577	\$139,360,397

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

38.4%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$90,308,225

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$797,047	\$456,049	-\$340,998	\$0	\$0	-\$340,998	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,432,412
2	\$1,739,085	\$884,171	-\$854,914	\$0	\$0	-\$854,914	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$164,914
3	\$2,732,460	\$1,277,883	-\$1,454,578	\$0	\$0	-\$1,454,578	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$856,578
4	\$3,756,689	\$1,654,164	-\$2,102,525	\$0	\$0	-\$2,102,525	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	\$1,550,525
5	\$4,670,679	\$1,955,349	-\$2,715,331	\$0	\$0	-\$2,715,331	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$2,301,331
6	\$5,587,401	\$2,294,352	-\$3,293,049	\$0	\$0	-\$3,293,049	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$2,833,049
7	\$6,353,453	\$2,590,269	-\$3,763,184	\$0	\$0	-\$3,763,184	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$3,395,184
8	\$7,021,881	\$2,947,028	-\$4,074,852	\$0	\$0	-\$4,074,852	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$3,706,852
9	\$7,235,024	\$3,012,519	-\$4,222,504	\$0	\$0	-\$4,222,504	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,222,504
10	\$7,505,352	\$3,088,766	-\$4,416,586	\$0	\$0	-\$4,416,586	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,416,586
11	\$7,805,576	\$3,151,079	-\$4,654,498	\$0	\$0	-\$4,654,498	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,654,498
12	\$8,083,569	\$3,214,733	-\$4,868,836	\$0	\$0	-\$4,868,836	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,868,836
13	\$8,292,522	\$3,285,976	-\$5,006,545	\$0	\$0	-\$5,006,545	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	\$4,224,545
14	\$8,542,131	\$3,344,469	-\$5,197,662	\$0	\$0	-\$5,197,662	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	\$4,507,662
15	\$8,897,690	\$3,403,354	-\$5,494,336	\$0	\$0	-\$5,494,336	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	\$4,946,170
16	\$8,966,612	\$3,456,527	-\$5,510,085	\$0	\$0	-\$5,510,085	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$5,050,085
17	\$9,207,523	\$3,506,201	-\$5,701,322	\$0	\$0	-\$5,701,322	\$310,500	\$0	\$0	\$310,500	\$5,390,822
18	\$9,424,093	\$3,575,356	-\$5,848,738	\$0	\$0	-\$5,848,738	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$5,542,071
19	\$9,646,640	\$3,639,219	-\$6,007,421	\$0	\$0	-\$6,007,421	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$5,792,754
20	\$9,810,691	\$3,706,091	-\$6,104,600	\$0	\$0	-\$6,104,600	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$5,920,600
21	\$10,235,651	\$3,767,557	-\$6,468,095	\$0	\$0	-\$6,468,095	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,468,095
22	\$10,358,876	\$3,825,646	-\$6,533,230	\$0	\$0	-\$6,533,230	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,533,230
23	\$10,634,735	\$3,882,124	-\$6,752,610	\$0	\$0	-\$6,752,610	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,752,610
23	\$10,892,695	\$3,945,414	-\$6,947,281	\$0	\$0	-\$6,947,281	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,947,281
25	\$11,158,302	\$4,019,961	-\$7,138,341	\$0	\$0	-\$7,138,341	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$7,073,174
Totals	\$189,356,378	\$73,884,257	-\$115,472,120	\$0	\$0	-\$115,472,120	\$7,793,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,784,577	\$102,687,544

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

33.9%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$66,307,553

Note: 32 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

75% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	4.55	2.60	1.95	13	\$ 598,000	133,900	133,900	4.55	2.60	1.95
2019		\$ -	-	10,300	5.28	2.68	2.59	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	247,200	5.28	2.68	2.59
2020	14	\$ 644,000	144,200	154,500	5.90	2.76	3.14	10	\$ 460,000	103,000	350,200	5.90	2.76	3.14
2021	14	\$ 644,000	144,200	298,700	6.40	2.82	3.58	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	442,900	6.40	2.82	3.58
2022		\$ -	-	298,700	6.87	2.88	3.99	31	\$ 1,426,000	319,300	762,200	6.87	2.88	3.99
2023	5	\$ 230,000	51,500	350,200	7.14	2.93	4.21	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	844,600	7.14	2.93	4.21
2024	2	\$ 92,000	20,600	370,800	7.34	2.99	4.35	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	906,400	7.34	2.99	4.35
2025		\$ -	-	370,800	7.41	3.11	4.30	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	968,200	7.41	3.11	4.30
2026	16	\$ 736,000	164,800	535,600	7.64	3.18	4.46		\$-	-	968,200	7.64	3.18	4.46
2027		\$-	-	535,600	7.92	3.26	4.66		\$-	-	968,200	7.92	3.26	4.66
2028	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	618,000	8.24	3.33	4.91		\$-	-	968,200	8.24	3.33	4.91
2029		\$-	-	618,000	8.53	3.39	5.14		\$-	-	968,200	8.53	3.39	5.14
2030	1	\$ 46,000	-	618,000	8.75	3.47	5.28	13	\$ 598,000	-	968,200	8.75	3.47	5.28
2031		\$-	-	618,000	9.01	3.53	5.49	11	\$ 506,000	-	968,200	9.01	3.53	5.49
2032	14	\$ 590,333	-	618,000	9.39	3.59	5.80	10	\$ 421,667	-	968,200	9.39	3.59	5.80
2033	14	\$ 536,667	-	618,000	9.46	3.65	5.81	9	\$ 345,000	-	968,200	9.46	3.65	5.81
2034		\$-	-	618,000	9.72	3.70	6.02	31	\$ 1,069,500	-	968,200	9.72	3.70	6.02
2035	5	\$ 153,333	-	618,000	9.95	3.77	6.17	8	\$ 245,333	-	968,200	9.95	3.77	6.17
2036	2	\$ 53,667	-	618,000	10.18	3.84	6.34	6	\$ 161,000	-	968,200	10.18	3.84	6.34
2037		\$-	-	618,000	10.35	3.91	6.44	6	\$ 138,000	-	968,200	10.35	3.91	6.44
2038	16	\$ 306,667	-	618,000	10.80	3.98	6.83		\$ -	-	968,200	10.80	3.98	6.83
2039		\$-	-	618,000	10.93	4.04	6.89		\$ -	-	968,200	10.93	4.04	6.89
2040	8	\$ 92,000	-	618,000	11.22	4.10	7.13		\$ -	-	968,200	11.22	4.10	7.13
2041		\$ -	-	618,000	11.50	4.16	7.33		\$ -	-	968,200	11.50	4.16	7.33
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	618,000	11.78	4.24	7.53	13	\$ 49,833	-	968,200	11.78	4.24	7.53
TOTALS		\$ 4,542,500	618,000	12,205,500					\$ 7,858,333	968,200	21,115,000			

* EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 24 BRT Buses

GoRaleigh Transit 75% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$656,392	\$375,570	-\$280,822	\$0	\$0	-\$280,822	\$644,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,635,410	-\$5,354,588
2	\$1,358,660	\$690,759	-\$667,902	\$0	\$0	-\$667,902	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$161,902
3	\$2,975,346	\$1,391,472	-\$1,583,873	\$0	\$0	-\$1,583,873	\$1,104,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,104,000	\$479,873
4	\$4,745,291	\$2,089,470	-\$2,655,821	\$0	\$0	-\$2,655,821	\$1,058,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,058,000	\$1,597,821
5	\$7,289,091	\$3,051,529	-\$4,237,562	\$0	\$0	-\$4,237,562	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	\$2,811,562
6	\$8,528,138	\$3,501,906	-\$5,026,232	\$0	\$0	-\$5,026,232	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$4,428,232
7	\$9,378,906	\$3,823,730	-\$5,555,176	\$0	\$0	-\$5,555,176	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$5,187,176
8	\$9,922,223	\$4,164,279	-\$5,757,944	\$0	\$0	-\$5,757,944	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$5,481,944
9	\$11,481,668	\$4,780,737	-\$6,700,931	\$0	\$0	-\$6,700,931	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$5,964,931
10	\$11,910,667	\$4,901,738	-\$7,008,929	\$0	\$0	-\$7,008,929	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,008,929
11	\$13,065,856	\$5,274,632	-\$7,791,225	\$0	\$0	-\$7,791,225	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$7,423,225
12	\$13,531,192	\$5,381,183	-\$8,150,008	\$0	\$0	-\$8,150,008	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,150,008
13	\$13,880,960	\$5,500,438	-\$8,380,522	\$0	\$0	-\$8,380,522	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	\$7,736,522
14	\$14,298,784	\$5,598,350	-\$8,700,434	\$0	\$0	-\$8,700,434	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$8,194,434
15	\$14,893,960	\$5,696,918	-\$9,197,041	\$0	\$0	-\$9,197,041	\$1,012,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,012,000	\$8,185,041
16	\$15,009,329	\$5,785,925	-\$9,223,403	\$0	\$0	-\$9,223,403	\$881,667	\$0	\$0	\$881,667	\$8,341,737
17	\$15,412,593	\$5,869,076	-\$9,543,517	\$0	\$0	-\$9,543,517	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	\$8,474,017
18	\$15,775,113	\$5,984,835	-\$9,790,278	\$0	\$0	-\$9,790,278	\$398,667	\$0	\$0	\$398,667	\$9,391,612
19	\$16,147,637	\$6,091,736	-\$10,055,900	\$0	\$0	-\$10,055,900	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	\$9,841,233
20	\$16,422,244	\$6,203,675	-\$10,218,570	\$0	\$0	-\$10,218,570	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$10,080,570
21	\$17,133,590	\$6,306,562	-\$10,827,028	\$0	\$0	-\$10,827,028	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$10,520,361
22	\$17,339,858	\$6,403,799	-\$10,936,059	\$0	\$0	-\$10,936,059	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,936,059
23	\$17,801,621	\$6,498,338	-\$11,303,283	\$0	\$0	-\$11,303,283	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$11,211,283
24	\$18,233,425	\$6,604,280	-\$11,629,144	\$0	\$0	-\$11,629,144	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,629,144
25	\$18,678,027	\$6,729,065	-\$11,948,962	\$0	\$0	-\$11,948,962	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$11,895,295
Totals	\$305,870,569	\$118,700,004	-\$187,170,566	\$0	\$0	-\$187,170,566	\$12,400,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$17,392,243	\$169,778,322

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

40.3%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$109,614,635

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service 75% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$46,885	\$26,826	-\$20,059	\$0	\$0	-\$20,059	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,017,351
2	\$54,346	\$27,630	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,716
3	\$910,820	\$425,961	-\$484,859	\$0	\$0	-\$484,859	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$159,141
4	\$1,911,298	\$841,592	-\$1,069,706	\$0	\$0	-\$1,069,706	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	\$425,706
5	\$2,052,268	\$859,168	-\$1,193,100	\$0	\$0	-\$1,193,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,193,100
6	\$2,499,627	\$1,026,421	-\$1,473,206	\$0	\$0	-\$1,473,206	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$1,243,206
7	\$2,722,908	\$1,110,115	-\$1,612,793	\$0	\$0	-\$1,612,793	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$1,520,793
8	\$2,747,693	\$1,153,185	-\$1,594,507	\$0	\$0	-\$1,594,507	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,594,507
9	\$4,089,361	\$1,702,728	-\$2,386,633	\$0	\$0	-\$2,386,633	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$1,650,633
10	\$4,242,156	\$1,745,825	-\$2,496,331	\$0	\$0	-\$2,496,331	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,496,331
11	\$5,090,593	\$2,055,051	-\$3,035,542	\$0	\$0	-\$3,035,542	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,667,542
12	\$5,271,893	\$2,096,565	-\$3,175,328	\$0	\$0	-\$3,175,328	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,175,328
13	\$5,408,166	\$2,143,028	-\$3,265,138	\$0	\$0	-\$3,265,138	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$3,219,138
14	\$5,570,955	\$2,181,175	-\$3,389,779	\$0	\$0	-\$3,389,779	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,389,779
15	\$5,802,841	\$2,219,579	-\$3,583,263	\$0	\$0	-\$3,583,263	\$590,333	\$0	\$0	\$590,333	\$2,992,930
16	\$5,847,790	\$2,254,257	-\$3,593,534	\$0	\$0	-\$3,593,534	\$536,667	\$0	\$0	\$536,667	\$3,056,867
17	\$6,004,906	\$2,286,653	-\$3,718,253	\$0	\$0	-\$3,718,253	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,718,253
18	\$6,146,148	\$2,331,754	-\$3,814,394	\$0	\$0	-\$3,814,394	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$3,661,061
19	\$6,291,287	\$2,373,404	-\$3,917,883	\$0	\$0	-\$3,917,883	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$3,864,216
20	\$6,398,277	\$2,417,016	-\$3,981,261	\$0	\$0	-\$3,981,261	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,981,261
21	\$6,675,425	\$2,457,102	-\$4,218,323	\$0	\$0	-\$4,218,323	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	\$3,911,656
22	\$6,755,789	\$2,494,987	-\$4,260,802	\$0	\$0	-\$4,260,802	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,260,802
23	\$6,935,697	\$2,531,820	-\$4,403,876	\$0	\$0	-\$4,403,876	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$4,311,876
24	\$7,103,932	\$2,573,096	-\$4,530,835	\$0	\$0	-\$4,530,835	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,530,835
25	\$7,277,153	\$2,621,714	-\$4,655,440	\$0	\$0	-\$4,655,440	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$4,651,606
Totals	\$113,858,214	\$43,956,652	-\$69,901,562	\$0	\$0	-\$69,901,562	\$4,542,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,533,910	\$60,367,652

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

22.3%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$37,568,858

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 75% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$609,507	\$348,744	-\$260,763	\$0	\$0	-\$260,763	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,328,647
2	\$1,304,314	\$663,128	-\$641,185	\$0	\$0	-\$641,185	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$135,185
3	\$2,064,526	\$965,511	-\$1,099,014	\$0	\$0	-\$1,099,014	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$639,014
4	\$2,833,993	\$1,247,878	-\$1,586,115	\$0	\$0	-\$1,586,115	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$1,172,115
5	\$5,236,822	\$2,192,360	-\$3,044,462	\$0	\$0	-\$3,044,462	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	\$1,618,462
6	\$6,028,512	\$2,475,485	-\$3,553,026	\$0	\$0	-\$3,553,026	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$3,185,026
7	\$6,655,998	\$2,713,615	-\$3,942,383	\$0	\$0	-\$3,942,383	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$3,666,383
8	\$7,174,530	\$3,011,094	-\$4,163,436	\$0	\$0	-\$4,163,436	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$3,887,436
9	\$7,392,307	\$3,078,009	-\$4,314,298	\$0	\$0	-\$4,314,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,314,298
10	\$7,668,512	\$3,155,914	-\$4,512,598	\$0	\$0	-\$4,512,598	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,512,598
11	\$7,975,263	\$3,219,580	-\$4,755,683	\$0	\$0	-\$4,755,683	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,755,683
12	\$8,259,299	\$3,284,618	-\$4,974,680	\$0	\$0	-\$4,974,680	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,974,680
13	\$8,472,794	\$3,357,410	-\$5,115,383	\$0	\$0	-\$5,115,383	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$4,517,383
14	\$8,727,829	\$3,417,175	-\$5,310,654	\$0	\$0	-\$5,310,654	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$4,804,654
15	\$9,091,118	\$3,477,340	-\$5,613,778	\$0	\$0	-\$5,613,778	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$5,192,112
16	\$9,161,538	\$3,531,669	-\$5,629,870	\$0	\$0	-\$5,629,870	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	\$5,284,870
17	\$9,407,687	\$3,582,423	-\$5,825,264	\$0	\$0	-\$5,825,264	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	\$4,755,764
18	\$9,628,965	\$3,653,081	-\$5,975,884	\$0	\$0	-\$5,975,884	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	\$5,730,551
19	\$9,856,350	\$3,718,333	-\$6,138,017	\$0	\$0	-\$6,138,017	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	\$5,977,017
20	\$10,023,967	\$3,786,659	-\$6,237,309	\$0	\$0	-\$6,237,309	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$6,099,309
21	\$10,458,165	\$3,849,460	-\$6,608,705	\$0	\$0	-\$6,608,705	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,608,705
22	\$10,584,069	\$3,908,812	-\$6,675,257	\$0	\$0	-\$6,675,257	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,675,257
23	\$10,865,925	\$3,966,518	-\$6,899,406	\$0	\$0	-\$6,899,406	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,899,406
24	\$11,129,493	\$4,031,184	-\$7,098,309	\$0	\$0	-\$7,098,309	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,098,309
25	\$11,400,874	\$4,107,351	-\$7,293,522	\$0	\$0	-\$7,293,522	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$7,243,689
Totals	\$192,012,356	\$74,743,352	-\$117,269,004	\$0	\$0	-\$117,269,004	\$7,858,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,849,743	\$104,419,260

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

33.2%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$67,294,882

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}$ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 75% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$609,507	\$348,744	-\$260,763	\$0	\$0	-\$260,763	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,328,647
2	\$1,304,314	\$663,128	-\$641,185	\$0	\$0	-\$641,185	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$135,185
3	\$2,064,526	\$965,511	-\$1,099,014	\$0	\$0	-\$1,099,014	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$639,014
4	\$2,833,993	\$1,247,878	-\$1,586,115	\$0	\$0	-\$1,586,115	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$1,172,115
5	\$3,538,394	\$1,481,325	-\$2,057,069	\$0	\$0	-\$2,057,069	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$1,735,069
6	\$4,264,069	\$1,750,953	-\$2,513,116	\$0	\$0	-\$2,513,116	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,145,116
7	\$4,840,726	\$1,973,538	-\$2,867,188	\$0	\$0	-\$2,867,188	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$2,591,188
8	\$5,342,735	\$2,242,304	-\$3,100,431	\$0	\$0	-\$3,100,431	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$2,824,431
9	\$5,504,909	\$2,292,134	-\$3,212,775	\$0	\$0	-\$3,212,775	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,212,775
10	\$5,710,594	\$2,350,148	-\$3,360,446	\$0	\$0	-\$3,360,446	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,360,446
11	\$5,939,026	\$2,397,560	-\$3,541,466	\$0	\$0	-\$3,541,466	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,541,466
12	\$6,150,542	\$2,445,992	-\$3,704,549	\$0	\$0	-\$3,704,549	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,704,549
13	\$6,309,527	\$2,500,199	-\$3,809,328	\$0	\$0	-\$3,809,328	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	\$3,211,328
14	\$6,499,447	\$2,544,705	-\$3,954,743	\$0	\$0	-\$3,954,743	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$3,448,743
15	\$6,769,982	\$2,589,508	-\$4,180,473	\$0	\$0	-\$4,180,473	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	\$3,758,807
16	\$6,822,422	\$2,629,966	-\$4,192,456	\$0	\$0	-\$4,192,456	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	\$3,847,456
17	\$7,005,724	\$2,667,762	-\$4,337,962	\$0	\$0	-\$4,337,962	\$241,500	\$0	\$0	\$241,500	\$4,096,462
18	\$7,170,506	\$2,720,379	-\$4,450,126	\$0	\$0	-\$4,450,126	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	\$4,204,793
19	\$7,339,835	\$2,768,971	-\$4,570,864	\$0	\$0	-\$4,570,864	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	\$4,409,864
20	\$7,464,656	\$2,819,852	-\$4,644,804	\$0	\$0	-\$4,644,804	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	\$4,506,804
21	\$7,787,995	\$2,866,619	-\$4,921,376	\$0	\$0	-\$4,921,376	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,921,376
22	\$7,881,754	\$2,910,818	-\$4,970,936	\$0	\$0	-\$4,970,936	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,970,936
23	\$8,091,646	\$2,953,790	-\$5,137,856	\$0	\$0	-\$5,137,856	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,137,856
23	\$8,287,920	\$3,001,945	-\$5,285,975	\$0	\$0	-\$5,285,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,285,975
25	\$8,490,012	\$3,058,666	-\$5,431,346	\$0	\$0	-\$5,431,346	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$5,381,513
Totals	\$144,024,761	\$56,192,397	-\$87,832,363	\$0	\$0	-\$87,832,363	\$5,926,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,917,743	\$76,914,620

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

29.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$49,294,378

Note: 24 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

50% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current	CNG	Diesel	Cumulative	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread /	Expansion	CNG	Diesel	Cumulative	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread /
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10 300	10 300	4.55	2.60	1.95	9	\$ 414 000	92 700	92 700	2,55	2.60	1.95
2019		\$ -	10,000	10 300	5 28	2.68	2 59	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	175 100	5 28	2.68	2 59
2020	9	\$ 414.000	92 700	103 000	5 90	2 76	3 14	7	\$ 322,000	72 100	247 200	5 90	2.00	3 14
2021	10	\$ 460,000	103 000	206.000	6.40	2.82	3.58		\$ 276,000	61 800	309 000	6.40	2.70	3.58
2022		\$ -		206,000	6.87	2.88	3,99	21	\$ 966,000	216 300	525 300	6.87	2.88	3,99
2023	4	\$ 184.000	41 200	247 200	7.14	2.93	4.21		\$ 230,000	51 500	576 800	7.14	2.93	4.21
2024	1	\$ 46,000	10 300	257 500	7.34	2.99	4.35	4	\$ 184,000	41 200	618 000	7.34	2.99	4.35
2025		\$ -		257.500	7.41	3.11	4.30	4	\$ 184.000	41,200	659,200	7.41	3.11	4.30
2026	11	\$ 506.000	113,300	370,800	7.64	3.18	4.46		\$ -	-	659,200	7.64	3.18	4.46
2027		\$ -	-	370.800	7.92	3.26	4.66		\$ -	-	659.200	7.92	3.26	4.66
2028	6	\$ 276.000	61.800	432.600	8.24	3.33	4.91		\$ -	-	659.200	8.24	3.33	4.91
2029		\$ -	-	432.600	8.53	3.39	5.14		\$ -	-	659.200	8.53	3.39	5.14
2030	1	\$ 46.000	_	432.600	8.75	3.47	5.28	9	\$ 414.000	-	659.200	8.75	3.47	5.28
2031		\$ -	_	432,600	9.01	3.53	5.49	8	\$ 368.000	-	659,200	9.01	3.53	5.49
2032	9	\$ 379.500	_	432.600	9.39	3.59	5.80	7	\$ 295.167	-	659.200	9.39	3.59	5.80
2033	10	\$ 383.333	-	432.600	9.46	3.65	5.81	6	\$ 230.000	-	659.200	9.46	3.65	5.81
2034		\$ -	-	432.600	9.72	3.70	6.02	21	\$ 724.500	-	659.200	9.72	3.70	6.02
2035	4	\$ 122,667	-	432,600	9.95	3.77	6.17	5	\$ 153,333	-	659,200	9.95	3.77	6.17
2036	1	\$ 26,833	-	432,600	10.18	3.84	6.34	4	\$ 107,333	-	659,200	10.18	3.84	6.34
2037		\$ -	-	432,600	10.35	3.91	6.44	4	\$ 92,000	-	659,200	10.35	3.91	6.44
2038	11	\$ 210,833	-	432,600	10.80	3.98	6.83		\$ -	-	659,200	10.80	3.98	6.83
2039		\$ -	-	432,600	10.93	4.04	6.89		\$ -	-	659,200	10.93	4.04	6.89
2040	6	\$ 69,000	-	432,600	11.22	4.10	7.13		\$ -	-	659,200	11.22	4.10	7.13
2041		\$ -	-	432,600	11.50	4.16	7.33		\$-	-	659,200	11.50	4.16	7.33
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	432,600	11.78	4.24	7.53	9	\$ 34,500	-	659,200	11.78	4.24	7.53
TOTALS		\$ 3,174,000	432,600	8,528,400					\$ 5,362,833	659,200	14,409,700			

* EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 16 BRT Buses

GoRaleigh Transit 50% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$468,851	\$268,264	-\$200,587	\$0	\$0	-\$200,587	\$460,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,451,410	-\$5,250,823
2	\$978,235	\$497,346	-\$480,889	\$0	\$0	-\$480,889	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$112,889
3	\$2,064,526	\$965,511	-\$1,099,014	\$0	\$0	-\$1,099,014	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$363,014
4	\$3,295,341	\$1,451,021	-\$1,844,320	\$0	\$0	-\$1,844,320	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	\$1,108,320
5	\$5,024,519	\$2,103,481	-\$2,921,038	\$0	\$0	-\$2,921,038	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$1,955,038
6	\$5,881,475	\$2,415,107	-\$3,466,367	\$0	\$0	-\$3,466,367	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$3,052,367
7	\$6,429,089	\$2,621,105	-\$3,807,983	\$0	\$0	-\$3,807,983	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$3,577,983
8	\$6,792,907	\$2,850,930	-\$3,941,977	\$0	\$0	-\$3,941,977	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$3,757,977
9	\$7,864,156	\$3,274,478	-\$4,589,678	\$0	\$0	-\$4,589,678	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$4,083,678
10	\$8,157,991	\$3,357,355	-\$4,800,637	\$0	\$0	-\$4,800,637	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,800,637
11	\$8,993,382	\$3,630,591	-\$5,362,791	\$0	\$0	-\$5,362,791	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$5,086,791
12	\$9,313,677	\$3,703,931	-\$5,609,746	\$0	\$0	-\$5,609,746	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,609,746
13	\$9,554,427	\$3,786,016	-\$5,768,411	\$0	\$0	-\$5,768,411	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$5,308,411
14	\$9,842,020	\$3,853,410	-\$5,988,610	\$0	\$0	-\$5,988,610	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$5,620,610
15	\$10,251,686	\$3,921,255	-\$6,330,431	\$0	\$0	-\$6,330,431	\$674,667	\$0	\$0	\$674,667	\$5,655,764
16	\$10,331,096	\$3,982,520	-\$6,348,576	\$0	\$0	-\$6,348,576	\$613,333	\$0	\$0	\$613,333	\$5,735,243
17	\$10,608,668	\$4,039,754	-\$6,568,914	\$0	\$0	-\$6,568,914	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	\$5,844,414
18	\$10,858,194	\$4,119,432	-\$6,738,763	\$0	\$0	-\$6,738,763	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$6,462,763
19	\$11,114,607	\$4,193,013	-\$6,921,594	\$0	\$0	-\$6,921,594	\$134,167	\$0	\$0	\$134,167	\$6,787,427
20	\$11,303,623	\$4,270,062	-\$7,033,561	\$0	\$0	-\$7,033,561	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$6,941,561
21	\$11,793,250	\$4,340,880	-\$7,452,370	\$0	\$0	-\$7,452,370	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	\$7,241,536
22	\$11,935,227	\$4,407,810	-\$7,527,417	\$0	\$0	-\$7,527,417	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,527,417
23	\$12,253,064	\$4,472,882	-\$7,780,182	\$0	\$0	-\$7,780,182	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$7,711,182
24	\$12,550,279	\$4,545,803	-\$8,004,476	\$0	\$0	-\$8,004,476	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,004,476
25	\$12,856,304	\$4,631,694	-\$8,224,6 <mark>10</mark>	\$0	\$0	-\$8,224,610	\$38,333	\$0	\$0	\$38,333	\$8,186,277
Totals	\$210,516,595	\$81,703,652	-\$128,812,943	\$0	\$0	-\$128,812,943	\$8,536,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$13,528,243	\$115,284,700

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

33.4%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$73,958,198

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service; 50% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$46,885	\$26,826	-\$20,059	\$0	\$0	-\$20,059	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,017,351
2	\$54,346	\$27,630	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	-\$26,716	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,716
3	\$607,213	\$283,974	-\$323,239	\$0	\$0	-\$323,239	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$90,761
4	\$1,318,136	\$580,408	-\$737,728	\$0	\$0	-\$737,728	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$277,728
5	\$1,415,357	\$592,530	-\$822,828	\$0	\$0	-\$822,828	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$822,828
6	\$1,764,442	\$724,532	-\$1,039,910	\$0	\$0	-\$1,039,910	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$855,910
7	\$1,890,909	\$770,913	-\$1,119,995	\$0	\$0	-\$1,119,995	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$1,073,995
8	\$1,908,120	\$800,823	-\$1,107,297	\$0	\$0	-\$1,107,297	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,107,297
9	\$2,831,096	\$1,178,812	-\$1,652,284	\$0	\$0	-\$1,652,284	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	\$1,146,284
10	\$2,936,877	\$1,208,648	-\$1,728,229	\$0	\$0	-\$1,728,229	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,728,229
11	\$3,563,415	\$1,438,536	-\$2,124,879	\$0	\$0	-\$2,124,879	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$1,848,879
12	\$3,690,325	\$1,467,595	-\$2,222,730	\$0	\$0	-\$2,222,730	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,222,730
13	\$3,785,716	\$1,500,119	-\$2,285,597	\$0	\$0	-\$2,285,597	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$2,239,597
14	\$3,899,668	\$1,526,823	-\$2,372,846	\$0	\$0	-\$2,372,846	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,372,846
15	\$4,061,989	\$1,553,705	-\$2,508,284	\$0	\$0	-\$2,508,284	\$379,500	\$0	\$0	\$379,500	\$2,128,784
16	\$4,093,453	\$1,577,980	-\$2,515,474	\$0	\$0	-\$2,515,474	\$383,333	\$0	\$0	\$383,333	\$2,132,140
17	\$4,203,434	\$1,600,657	-\$2,602,777	\$0	\$0	-\$2,602,777	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,602,777
18	\$4,302,303	\$1,632,228	-\$2,670,076	\$0	\$0	-\$2,670,076	\$122,667	\$0	\$0	\$122,667	\$2,547,409
19	\$4,403,901	\$1,661,383	-\$2,742,518	\$0	\$0	-\$2,742,518	\$26,833	\$0	\$0	\$26,833	\$2,715,685
20	\$4,478,794	\$1,691,911	-\$2,786,883	\$0	\$0	-\$2,786,883	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,786,883
21	\$4,672,797	\$1,719,971	-\$2,952,826	\$0	\$0	-\$2,952,826	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	\$2,741,992
22	\$4,729,052	\$1,746,491	-\$2,982,561	\$0	\$0	-\$2,982,561	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,982,561
23	\$4,854,988	\$1,772,274	-\$3,082,713	\$0	\$0	-\$3,082,713	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$3,013,713
24	\$4,972,752	\$1,801,167	-\$3,171,585	\$0	\$0	-\$3,171,585	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,171,585
25	\$5,094,007	\$1,835,200	-\$3,258,808	\$0	\$0	-\$3,258,808	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$3,254,974
Totals	\$79,579,979	\$30,721,137	-\$48,858,842	\$0	\$0	-\$48,858,842	\$3,174,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$8,165,410	\$40,693,432

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

18.1%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$24,820,189

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 50% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$421,966	\$241,438	-\$180,528	\$0	\$0	-\$180,528	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,224,882
2	\$923,889	\$469,716	-\$454,173	\$0	\$0	-\$454,173	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$86,173
3	\$1,457,312	\$681,537	-\$775,775	\$0	\$0	-\$775,775	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$453,775
4	\$1,977,204	\$870,612	-\$1,106,592	\$0	\$0	-\$1,106,592	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$830,592
5	\$3,609,161	\$1,510,951	-\$2,098,210	\$0	\$0	-\$2,098,210	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	\$1,132,210
6	\$4,117,032	\$1,690,575	-\$2,426,457	\$0	\$0	-\$2,426,457	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$2,196,457
7	\$4,538,180	\$1,850,192	-\$2,687,988	\$0	\$0	-\$2,687,988	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$2,503,988
8	\$4,884,787	\$2,050,107	-\$2,834,680	\$0	\$0	-\$2,834,680	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$2,650,680
9	\$5,033,060	\$2,095,666	-\$2,937,394	\$0	\$0	-\$2,937,394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,937,394
10	\$5,221,114	\$2,148,707	-\$3,072,407	\$0	\$0	-\$3,072,407	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$3,072,407
11	\$5,429,966	\$2,192,055	-\$3,237,912	\$0	\$0	-\$3,237,912	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,237,912
12	\$5,623,352	\$2,236,336	-\$3,387,016	\$0	\$0	-\$3,387,016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,387,016
13	\$5,768,711	\$2,285,896	-\$3,482,814	\$0	\$0	-\$3,482,814	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$3,068,814
14	\$5,942,352	\$2,326,587	-\$3,615,765	\$0	\$0	-\$3,615,765	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$3,247,765
15	\$6,189,697	\$2,367,550	-\$3,822,147	\$0	\$0	-\$3,822,147	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	\$3,526,980
16	\$6,237,643	\$2,404,540	-\$3,833,103	\$0	\$0	-\$3,833,103	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$3,603,103
17	\$6,405,233	\$2,439,097	-\$3,966,137	\$0	\$0	-\$3,966,137	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	\$3,241,637
18	\$6,555,891	\$2,487,204	-\$4,068,687	\$0	\$0	-\$4,068,687	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$3,915,354
19	\$6,710,706	\$2,531,631	-\$4,179,075	\$0	\$0	-\$4,179,075	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	\$4,071,742
20	\$6,824,829	\$2,578,151	-\$4,246,678	\$0	\$0	-\$4,246,678	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$4,154,678
21	\$7,120,453	\$2,620,909	-\$4,499,544	\$0	\$0	-\$4,499,544	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$4,499,544
22	\$7,206,175	\$2,661,319	-\$4,544,856	\$0	\$0	-\$4,544,856	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,544,856
23	\$7,398,076	\$2,700,608	-\$4,697,468	\$0	\$0	-\$4,697,468	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,697,468
24	\$7,577,527	\$2,744,636	-\$4,832,891	\$0	\$0	-\$4,832,891	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,832,891
25	\$7,762,297	\$2,796,495	-\$4,965,802	\$0	\$0	-\$4,965,802	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$4,931,302
Totals	\$130,936,616	\$50,982,515	-\$79,954,101	\$0	\$0	-\$79,954,101	\$5,362,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,354,243	\$69,599,858

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

26.9%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$44,387,113

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 50% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$421,966	\$241,438	-\$180,528	\$0	\$0	-\$180,528	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,224,882
2	\$923,889	\$469,716	-\$454,173	\$0	\$0	-\$454,173	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$86,173
3	\$1,457,312	\$681,537	-\$775,775	\$0	\$0	-\$775,775	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	\$453,775
4	\$1,977,204	\$870,612	-\$1,106,592	\$0	\$0	-\$1,106,592	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$830,592
5	\$2,476,875	\$1,036,927	-\$1,439,948	\$0	\$0	-\$1,439,948	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$1,209,948
6	\$2,940,737	\$1,207,554	-\$1,733,184	\$0	\$0	-\$1,733,184	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$1,503,184
7	\$3,327,999	\$1,356,808	-\$1,971,191	\$0	\$0	-\$1,971,191	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$1,787,191
8	\$3,663,590	\$1,537,580	-\$2,126,010	\$0	\$0	-\$2,126,010	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	\$1,942,010
9	\$3,774,795	\$1,571,749	-\$2,203,046	\$0	\$0	-\$2,203,046	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,203,046
10	\$3,915,836	\$1,611,530	-\$2,304,306	\$0	\$0	-\$2,304,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,304,306
11	\$4,072,475	\$1,644,041	-\$2,428,434	\$0	\$0	-\$2,428,434	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,428,434
12	\$4,217,514	\$1,677,252	-\$2,540,262	\$0	\$0	-\$2,540,262	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,540,262
13	\$4,326,533	\$1,714,422	-\$2,612,111	\$0	\$0	-\$2,612,111	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	\$2,198,111
14	\$4,456,764	\$1,744,940	-\$2,711,824	\$0	\$0	-\$2,711,824	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	\$2,343,824
15	\$4,642,273	\$1,775,663	-\$2,866,610	\$0	\$0	-\$2,866,610	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	\$2,571,444
16	\$4,678,232	\$1,803,405	-\$2,874,827	\$0	\$0	-\$2,874,827	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	\$2,644,827
17	\$4,803,925	\$1,829,322	-\$2,974,603	\$0	\$0	-\$2,974,603	\$172,500	\$0	\$0	\$172,500	\$2,802,103
18	\$4,916,918	\$1,865,403	-\$3,051,515	\$0	\$0	-\$3,051,515	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	\$2,898,182
19	\$5,033,030	\$1,898,723	-\$3,134,307	\$0	\$0	-\$3,134,307	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	\$3,026,973
20	\$5,118,622	\$1,933,613	-\$3,185,009	\$0	\$0	-\$3,185,009	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$3,093,009
21	\$5,340,340	\$1,965,682	-\$3,374,658	\$0	\$0	-\$3,374,658	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,374,658
22	\$5,404,631	\$1,995,989	-\$3,408,642	\$0	\$0	-\$3,408,642	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,408,642
23	\$5,548,557	\$2,025,456	-\$3,523,101	\$0	\$0	-\$3,523,101	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,523,101
23	\$5,683,145	\$2,058,477	-\$3,624,668	\$0	\$0	-\$3,624,668	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,624,668
25	\$5,821,723	\$2,097,371	-\$3,724,352	\$0	\$0	-\$3,724,352	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$3,689,852
Totals	\$98,944,886	\$38,615,212	-\$60,329,674	\$0	\$0	-\$60,329,674	\$4,074,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,066,243	\$51,263,431

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 High Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

23.2%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value \$32,386,777

Note: 16 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

EIA 2017 LOW OIL PRICE CASE FINANCIAL SCENARIOS

		1	00% CI	NG Fleet	Replacer	nent, Ex	pansio	n, and F	Fuel Cos	t P	rojectio	ns			we	ndel
FY In	Current		CNG	Diesel	Cumulative	* Diesel	# NG	Spread /	Expansion	CNO	Lincharge	Diesel	Cumulative	* Diesel	# NG	Spread /
2019	1	ں د		10 200	10 200	3, Gai	3,000	(0 EC)	Du3e3	ć	792.000	175 100	175 100	3/0ai	3,000	(0 EC)
2018	1	Ş	46,000	10,300	10,300	2.06	2.03	(0.56)	17	Ş	782,000	175,100	175,100	2.06	2.03	(0.56)
2019		Ş	-	-	10,300	2.13	2./1	(0.58)	15	Ş	690,000	154,500	329,600	2.13	2./1	(0.58)
2020	18	\$	828,000	185,400	195,700	2.18	2.79	(0.61)	13	\$	598,000	133,900	463,500	2.18	2.79	(0.61)
2021	19	\$	874,000	195,700	391,400	2.23	2.83	(0.60)	12	\$	552,000	123,600	587,100	2.23	2.83	(0.60)
2022		\$	-	-	391,400	2.30	2.86	(0.56)	41	\$	1,886,000	422,300	1,009,400	2.30	2.86	(0.56)
2023	7	\$	322,000	72,100	463,500	2.34	2.89	(0.54)	10	\$	460,000	103,000	1,112,400	2.34	2.89	(0.54)
2024	2	\$	92,000	20,600	484,100	2.40	2.92	(0.52)	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,194,800	2.40	2.92	(0.52)
2025		\$	-	-	484,100	2.49	2.96	(0.47)	8	\$	368,000	82,400	1,277,200	2.49	2.96	(0.47)
2026	21	\$	966,000	216,300	700,400	2.57	2.99	(0.42)		\$	-	-	1,277,200	2.57	2.99	(0.42)
2027		\$	-	-	700,400	2.66	3.09	(0.43)		\$	-	-	1,277,200	2.66	3.09	(0.43)
2028	11	\$	506,000	113,300	813,700	2.74	3.12	(0.37)		\$	-	-	1,277,200	2.74	3.12	(0.37)
2029		\$	-	-	813,700	2.81	3.15	(0.34)		\$	-	-	1,277,200	2.81	3.15	(0.34)
2030	1	\$	46,000	-	813,700	2.92	3.18	(0.27)	17	\$	782,000	-	1,277,200	2.92	3.18	(0.27)
2031		\$	-	-	813,700	2.98	3.23	(0.25)	15	\$	690,000	-	1,277,200	2.98	3.23	(0.25)
2032	18	\$	759,000	-	813,700	3.07	3.26	(0.19)	13	\$	548,167	-	1,277,200	3.07	3.26	(0.19)
2033	19	\$	728,333	-	813,700	3.16	3.29	(0.13)	12	\$	460,000	-	1,277,200	3.16	3.29	(0.13)
2034		\$	-	-	813,700	3.26	3.34	(0.07)	41	\$	1,414,500	-	1,277,200	3.26	3.34	(0.07)
2035	7	\$	214,667	-	813,700	3.34	3.40	(0.06)	10	\$	306,667	-	1,277,200	3.34	3.40	(0.06)
2036	2	\$	53,667	-	813,700	3.41	3.45	(0.04)	8	\$	214,667	-	1,277,200	3.41	3.45	(0.04)
2037		\$	-	-	813,700	3.47	3.51	(0.04)	8	\$	184,000	-	1,277,200	3.47	3.51	(0.04)
2038	21	\$	402,500	-	813,700	3.56	3.56	0.00		\$	-	-	1,277,200	3.56	3.56	0.00
2039		\$	-	-	813,700	3.68	3.62	0.05		\$	-	-	1,277,200	3.68	3.62	0.05
2040	11	\$	126,500	-	813,700	3.77	3.68	0.09		\$	-	-	1,277,200	3.77	3.68	0.09
2041		\$	-	-	813,700	3.89	3.74	0.15		\$	-	-	1,277,200	3.89	3.74	0.15
2042	1	\$	3,833	-	813,700	3.96	3.83	0.14	17	\$	65,167	-	1,277,200	3.96	3.83	0.14
TOTALS		\$5	5,968,500	813,700	16,037,100					\$ 1	10,369,167	1,277,200	27,861,500			

Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle



* EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle Note: 32 BRT Buses

46,000

10,300

\$

Fleet and Fuel Projections

GoRaleigh Transit Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$382,266	\$486,831	\$104,565	\$0	\$0	\$104,565	\$828,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,819,410	-\$5,923,975
2	\$723,256	\$921,969	\$198,713	\$0	\$0	\$198,713	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$888,713
3	\$1,440,157	\$1,840,485	\$400,329	\$0	\$0	\$400,329	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$1,826,329
4	\$2,182,961	\$2,770,038	\$587,077	\$0	\$0	\$587,077	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$2,013,077
5	\$3,215,158	\$4,004,906	\$789,747	\$0	\$0	\$789,747	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$2,675,747
6	\$3,693,596	\$4,550,746	\$857,150	\$0	\$0	\$857,150	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	-\$1,639,150
7	\$4,028,396	\$4,901,998	\$873,602	\$0	\$0	\$873,602	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$1,333,602
8	\$4,385,242	\$5,205,108	\$819,866	\$0	\$0	\$819,866	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$1,187,866
9	\$5,082,723	\$5,912,507	\$829,785	\$0	\$0	\$829,785	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$1,795,785
10	\$5,252,476	\$6,103,227	\$850,751	\$0	\$0	\$850,751	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$850,751
11	\$5,736,363	\$6,516,391	\$780,028	\$0	\$0	\$780,028	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$1,286,028
12	\$5,881,689	\$6,590,113	\$708,424	\$0	\$0	\$708,424	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$708,424
13	\$6,096,456	\$6,656,093	\$559,637	\$0	\$0	\$559,637	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	-\$1,387,637
14	\$6,226,157	\$6,747,542	\$521,386	\$0	\$0	\$521,386	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$1,211,386
15	\$6,425,062	\$6,823,216	\$398,154	\$0	\$0	\$398,154	\$1,307,167	\$0	\$0	\$1,307,167	-\$1,705,321
16	\$6,605,076	\$6,880,610	\$275,534	\$0	\$0	\$275,534	\$1,188,333	\$0	\$0	\$1,188,333	-\$1,463,868
17	\$6,821,256	\$6,974,805	\$153,549	\$0	\$0	\$153,549	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	-\$1,568,049
18	\$6,990,663	\$7,107,688	\$117,025	\$0	\$0	\$117,025	\$521,333	\$0	\$0	\$521,333	-\$638,358
19	\$7,130,548	\$7,213,646	\$83,098	\$0	\$0	\$83,098	\$268,333	\$0	\$0	\$268,333	-\$351,431
20	\$7,256,023	\$7,331,572	\$75,549	\$0	\$0	\$75,549	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$259,549
21	\$7,448,003	\$7,446,045	-\$1,959	\$0	\$0	-\$1,959	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	-\$400,541
22	\$7,689,289	\$7,574,556	-\$114,733	\$0	\$0	-\$114,733	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$114,733
23	\$7,882,534	\$7,688,091	-\$194,443	\$0	\$0	-\$194,443	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	\$67,943
24	\$8,143,547	\$7,826,694	-\$316,853	\$0	\$0	-\$316,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$316,853
25	\$8,289,231	\$7,998,737	-\$290,494	\$0	\$0	-\$290,494	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$221,494
Totals	\$135,008,128	\$144,073,615	\$9,065,487	\$0	\$0	\$9,065,487	\$16,337,667	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$21,329,077	-\$30,394,563

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$24,696,551

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$21,237	\$27,046	\$5,809	\$0	\$0	\$5,809	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,043,219
2	\$21,917	\$27,938	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$6,022
3	\$427,547	\$546,394	\$118,848	\$0	\$0	\$118,848	\$828,000	\$0	\$0	\$828,000	-\$946,848
4	\$873,184	\$1,108,015	\$234,831	\$0	\$0	\$234,831	\$874,000	\$0	\$0	\$874,000	-\$1,108,831
5	\$898,353	\$1,119,018	\$220,665	\$0	\$0	\$220,665	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$220,665
6	\$1,086,352	\$1,338,455	\$252,103	\$0	\$0	\$252,103	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$574,103
7	\$1,161,562	\$1,413,460	\$251,897	\$0	\$0	\$251,897	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$343,897
8	\$1,205,301	\$1,430,644	\$225,343	\$0	\$0	\$225,343	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$225,343
9	\$1,800,131	\$2,094,013	\$293,882	\$0	\$0	\$293,882	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$1,259,882
10	\$1,860,252	\$2,161,559	\$301,308	\$0	\$0	\$301,308	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$301,308
11	\$2,232,378	\$2,535,936	\$303,558	\$0	\$0	\$303,558	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$809,558
12	\$2,288,933	\$2,564,625	\$275,692	\$0	\$0	\$275,692	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$275,692
13	\$2,372,512	\$2,590,302	\$217,790	\$0	\$0	\$217,790	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	-\$263,790
14	\$2,422,987	\$2,625,891	\$202,904	\$0	\$0	\$202,904	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$202,904
15	\$2,500,394	\$2,655,340	\$154,947	\$0	\$0	\$154,947	\$759,000	\$0	\$0	\$759,000	-\$913,947
16	\$2,570,448	\$2,677,676	\$107,228	\$0	\$0	\$107,228	\$728,333	\$0	\$0	\$728,333	-\$835,561
17	\$2,654,577	\$2,714,333	\$59,756	\$0	\$0	\$59,756	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$59,756
18	\$2,720,504	\$2,766,046	\$45,542	\$0	\$0	\$45,542	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	-\$260,208
19	\$2,774,942	\$2,807,281	\$32,339	\$0	\$0	\$32,339	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	-\$86,005
20	\$2,823,773	\$2,853,173	\$29,401	\$0	\$0	\$29,401	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$29,401
21	\$2,898,484	\$2,897,722	-\$762	\$0	\$0	-\$762	\$402,500	\$0	\$0	\$402,500	-\$401,738
22	\$2,992,383	\$2,947,734	-\$44,650	\$0	\$0	-\$44,650	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44,650
23	\$3,067,587	\$2,991,917	-\$75,670	\$0	\$0	-\$75,670	\$126,500	\$0	\$0	\$126,500	-\$50,830
24	\$3,169,164	\$3,045,856	-\$123,307	\$0	\$0	-\$123,307	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,307
25	\$3,225,858	\$3,112,809	-\$113,049	\$0	\$0	-\$113,049	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$109,216
Totals	\$50,070,761	\$53,053,184	\$2,982,423	\$0	\$0	\$2,982,423	\$5,968,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,959,910	-\$13,942,333

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$11,670,203

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$361,029	\$459,785	\$98,755	\$0	\$0	\$98,755	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,872,165
2	\$701,339	\$894,030	\$192,692	\$0	\$0	\$192,692	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$882,692
3	\$1,012,610	\$1,294,091	\$281,481	\$0	\$0	\$281,481	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$879,481
4	\$1,309,777	\$1,662,023	\$352,246	\$0	\$0	\$352,246	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	-\$904,246
5	\$2,316,805	\$2,885,888	\$569,083	\$0	\$0	\$569,083	\$1,886,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,886,000	-\$2,455,083
6	\$2,607,244	\$3,212,291	\$605,047	\$0	\$0	\$605,047	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$1,065,047
7	\$2,866,834	\$3,488,538	\$621,704	\$0	\$0	\$621,704	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$989,704
8	\$3,179,942	\$3,774,465	\$594,523	\$0	\$0	\$594,523	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$962,523
9	\$3,282,592	\$3,818,494	\$535,903	\$0	\$0	\$535,903	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$535,903
10	\$3,392,224	\$3,941,667	\$549,443	\$0	\$0	\$549,443	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$549,443
11	\$3,503,985	\$3,980,456	\$476,470	\$0	\$0	\$476,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$476,470
12	\$3,592,756	\$4,025,488	\$432,732	\$0	\$0	\$432,732	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$432,732
13	\$3,723,944	\$4,065,791	\$341,847	\$0	\$0	\$341,847	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	-\$1,123,847
14	\$3,803,170	\$4,121,651	\$318,482	\$0	\$0	\$318,482	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$1,008,482
15	\$3,924,668	\$4,167,876	\$243,207	\$0	\$0	\$243,207	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	-\$791,374
16	\$4,034,628	\$4,202,934	\$168,307	\$0	\$0	\$168,307	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$628,307
17	\$4,166,679	\$4,260,472	\$93,793	\$0	\$0	\$93,793	\$1,414,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,414,500	-\$1,508,293
18	\$4,270,159	\$4,341,642	\$71,483	\$0	\$0	\$71,483	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	-\$378,150
19	\$4,355,606	\$4,406,365	\$50,759	\$0	\$0	\$50,759	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	-\$265,426
20	\$4,432,251	\$4,478,399	\$46,148	\$0	\$0	\$46,148	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$230,148
21	\$4,549,519	\$4,548,323	-\$1,196	\$0	\$0	-\$1,196	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,196
22	\$4,696,906	\$4,626,823	-\$70,083	\$0	\$0	-\$70,083	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,083
23	\$4,814,947	\$4,696,174	-\$118,773	\$0	\$0	-\$118,773	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$118,773
24	\$4,974,384	\$4,780,838	-\$193,546	\$0	\$0	-\$193,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$193,546
25	\$5,063,373	\$4,885,928	-\$177,444	\$0	\$0	-\$177,444	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$112,278
Totals	\$84,937,368	\$91,020,431	\$6,083,064	\$0	\$0	\$6,083,064	\$10,369,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$15,360,577	-\$21,443,640

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$17,777,244

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}$ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$361,029	\$459,785	\$98,755	\$0	\$0	\$98,755	\$782,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,773,410	-\$5,872,165
2	\$701,339	\$894,030	\$192,692	\$0	\$0	\$192,692	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$882,692
3	\$1,012,610	\$1,294,091	\$281,481	\$0	\$0	\$281,481	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$879,481
4	\$1,309,777	\$1,662,023	\$352,246	\$0	\$0	\$352,246	\$552,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,000	-\$904,246
5	\$1,560,297	\$1,943,557	\$383,260	\$0	\$0	\$383,260	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$797,260
6	\$1,834,727	\$2,260,501	\$425,774	\$0	\$0	\$425,774	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$885,774
7	\$2,075,983	\$2,526,183	\$450,200	\$0	\$0	\$450,200	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$818,200
8	\$2,359,312	\$2,800,409	\$441,097	\$0	\$0	\$441,097	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$809,097
9	\$2,435,471	\$2,833,076	\$397,605	\$0	\$0	\$397,605	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$397,605
10	\$2,516,811	\$2,924,463	\$407,651	\$0	\$0	\$407,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$407,651
11	\$2,599,731	\$2,953,241	\$353,510	\$0	\$0	\$353,510	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$353,510
12	\$2,665,593	\$2,986,652	\$321,059	\$0	\$0	\$321,059	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$321,059
13	\$2,762,926	\$3,016,554	\$253,629	\$0	\$0	\$253,629	\$782,000	\$0	\$0	\$782,000	-\$1,035,629
14	\$2,821,706	\$3,057,999	\$236,293	\$0	\$0	\$236,293	\$690,000	\$0	\$0	\$690,000	-\$926,293
15	\$2,911,851	\$3,092,295	\$180,444	\$0	\$0	\$180,444	\$548,167	\$0	\$0	\$548,167	-\$728,611
16	\$2,993,433	\$3,118,306	\$124,873	\$0	\$0	\$124,873	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$584,873
17	\$3,091,407	\$3,160,995	\$69,589	\$0	\$0	\$69,589	\$310,500	\$0	\$0	\$310,500	-\$380,089
18	\$3,168,182	\$3,221,218	\$53,036	\$0	\$0	\$53,036	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	-\$359,703
19	\$3,231,578	\$3,269,239	\$37,660	\$0	\$0	\$37,660	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	-\$252,327
20	\$3,288,444	\$3,322,683	\$34,239	\$0	\$0	\$34,239	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$218,239
21	\$3,375,450	\$3,374,562	-\$888	\$0	\$0	-\$888	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$888
22	\$3,484,801	\$3,432,804	-\$51,997	\$0	\$0	-\$51,997	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$51,997
23	\$3,572,380	\$3,484,258	-\$88,122	\$0	\$0	-\$88,122	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$88,122
23	\$3,690,672	\$3,547,073	-\$143,598	\$0	\$0	-\$143,598	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$143,598
25	\$3,756,696	\$3,625,044	-\$131,652	\$0	\$0	-\$131,652	\$65,167	\$0	\$0	\$65,167	\$66,486
Totals	\$63,582,207	\$68,261,043	\$4,678,836	\$0	\$0	\$4,678,836	\$7,793,167	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,784,577	-\$17,463,412

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$14,683,154

Note: 32 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

75% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current Buses	U	CNG pcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGE	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$	46,000	10,300	10,300	2.06	2.63	(0.56)	13	\$ 598,000	133,900	133,900	2.06	2.63	(0.56)
2019		\$	-	-	10,300	2.13	2.71	(0.58)	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	247,200	2.13	2.71	(0.58)
2020	14	\$	644,000	144,200	154,500	2.18	2.79	(0.61)	10	\$ 460,000	103,000	350,200	2.18	2.79	(0.61)
2021	14	\$	644,000	144,200	298,700	2.23	2.83	(0.60)	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	442,900	2.23	2.83	(0.60)
2022		\$	-	-	298,700	2.30	2.86	(0.56)	31	\$ 1,426,000	319,300	762,200	2.30	2.86	(0.56)
2023	5	\$	230,000	51,500	350,200	2.34	2.89	(0.54)	8	\$ 368,000	82,400	844,600	2.34	2.89	(0.54)
2024	2	\$	92,000	20,600	370,800	2.40	2.92	(0.52)	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	906,400	2.40	2.92	(0.52)
2025		\$	-	-	370,800	2.49	2.96	(0.47)	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	968,200	2.49	2.96	(0.47)
2026	16	\$	736,000	164,800	535,600	2.57	2.99	(0.42)		\$ -	-	968,200	2.57	2.99	(0.42)
2027		\$	-	-	535,600	2.66	3.09	(0.43)		\$ -	-	968,200	2.66	3.09	(0.43)
2028	8	\$	368,000	82,400	618,000	2.74	3.12	(0.37)		\$ -	-	968,200	2.74	3.12	(0.37)
2029		\$	-	-	618,000	2.81	3.15	(0.34)		\$ -	-	968,200	2.81	3.15	(0.34)
2030	1	\$	46,000	-	618,000	2.92	3.18	(0.27)	13	\$ 598,000	-	968,200	2.92	3.18	(0.27)
2031		\$	-	-	618,000	2.98	3.23	(0.25)	11	\$ 506,000	-	968,200	2.98	3.23	(0.25)
2032	14	\$	590,333	-	618,000	3.07	3.26	(0.19)	10	\$ 421,667	-	968,200	3.07	3.26	(0.19)
2033	14	\$	536,667	-	618,000	3.16	3.29	(0.13)	9	\$ 345,000	-	968,200	3.16	3.29	(0.13)
2034		\$	-	-	618,000	3.26	3.34	(0.07)	31	\$ 1,069,500	-	968,200	3.26	3.34	(0.07)
2035	5	\$	153,333	-	618,000	3.34	3.40	(0.06)	8	\$ 245,333	-	968,200	3.34	3.40	(0.06)
2036	2	\$	53,667	-	618,000	3.41	3.45	(0.04)	6	\$ 161,000	-	968,200	3.41	3.45	(0.04)
2037		\$	-	-	618,000	3.47	3.51	(0.04)	6	\$ 138,000	-	968,200	3.47	3.51	(0.04)
2038	16	\$	306,667	-	618,000	3.56	3.56	0.00		\$ -	-	968,200	3.56	3.56	0.00
2039		\$	-	-	618,000	3.68	3.62	0.05		\$ -	-	968,200	3.68	3.62	0.05
2040	8	\$	92,000	-	618,000	3.77	3.68	0.09		\$ -	-	968,200	3.77	3.68	0.09
2041		\$	-	-	618,000	3.89	3.74	0.15		\$ -	-	968,200	3.89	3.74	0.15
2042	1	\$	3,833	-	618,000	3.96	3.83	0.14	13	\$ 49,833	-	968,200	3.96	3.83	0.14
TOTALS		\$4	,542,500	618,000	12,205,500					\$ 7,858,333	968,200	21,115,000			

* EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 24 BRT Buses

GoRaleigh Transit 75% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$297,318	\$378,646	\$81,328	\$0	\$0	\$81,328	\$644,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,635,410	-\$5,716,738
2	\$547,921	\$698,461	\$150,540	\$0	\$0	\$150,540	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$656,540
3	\$1,102,620	\$1,409,122	\$306,502	\$0	\$0	\$306,502	\$1,104,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,104,000	-\$1,410,502
4	\$1,654,455	\$2,099,397	\$444,942	\$0	\$0	\$444,942	\$1,058,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,058,000	-\$1,502,942
5	\$2,435,010	\$3,033,127	\$598,118	\$0	\$0	\$598,118	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$2,024,118
6	\$2,800,373	\$3,450,239	\$649,865	\$0	\$0	\$649,865	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$1,247,865
7	\$3,064,547	\$3,729,127	\$664,580	\$0	\$0	\$664,580	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$1,032,580
8	\$3,333,810	\$3,957,100	\$623,290	\$0	\$0	\$623,290	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$899,290
9	\$3,864,987	\$4,495,969	\$630,982	\$0	\$0	\$630,982	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$1,366,982
10	\$3,994,070	\$4,640,995	\$646,925	\$0	\$0	\$646,925	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$646,925
11	\$4,351,724	\$4,943,469	\$591,746	\$0	\$0	\$591,746	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$959,746
12	\$4,461,971	\$4,999,396	\$537,425	\$0	\$0	\$537,425	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$537,425
13	\$4,624,898	\$5,049,450	\$424,552	\$0	\$0	\$424,552	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$1,068,552
14	\$4,723,291	\$5,118,825	\$395,534	\$0	\$0	\$395,534	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$901,534
15	\$4,874,185	\$5,176,233	\$302,048	\$0	\$0	\$302,048	\$1,012,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,012,000	-\$1,314,048
16	\$5,010,747	\$5,219,773	\$209,026	\$0	\$0	\$209,026	\$881,667	\$0	\$0	\$881,667	-\$1,090,693
17	\$5,174,746	\$5,291,231	\$116,485	\$0	\$0	\$116,485	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	-\$1,185,985
18	\$5,303,261	\$5,392,039	\$88,778	\$0	\$0	\$88,778	\$398,667	\$0	\$0	\$398,667	-\$487,444
19	\$5,409,381	\$5,472,421	\$63,040	\$0	\$0	\$63,040	\$214,667	\$0	\$0	\$214,667	-\$277,706
20	\$5,504,569	\$5,561,882	\$57,313	\$0	\$0	\$57,313	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	-\$195,313
21	\$5,650,209	\$5,648,724	-\$1,486	\$0	\$0	-\$1,486	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	-\$305,181
22	\$5,833,254	\$5,746,215	-\$87,038	\$0	\$0	-\$87,038	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$87,038
23	\$5,979,853	\$5,832,345	-\$147,508	\$0	\$0	-\$147,508	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	\$55,508
24	\$6,177,864	\$5,937,492	-\$240,371	\$0	\$0	-\$240,371	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$240,371
25	\$6,288,382	\$6,068,008	-\$220,374	\$0	\$0	-\$220,374	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	\$166,708
Totals	\$102,463,447	\$109,349,687	\$6,886,240	\$0	\$0	\$6,886,240	\$12,400,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$17,392,243	-\$24,278,484

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$19,895,986

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service 75% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$21,237	\$27,046	\$5,809	\$0	\$0	\$5,809	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,043,219
2	\$21,917	\$27,938	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$6,022
3	\$337,537	\$431,364	\$93,827	\$0	\$0	\$93,827	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$737,827
4	\$666,378	\$845,590	\$179,213	\$0	\$0	\$179,213	\$644,000	\$0	\$0	\$644,000	-\$823,213
5	\$685,585	\$853,987	\$168,402	\$0	\$0	\$168,402	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$168,402
6	\$820,799	\$1,011,277	\$190,478	\$0	\$0	\$190,478	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$420,478
7	\$889,707	\$1,082,650	\$192,943	\$0	\$0	\$192,943	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$284,943
8	\$923,209	\$1,095,812	\$172,603	\$0	\$0	\$172,603	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$172,603
9	\$1,376,571	\$1,601,304	\$224,733	\$0	\$0	\$224,733	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$960,733
10	\$1,422,546	\$1,652,957	\$230,412	\$0	\$0	\$230,412	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$230,412
11	\$1,695,477	\$1,926,027	\$230,550	\$0	\$0	\$230,550	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$598,550
12	\$1,738,430	\$1,947,817	\$209,386	\$0	\$0	\$209,386	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$209,386
13	\$1,801,908	\$1,967,318	\$165,410	\$0	\$0	\$165,410	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	-\$211,410
14	\$1,840,243	\$1,994,347	\$154,104	\$0	\$0	\$154,104	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$154,104
15	\$1,899,033	\$2,016,714	\$117,681	\$0	\$0	\$117,681	\$590,333	\$0	\$0	\$590,333	-\$708,014
16	\$1,952,239	\$2,033,678	\$81,439	\$0	\$0	\$81,439	\$536,667	\$0	\$0	\$536,667	-\$618,105
17	\$2,016,135	\$2,061,519	\$45,384	\$0	\$0	\$45,384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$45,384
18	\$2,066,206	\$2,100,794	\$34,589	\$0	\$0	\$34,589	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	-\$187,922
19	\$2,107,551	\$2,132,112	\$24,561	\$0	\$0	\$24,561	\$53,667	\$0	\$0	\$53,667	-\$78,228
20	\$2,144,637	\$2,166,967	\$22,330	\$0	\$0	\$22,330	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$22,330
21	\$2,201,380	\$2,200,801	-\$579	\$0	\$0	-\$579	\$306,667	\$0	\$0	\$306,667	-\$306,088
22	\$2,272,696	\$2,238,785	-\$33,911	\$0	\$0	-\$33,911	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,911
23	\$2,329,813	\$2,272,342	-\$57,471	\$0	\$0	-\$57,471	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$34,529
24	\$2,406,960	\$2,313,309	-\$93,651	\$0	\$0	-\$93,651	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,651
25	\$2,450,019	\$2,364,159	-\$85,860	\$0	\$0	-\$85,860	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$82,027
Totals	\$38,088,213	\$40,366,616	\$2,278,403	\$0	\$0	\$2,278,403	\$4,542,500	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,533,910	-\$11,812,313

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$10,026,783

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 75% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$276,081	\$351,600	\$75,519	\$0	\$0	\$75,519	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,664,929
2	\$526,004	\$670,523	\$144,519	\$0	\$0	\$144,519	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$650,519
3	\$765,083	\$977,758	\$212,675	\$0	\$0	\$212,675	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$672,675
4	\$988,077	\$1,253,807	\$265,729	\$0	\$0	\$265,729	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$679,729
5	\$1,749,424	\$2,179,140	\$429,716	\$0	\$0	\$429,716	\$1,426,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,426,000	-\$1,855,716
6	\$1,979,574	\$2,438,962	\$459,387	\$0	\$0	\$459,387	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$827,387
7	\$2,174,840	\$2,646,477	\$471,638	\$0	\$0	\$471,638	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$747,638
8	\$2,410,601	\$2,861,288	\$450,687	\$0	\$0	\$450,687	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$726,687
9	\$2,488,416	\$2,894,665	\$406,249	\$0	\$0	\$406,249	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$406,249
10	\$2,571,525	\$2,988,038	\$416,513	\$0	\$0	\$416,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$416,513
11	\$2,656,247	\$3,017,442	\$361,195	\$0	\$0	\$361,195	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$361,195
12	\$2,723,541	\$3,051,579	\$328,039	\$0	\$0	\$328,039	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$328,039
13	\$2,822,989	\$3,082,132	\$259,142	\$0	\$0	\$259,142	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$857,142
14	\$2,883,048	\$3,124,478	\$241,430	\$0	\$0	\$241,430	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$747,430
15	\$2,975,152	\$3,159,519	\$184,367	\$0	\$0	\$184,367	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	-\$606,034
16	\$3,058,508	\$3,186,095	\$127,587	\$0	\$0	\$127,587	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	-\$472,587
17	\$3,158,611	\$3,229,713	\$71,102	\$0	\$0	\$71,102	\$1,069,500	\$0	\$0	\$1,069,500	-\$1,140,602
18	\$3,237,056	\$3,291,245	\$54,189	\$0	\$0	\$54,189	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	-\$299,522
19	\$3,301,830	\$3,340,309	\$38,479	\$0	\$0	\$38,479	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	-\$199,479
20	\$3,359,932	\$3,394,915	\$34,983	\$0	\$0	\$34,983	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	-\$172,983
21	\$3,448,829	\$3,447,922	-\$907	\$0	\$0	-\$907	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$907
22	\$3,560,557	\$3,507,430	-\$53,127	\$0	\$0	-\$53,127	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$53,127
23	\$3,650,040	\$3,560,003	-\$90,038	\$0	\$0	-\$90,038	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,038
24	\$3,770,904	\$3,624,184	-\$146,720	\$0	\$0	-\$146,720	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$146,720
25	\$3,838,363	\$3,703,849	-\$134,514	\$0	\$0	-\$134,514	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$84,681
Totals	\$64,375,234	\$68,983,071	\$4,607,837	\$0	\$0	\$4,607,837	\$7,858,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$12,849,743	-\$17,457,581

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$14,620,099

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 75% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$276,081	\$351,600	\$75,519	\$0	\$0	\$75,519	\$598,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,589,410	-\$5,664,929
2	\$526,004	\$670,523	\$144,519	\$0	\$0	\$144,519	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$650,519
3	\$765,083	\$977,758	\$212,675	\$0	\$0	\$212,675	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$672,675
4	\$988,077	\$1,253,807	\$265,729	\$0	\$0	\$265,729	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$679,729
5	\$1,182,043	\$1,472,392	\$290,348	\$0	\$0	\$290,348	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$612,348
6	\$1,400,187	\$1,725,119	\$324,933	\$0	\$0	\$324,933	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$692,933
7	\$1,581,702	\$1,924,711	\$343,009	\$0	\$0	\$343,009	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$619,009
8	\$1,795,128	\$2,130,746	\$335,618	\$0	\$0	\$335,618	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$611,618
9	\$1,853,076	\$2,155,602	\$302,526	\$0	\$0	\$302,526	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$302,526
10	\$1,914,965	\$2,225,135	\$310,170	\$0	\$0	\$310,170	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$310,170
11	\$1,978,056	\$2,247,032	\$268,975	\$0	\$0	\$268,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$268,975
12	\$2,028,169	\$2,272,453	\$244,284	\$0	\$0	\$244,284	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$244,284
13	\$2,102,226	\$2,295,204	\$192,978	\$0	\$0	\$192,978	\$598,000	\$0	\$0	\$598,000	-\$790,978
14	\$2,146,951	\$2,326,739	\$179,788	\$0	\$0	\$179,788	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$685,788
15	\$2,215,539	\$2,352,833	\$137,295	\$0	\$0	\$137,295	\$421,667	\$0	\$0	\$421,667	-\$558,961
16	\$2,277,612	\$2,372,624	\$95,012	\$0	\$0	\$95,012	\$345,000	\$0	\$0	\$345,000	-\$440,012
17	\$2,352,157	\$2,405,105	\$52,948	\$0	\$0	\$52,948	\$241,500	\$0	\$0	\$241,500	-\$294,448
18	\$2,410,573	\$2,450,927	\$40,353	\$0	\$0	\$40,353	\$245,333	\$0	\$0	\$245,333	-\$285,687
19	\$2,458,810	\$2,487,464	\$28,654	\$0	\$0	\$28,654	\$161,000	\$0	\$0	\$161,000	-\$189,654
20	\$2,502,077	\$2,528,128	\$26,051	\$0	\$0	\$26,051	\$138,000	\$0	\$0	\$138,000	-\$164,051
21	\$2,568,277	\$2,567,602	-\$675	\$0	\$0	-\$675	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$675
22	\$2,651,479	\$2,611,916	-\$39,563	\$0	\$0	-\$39,563	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$39,563
23	\$2,718,115	\$2,651,066	-\$67,049	\$0	\$0	-\$67,049	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$67,049
23	\$2,808,120	\$2,698,860	-\$109,260	\$0	\$0	-\$109,260	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$109,260
25	\$2,858,355	\$2,758,185	-\$100,170	\$0	\$0	-\$100,170	\$49,833	\$0	\$0	\$49,833	\$50,337
Totals	\$48,358,863	\$51,913,530	\$3,554,666	\$0	\$0	\$3,554,666	\$5,926,333	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,917,743	-\$14,472,410

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$12,299,531

Note: 24 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.



Go Raleigh Transit - Fixed Route Vehicle

50% CNG Fleet Replacement, Expansion, and Fuel Cost Projections

FY In Service	Current Buses	CNG Upcharge	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGF	Spread / Savings	Expansion Buses	U	CNG	Diesel Gallons	Cumulative Gallons	* Diesel \$/Gal	# NG \$/DGF	Spread / Savings
2018	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	10,300	2.06	2.63	(0.56)	9	\$	414,000	92,700	92,700	2.06	2.63	(0.56)
2019		\$ -	-	10,300	2.13	2.71	(0.58)	8	\$	368,000	82,400	175,100	2.13	2.71	(0.58)
2020	9	\$ 414,000	92,700	103,000	2.18	2.79	(0.61)	7	\$	322,000	72,100	247,200	2.18	2.79	(0.61)
2021	10	\$ 460,000	103,000	206,000	2.23	2.83	(0.60)	6	\$	276,000	61,800	309,000	2.23	2.83	(0.60)
2022		\$ -	-	206,000	2.30	2.86	(0.56)	21	\$	966,000	216,300	525,300	2.30	2.86	(0.56)
2023	4	\$ 184,000	41,200	247,200	2.34	2.89	(0.54)	5	\$	230,000	51,500	576,800	2.34	2.89	(0.54)
2024	1	\$ 46,000	10,300	257,500	2.40	2.92	(0.52)	4	\$	184,000	41,200	618,000	2.40	2.92	(0.52)
2025		\$-	-	257,500	2.49	2.96	(0.47)	4	\$	184,000	41,200	659,200	2.49	2.96	(0.47)
2026	11	\$ 506,000	113,300	370,800	2.57	2.99	(0.42)		\$	-	-	659,200	2.57	2.99	(0.42)
2027		\$-	-	370,800	2.66	3.09	(0.43)		\$	-	-	659,200	2.66	3.09	(0.43)
2028	6	\$ 276,000	61,800	432,600	2.74	3.12	(0.37)		\$	-	-	659,200	2.74	3.12	(0.37)
2029		\$ -	-	432,600	2.81	3.15	(0.34)		\$	-	-	659,200	2.81	3.15	(0.34)
2030	1	\$ 46,000	-	432,600	2.92	3.18	(0.27)	9	\$	414,000	-	659,200	2.92	3.18	(0.27)
2031		\$-	-	432,600	2.98	3.23	(0.25)	8	\$	368,000	-	659,200	2.98	3.23	(0.25)
2032	9	\$ 379,500	-	432,600	3.07	3.26	(0.19)	7	\$	295,167	-	659,200	3.07	3.26	(0.19)
2033	10	\$ 383,333	-	432,600	3.16	3.29	(0.13)	6	\$	230,000	-	659,200	3.16	3.29	(0.13)
2034		\$-	-	432,600	3.26	3.34	(0.07)	21	\$	724,500	-	659,200	3.26	3.34	(0.07)
2035	4	\$ 122,667	-	432,600	3.34	3.40	(0.06)	5	\$	153,333	-	659,200	3.34	3.40	(0.06)
2036	1	\$ 26,833	-	432,600	3.41	3.45	(0.04)	4	\$	107,333	-	659,200	3.41	3.45	(0.04)
2037		\$-	-	432,600	3.47	3.51	(0.04)	4	\$	92,000	-	659,200	3.47	3.51	(0.04)
2038	11	\$ 210,833	-	432,600	3.56	3.56	0.00		\$	-	-	659,200	3.56	3.56	0.00
2039		\$-	-	432,600	3.68	3.62	0.05		\$	-	-	659,200	3.68	3.62	0.05
2040	6	\$ 69,000	-	432,600	3.77	3.68	0.09		\$	-	-	659,200	3.77	3.68	0.09
2041		\$-	-	432,600	3.89	3.74	0.15		\$	-	-	659,200	3.89	3.74	0.15
2042	1	\$ 3,833	-	432,600	3.96	3.83	0.14	9	\$	34,500	-	659,200	3.96	3.83	0.14
TOTALS		\$ 3,174,000	432,600	8,528,400					\$5	5,362,833	659,200	14,409,700			

* EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Nominal Petroleum Prices Transportation Diesel Fuel # EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case Natural Gas Delivered Prices Transportation CNG Vehicle Upcharge Average Annual Fuel Per Vehicle \$

46,000

10,300

Note: 16 BRT Buses

GoRaleigh Transit 50% CNG Fleet; Current and Expanded Services Combined Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$212,370	\$270,462	\$58,091	\$0	\$0	\$58,091	\$460,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,451,410	-\$5,509,501
2	\$394,503	\$502,892	\$108,389	\$0	\$0	\$108,389	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$476,389
3	\$765,083	\$977,758	\$212,675	\$0	\$0	\$212,675	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$948,675
4	\$1,148,927	\$1,457,915	\$308,988	\$0	\$0	\$308,988	\$736,000	\$0	\$0	\$736,000	-\$1,044,988
5	\$1,678,502	\$2,090,796	\$412,295	\$0	\$0	\$412,295	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$1,378,295
6	\$1,931,292	\$2,379,475	\$448,183	\$0	\$0	\$448,183	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$862,183
7	\$2,100,697	\$2,556,257	\$455,559	\$0	\$0	\$455,559	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$685,559
8	\$2,282,378	\$2,709,092	\$426,714	\$0	\$0	\$426,714	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$610,714
9	\$2,647,251	\$3,079,431	\$432,179	\$0	\$0	\$432,179	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$938,179
10	\$2,735,665	\$3,178,764	\$443,099	\$0	\$0	\$443,099	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$443,099
11	\$2,995,342	\$3,402,648	\$407,305	\$0	\$0	\$407,305	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$683,305
12	\$3,071,227	\$3,441,143	\$369,916	\$0	\$0	\$369,916	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$369,916
13	\$3,183,371	\$3,475,595	\$292,224	\$0	\$0	\$292,224	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$752,224
14	\$3,251,097	\$3,523,347	\$272,251	\$0	\$0	\$272,251	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$640,251
15	\$3,354,958	\$3,562,862	\$207,903	\$0	\$0	\$207,903	\$674,667	\$0	\$0	\$674,667	-\$882,570
16	\$3,448,956	\$3,592,831	\$143,875	\$0	\$0	\$143,875	\$613,333	\$0	\$0	\$613,333	-\$757,208
17	\$3,561,838	\$3,642,016	\$80,178	\$0	\$0	\$80,178	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	-\$804,678
18	\$3,650,297	\$3,711,404	\$61,107	\$0	\$0	\$61,107	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$337,107
19	\$3,723,340	\$3,766,731	\$43,391	\$0	\$0	\$43,391	\$134,167	\$0	\$0	\$134,167	-\$177,558
20	\$3,788,859	\$3,828,309	\$39,449	\$0	\$0	\$39,449	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$131,449
21	\$3,889,105	\$3,888,082	-\$1,023	\$0	\$0	-\$1,023	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	-\$209,811
22	\$4,015,097	\$3,955,187	-\$59,910	\$0	\$0	-\$59,910	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$59,910
23	\$4,116,003	\$4,014,471	-\$101,532	\$0	\$0	-\$101,532	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	\$32,532
24	\$4,252,296	\$4,086,845	-\$165,450	\$0	\$0	-\$165,450	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$165,450
25	\$4,328,367	\$4,176,681	-\$151,686	\$0	\$0	-\$151,686	\$38,333	\$0	\$0	\$38,333	\$113,353
Totals	\$70,526,821	\$75,270,992	\$4,744,171	\$0	\$0	\$4,744,171	\$8,536,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$13,528,243	-\$18,272,414

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$15,183,068

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Current Service; 50% CNG Fleet Replacement Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	E	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$21,237	\$27,046	\$5,809	\$0	\$0	\$5,809	\$46,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,037,410	-\$5,043,219
2	\$21,917	\$27,938	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$6,022	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$6,022
3	\$225,025	\$287,576	\$62,551	\$0	\$0	\$62,551	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$476,551
4	\$459,571	\$583,166	\$123,595	\$0	\$0	\$123,595	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	-\$583,595
5	\$472,817	\$588,957	\$116,139	\$0	\$0	\$116,139	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$116,139
6	\$579,388	\$713,842	\$134,455	\$0	\$0	\$134,455	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$318,455
7	\$617,852	\$751,840	\$133,988	\$0	\$0	\$133,988	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	-\$179,988
8	\$641,117	\$760,981	\$119,863	\$0	\$0	\$119,863	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$119,863
9	\$953,011	\$1,108,595	\$155,585	\$0	\$0	\$155,585	\$506,000	\$0	\$0	\$506,000	-\$661,585
10	\$984,839	\$1,144,355	\$159,516	\$0	\$0	\$159,516	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	-\$159,516
11	\$1,186,834	\$1,348,219	\$161,385	\$0	\$0	\$161,385	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$437,385
12	\$1,216,901	\$1,363,472	\$146,570	\$0	\$0	\$146,570	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$146,570
13	\$1,261,336	\$1,377,123	\$115,787	\$0	\$0	\$115,787	\$46,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,000	-\$161,787
14	\$1,288,170	\$1,396,043	\$107,873	\$0	\$0	\$107,873	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	-\$107,873
15	\$1,329,323	\$1,411,700	\$82,377	\$0	\$0	\$82,377	\$379,500	\$0	\$0	\$379,500	-\$461,877
16	\$1,366,567	\$1,423,575	\$57,007	\$0	\$0	\$57,007	\$383,333	\$0	\$0	\$383,333	-\$440,340
17	\$1,411,294	\$1,443,063	\$31,769	\$0	\$0	\$31,769	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	-\$31,769
18	\$1,446,344	\$1,470,556	\$24,212	\$0	\$0	\$24,212	\$122,667	\$0	\$0	\$122,667	-\$146,879
19	\$1,475,286	\$1,492,478	\$17,193	\$0	\$0	\$17,193	\$26,833	\$0	\$0	\$26,833	-\$44,026
20	\$1,501,246	\$1,516,877	\$15,631	\$0	\$0	\$15,631	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$15,631
21	\$1,540,966	\$1,540,561	-\$405	\$0	\$0	-\$405	\$210,833	\$0	\$0	\$210,833	-\$210,428
22	\$1,590,887	\$1,567,150	-\$23,738	\$0	\$0	-\$23,738	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$23,738
23	\$1,630,869	\$1,590,640	-\$40,230	\$0	\$0	-\$40,230	\$69,000	\$0	\$0	\$69,000	-\$28,770
24	\$1,684,872	\$1,619,316	-\$65,556	\$0	\$0	-\$65,556	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,556
25	\$1,715,013	\$1,654,911	-\$60,102	\$0	\$0	-\$60,102	\$3,833	\$0	\$0	\$3,833	\$56,269
Totals	\$26,622,683	\$28,209,979	\$1,587,296	\$0	\$0	\$1,587,296	\$3,174,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$8,165,410	-\$9,752,706

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$8,432,686

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local and BRT; 50% CNG Expanded Service Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$191,133	\$243,415	\$52,282	\$0	\$0	\$52,282	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,457,692
2	\$372,586	\$474,954	\$102,367	\$0	\$0	\$102,367	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$470,367
3	\$540,059	\$690,182	\$150,123	\$0	\$0	\$150,123	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$472,123
4	\$689,356	\$874,749	\$185,393	\$0	\$0	\$185,393	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$461,393
5	\$1,205,684	\$1,501,840	\$296,155	\$0	\$0	\$296,155	\$966,000	\$0	\$0	\$966,000	-\$1,262,155
6	\$1,351,904	\$1,665,632	\$313,728	\$0	\$0	\$313,728	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$543,728
7	\$1,482,845	\$1,804,416	\$321,571	\$0	\$0	\$321,571	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$505,571
8	\$1,641,260	\$1,948,111	\$306,850	\$0	\$0	\$306,850	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$490,850
9	\$1,694,241	\$1,970,836	\$276,595	\$0	\$0	\$276,595	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$276,595
10	\$1,750,825	\$2,034,409	\$283,584	\$0	\$0	\$283,584	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$283,584
11	\$1,808,509	\$2,054,429	\$245,920	\$0	\$0	\$245,920	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$245,920
12	\$1,854,326	\$2,077,671	\$223,345	\$0	\$0	\$223,345	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$223,345
13	\$1,922,035	\$2,098,473	\$176,437	\$0	\$0	\$176,437	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$590,437
14	\$1,962,926	\$2,127,304	\$164,378	\$0	\$0	\$164,378	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$532,378
15	\$2,025,635	\$2,151,162	\$125,526	\$0	\$0	\$125,526	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	-\$420,693
16	\$2,082,388	\$2,169,256	\$86,868	\$0	\$0	\$86,868	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$316,868
17	\$2,150,544	\$2,198,953	\$48,410	\$0	\$0	\$48,410	\$724,500	\$0	\$0	\$724,500	-\$772,910
18	\$2,203,953	\$2,240,847	\$36,895	\$0	\$0	\$36,895	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	-\$190,228
19	\$2,248,055	\$2,274,253	\$26,198	\$0	\$0	\$26,198	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	-\$133,532
20	\$2,287,613	\$2,311,432	\$23,818	\$0	\$0	\$23,818	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$115,818
21	\$2,348,139	\$2,347,521	-\$617	\$0	\$0	-\$617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$617
22	\$2,424,209	\$2,388,037	-\$36,172	\$0	\$0	-\$36,172	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36,172
23	\$2,485,134	\$2,423,832	-\$61,302	\$0	\$0	-\$61,302	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61,302
24	\$2,567,424	\$2,467,529	-\$99,895	\$0	\$0	-\$99,895	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,895
25	\$2,613,354	\$2,521,769	-\$91,584	\$0	\$0	-\$91,584	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$57,084
Totals	\$43,904,138	\$47,061,013	\$3,156,875	\$0	\$0	\$3,156,875	\$5,362,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$10,354,243	-\$13,511,118

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$11,501,277

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.

GoRaleigh Transit Local Service; 50% CNG Expanded Fleet Detailed Project Cash Flow - EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case



	A ₁	A ₂	А	В	С	D=A+B+C	Е	F	G	H = E + F + G	I = D + H
Year	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs Diesel	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Costs CNG	⁽¹⁾ Annual Fuel Cost Savings	⁽²⁾ Annual Fleet Maintenance Costs	⁽²⁾ Annual Facility Maintenance Costs	Total Change In Operational Expenditures	⁽³⁾ Incremental CNG Fleet Expenditures	⁽⁴⁾ Garage Renovation Expenditure	⁽⁵⁾ Fueling Facility Expenditure	Total Capital Expenditures	Net Annual Cash Flow
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	\$191,133	\$243,415	\$52,282	\$0	\$0	\$52,282	\$414,000	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$5,405,410	-\$5,457,692
2	\$372,586	\$474,954	\$102,367	\$0	\$0	\$102,367	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$470,367
3	\$540,059	\$690,182	\$150,123	\$0	\$0	\$150,123	\$322,000	\$0	\$0	\$322,000	-\$472,123
4	\$689,356	\$874,749	\$185,393	\$0	\$0	\$185,393	\$276,000	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	-\$461,393
5	\$827,430	\$1,030,674	\$203,244	\$0	\$0	\$203,244	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$433,244
6	\$965,646	\$1,189,737	\$224,091	\$0	\$0	\$224,091	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$454,091
7	\$1,087,420	\$1,323,239	\$235,819	\$0	\$0	\$235,819	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$419,819
8	\$1,230,945	\$1,461,083	\$230,138	\$0	\$0	\$230,138	\$184,000	\$0	\$0	\$184,000	-\$414,138
9	\$1,270,681	\$1,478,127	\$207,446	\$0	\$0	\$207,446	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$207,446
10	\$1,313,119	\$1,525,807	\$212,688	\$0	\$0	\$212,688	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$212,688
11	\$1,356,381	\$1,540,822	\$184,440	\$0	\$0	\$184,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$184,440
12	\$1,390,744	\$1,558,253	\$167,509	\$0	\$0	\$167,509	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$167,509
13	\$1,441,527	\$1,573,854	\$132,328	\$0	\$0	\$132,328	\$414,000	\$0	\$0	\$414,000	-\$546,328
14	\$1,472,195	\$1,595,478	\$123,283	\$0	\$0	\$123,283	\$368,000	\$0	\$0	\$368,000	-\$491,283
15	\$1,519,226	\$1,613,371	\$94,145	\$0	\$0	\$94,145	\$295,167	\$0	\$0	\$295,167	-\$389,311
16	\$1,561,791	\$1,626,942	\$65,151	\$0	\$0	\$65,151	\$230,000	\$0	\$0	\$230,000	-\$295,151
17	\$1,612,908	\$1,649,215	\$36,307	\$0	\$0	\$36,307	\$172,500	\$0	\$0	\$172,500	-\$208,807
18	\$1,652,965	\$1,680,636	\$27,671	\$0	\$0	\$27,671	\$153,333	\$0	\$0	\$153,333	-\$181,004
19	\$1,686,041	\$1,705,690	\$19,649	\$0	\$0	\$19,649	\$107,333	\$0	\$0	\$107,333	-\$126,982
20	\$1,715,710	\$1,733,574	\$17,864	\$0	\$0	\$17,864	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$92,000	-\$109,864
21	\$1,761,104	\$1,760,641	-\$463	\$0	\$0	-\$463	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$463
22	\$1,818,157	\$1,791,028	-\$27,129	\$0	\$0	-\$27,129	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$27,129
23	\$1,863,850	\$1,817,874	-\$45,977	\$0	\$0	-\$45,977	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,977
23	\$1,925,568	\$1,850,647	-\$74,921	\$0	\$0	-\$74,921	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74,921
25	\$1,960,015	\$1,891,327	-\$68,688	\$0	\$0	-\$68,688	\$34,500	\$0	\$0	\$34,500	\$34,188
Totals	\$33,226,558	\$35,681,319	\$2,454,761	\$0	\$0	\$2,454,761	\$4,074,833	\$283,872	\$4,707,538	\$9,066,243	-\$11,521,004

⁽¹⁾ Differential EIA 2017 Low Oil Price Case; Nominal Pricing CNG and Diesel For Transportation

Internal Rate of Return:

0.0%

⁽⁶⁾ Net Present Value -\$9,954,232

Note: 16 BRT Buses Not Included

⁽⁶⁾ Assumes Discount Rate of 2.5%

⁽²⁾ No Costs associated with the differential maintenance between CNG and Diesel vehicles.

⁽³⁾ Incremental cost for purchasing CNG buses versus Diesel. NO BRT Buses Included.

⁽⁴⁾ Cost for Upgrading Maintenance Facility.


FINAL REPORT SUPPLEMENT February 14, 2017

Compressed Natural Gas Supplement to Feasibility Study

Capital Area Transit

4104 Poole Rd, Raleigh, NC 27610



TITLESECTIONExecutive Summary1Updated Financial Data2Transit Bus Technology Review3Appendix4Fleet Replacement and Financial Analysis Scenarios

Executive Summary

Wendel was originally hired to provide a compressed natural gas (CNG) feasibility study for GoRaleigh Transit. That study was completed on March 15, 2016 with a formal presentation to the Raleigh Transit Authority Board on August 11, 2016.

The purpose of this update is to provide two supplemental pieces of information to the original report. Sections 1 and 2 provide updates and recalculated financial projections based on the latest 2017 United States Energy Information Administration (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook data released January 5, 2017. The original report analyzed a 20 year horizon beginning in 2018 with a 100% fleet implementation. This supplement report expands the scenarios from 20 to 25 year horizons, recalculates findings using EIA Reference, High Oil High Price, and Low Oil Price cases with financial scenarios based on 100%, 75%, and 50% CNG fleet implementations. Table S1 illustrates the original 100% fleet replacement and expansion schedules and the additional 75% and 50% fleet projections used in the updated financial scenarios.

Fiscal Year	Re	egular Fle	et	Expansion Fleet			Bus Rapid Transit		
	100%	75%	50%	100%	75%	50%	100%	75%	50%
2018	1	1	1	17	13	9			
2019				15	11	8			
2020	18	14	9	13	10	7			
2021	19	14	10	12	9	6			
2022				9	7	5	32	24	16
2023	7	5	4	10	8	5			
2024	2	2	1	8	6	4			
2025				8	6	4			
2026	21	16	11						
2027									
2028	11	8	6						

Table S1. – 100%, 75%, & 50% Fleet Replacement and Expansion Projections

Section 3 provides narrative comparisons for the current state of technology for operating clean diesel, hybrid electric, compressed natural gas, battery electric, and fuel cell electric transit buses.

The original report financials used a 2018 baseline of \$2.50 per diesel gallon and a 2% annual inflation rate resulting in a projected diesel price of \$3.64 in 2037. The natural gas diesel gallon equivalent (DGE) baseline was \$1.40 and .75% overall annual inflation rate resulting in a projected CNG DGE price of \$1.59 in 2037.

The updated financial scenarios use the 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE price ranges listed in Table S2.

Category	Reference Case	High Oil Price	Low Oil Price
2018 - Diesel	\$3.02	\$4.55	\$2.06
2042 - Diesel	\$6.95	\$11.78	\$3.96
2018 - CNG/DGE	\$2.57	\$2.60	\$2.63
2042 - CNG/DGE	\$4.34	\$4.24	\$3.83

Table S2. - 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE Fuel Projections

The updated supplement financials use EIA projected pricing based on diesel fuel for onroad use which include Federal and State taxes while excluding county and local taxes.

The diesel pricing chart data below can be referenced at http://tinyurl.com/jsdc6af.



Nominal Petroleum Prices: Transportation: Diesel Fuel

The natural gas prices for transportation delivered is based on fuel used in motor vehicles, trains, and ships and includes the estimated motor vehicle fuel taxes and estimated dispensing costs or charges. The natural gas pricing chart data below can be referenced at http://tinyurl.com/j9qvrh6.



Natural Gas: Delivered Prices: Transportation

In the original report natural gas pricing was based on GoRaleigh owning a private CNG station. Natural gas pricing was based on purchasing at industrial rates plus adding station component costs for maintenance reserve, drying, compression and capital recovery.

Although the EIA data includes taxes, marketing, etc. taxes would not be charged to GoRaleigh on fuels, and costs for marketing and profit margins would reflect reduced costs with direct purchasing. However, the updated financial scenarios still do provide a consistent analysis based on published data where both fuel sources are priced on market based economics. It should also be noted that as illustrated in Table S1. 2017 EIA Diesel and CNG/DGE Fuel Projections, long term natural gas prices continue to be very stable in comparison to diesel which continues to have wide pricing variations in the differing case scenarios in the EIA update.

The original report financial data was based on 100% CNG fleet implementation. The fuel cost savings figures did not take into consideration the approximate \$5,000,000 estimated

one time cost of the new CNG station and required maintenance and fueling facility upgrades.

The 20 year fuel cost savings were projected in the original report were as follows:

- o \$19,789,905 replacing 79 buses from the current fleet from 2018-2028
- \$25,957,854 implementing 92 local service expansion buses from 2018-2025
- \$8,622,336 implementing 32 BRT expansion buses starting in 2022

The tables in Section 2 – Updated Financial Data illustrate the 25 year savings based on the differing EIA cases and corresponding levels of CNG fleet implementation. Both the EIA Reference Case and High Oil Price Cases provide substantial positive fuel cost savings including after for 100%, 75%, or 50% CNG fleet implementation even after deducting for the additional cost of CNG buses. The EIA Low Oil Price Case scenario does not generate any cost savings.

The added vehicle upcharge for replacing 75% of the current fleet with CNG over 25 years is \$4.5M. The 25 year fuel cost savings after CNG upcharges generates the following savings for each scenario:

- EIA Reference Case; \$18.551,818
- ElA High Oil Price Case; \$65,359,062
- EIA Low Oil Price Case; (\$6,820,903)*

* 2017 EIA Low Oil Price Case results in additional costs and no fuel savings. In Table S2
EIA Low Price Case, diesel ranges from \$2.06 – \$3.96 per gallon and CNG ranges from
\$2.63 – \$3.83 per DGE. The breakeven point, where added fuel cost savings equal added
vehicle expenditures, is a net positive change of \$0.56 in fuel costs between diesel and CNG
each year.

Updated Financial Data

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings	
100% CNG Program	\$81,053,106	(\$16,337,667)	\$64,715,439	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$30,371,933	(\$5,968,500)	\$24,403,433	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$37,764,140	(\$7,793,167)	\$29,970,973	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$12,917,033	(\$2,576,000)	\$10,341,033	
75% CNG Fleet	\$61,508,920	(\$12,400,833)	\$49,108,087	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$23,094,318	(\$4,542,500)	\$18,551,818	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$28,726,827	(\$5,926,333)	\$22,800,494	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$9,687,775	(\$1,932,000)	\$7,755,775	
50% CNG Program	\$42,327,709	(\$8,536,833)	\$33,790,876	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$16,144,120	(\$3,174,000)	\$12,970,120	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$19,725,072	(\$4,074,833)	\$15,650,239	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$6,458,516	(\$1,288,000) \$5,170,51		

Table S3a. - 2017 EIA Reference Case

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings	
100% CNG Program	\$246,635,322	(\$16,337,667)	\$230,297,655	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$91,919,349	(\$5,968,500)	\$85,950,849	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$115,472,120	(\$7,793,167)	\$107,678,953	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$39,248,853	(\$2,576,000)	\$36,672,853	
75% CNG Fleet	\$187,170,566	(\$12,400,833)	\$174,769,733	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$69,901,562	(\$4,542,500)	\$65,359,062	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$87,832,363	(\$5,926,333)	\$81,906,030	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$29,436,640	(\$1,932,000)	\$27,504,640	
50% CNG Program	\$42,327,709	(\$8,536,833)	\$33,790,876	
Regular Fleet Replacements	\$16,144,120	(\$3,174,000)	\$12,970,120	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	\$19,725,072	(\$4,074,833)	\$15,650,239	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	\$6,458,516	(\$1,288,000) \$5,170,516		

Table S3b. - 2017 EIA High Oil Price Case

Category	Fuel Savings	Increased Bus Costs	25 Year Net Savings	
100% CNG Program	(\$9,065,487)	(\$16,337,667)	(\$25,403,154)	
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$2,982,423)	(\$5,968,500)	(\$8,950,923)	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$4,678,836)	(\$7,793,167)	(\$12,472,003)	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$1,404,228)	(\$2,576,000)	(\$3,980,228)	
75% CNG Fleet	(\$6,886,240)	(\$12,400,833)	(\$19,287,073)	
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$2,278,403)	(\$4,542,500)	(\$6,820,903)	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$3,554,666)	(\$5,926,333)	(\$9,480,999)	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$1,053,171)	(\$1,932,000)	(\$2,985,171)	
50% CNG Program	(\$4,744,171)	(\$8,536,833)	(\$13,281,004)	
Regular Fleet Replacements	(\$1,587,296)	(\$3,174,000)	(\$4,761,296)	
Local Service Fleet Expansion	(\$2,454,761)	(\$4,074,833)	(\$6,529,594)	
BRT Service Fleet Expansion	(\$702,114)	(\$1,288,000)	(\$1,990,114)	

Table S3c. - 2017 EIA Low Oil Price Case*

* 2017 EIA Low Oil Price Case Results in Additional Costs and NO fuel savings in all scenarios.

Transit Bus Technology Review

With the increasing awareness of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and transportation with alternative fuels, the variety of transit vehicles propulsion choices continue to attract attention. The capital and operating costs of using differing vehicles for public transit can be challenging to evaluate as buses vary significantly in terms of costs, performance and maintenance requirements. Certain routes and segments of transit systems may or may not be ideal when considering the differing bus operating conditions and environments. The comprehensive assessment framework and data gathering process needed to objectively evaluate differing bus implementations is not provided in this technology review.

The intention of this information is to provide a high level comparison of the differing pricing and technologies based on available data for differing bus types listed. Ultimately fleet procurement decisions won't dictate purchasing one type versus another but will consider a wide range of factors including service and maintenance requirements other direct and indirect impacts on the environment. Challenges with up front capital funding are also impacted by the differing vehicle costs of fuel cell electric, battery electric, CNG, hybrid electric, and clean diesel transit buses.

The following is a summary of current technology offerings for 40 foot transit coaches and reflections on keys points of the differing technologies. In some cases limited data is available, particularly with battery electric and fuel cell electric buses.

Clean Diesel Bus

Emission standards of 2007, 2010, and 2014 greenhouse gas (GHG) and fuel efficiency standards have led to several technological changes in diesel engine clean air requirements significantly raising capital costs for new buses. This also translates to additional ongoing costs in maintenance requirements. Current technology highlights:

- Diesel Particulate Filters (DPF) Physically filter engine exhaust of particulate matter.
- Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) Recirculates engine's exhaust gas into engine's intake system reducing NOx emissions.
- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) Uses mixture of urea and water (also known as Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF)) to remove NOx from emissions.

Clean Diesel Bus Summary

Mature technology, reliable performance, and good range and fuel efficiency. Fueling and fuel distribution systems in place and reliable. Current engines meet EPA emissions standards; however, future emissions standards will continue to add cost and complexity. Long term diesel fuel prices are projected to remain unstable with the majority of oil from non-domestic sources.

Hybrid Electric Bus (HEB)

Hybrid technology by definition relies on two or more sources of drive power and is combined with a rechargeable energy storage system. In a hybrid electric bus (HEB) the two sources of power are typically electricity and a diesel internal combustion engine.



Hybrids also leverage technologies such as regenerative braking, which captures and stores energy generated during the braking process. Hybrid buses typically are configured in parallel (the most common) or series drivetrains. Current technology highlights:

• Parallel Hybrid Systems - Parallel systems have both the electrical motor and the internal combustion engine connected to the transmission and each can transfer mechanical power to the wheels to move the vehicle forward. A parallel hybrid system is designed to power the bus using the electric motor at low speeds (e.g., stop and go traffic) and using the internal combustion engine when a higher level of performance is required.



• Series Hybrid Systems - Series hybrid system buses are propelled exclusively by an electric motor(s). The internal combustion engine drives a generator which either charges the batteries or drives the electric motor. Since the internal combustion engine is not connected to the wheels, it can operate at a consistent and optimum (efficient) rate.

Series Hybrid Electric Configuration



Hybrid Electric Bus (HEB) Summary

Mature technology with performance and actual fuel economy highly dependent on route characteristics and operating environments. The more frequent stop and go low speed operating environment the better the results. Fuel economy for hybrid-electric buses varies from state to state and among transit operations; however, data points to the fuel economy of hybrid-electric generally ranging from 10-30 percent or more fuel efficient than conventional clean diesel transit buses. Although hybrid technology is very mature and offers lower tailpipe emissions than standard clean diesel; vehicle capital costs are significantly higher and fuel cost savings do not generally recoup the added cost over the 12 year life of the bus. Hybrid electric buses also have higher maintenance costs with the additional complexity of controls, electric motor/transmission configurations, and battery packs included in hybrids.

Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Bus

Natural gas is a widely available fuel that comes primarily from domestic sources. Natural gas is the second most utilized propulsion system by transit agencies with a steady increase in the number of operating buses over the last two decades. According to the Federal Transit Administration "National Transit Summaries & Trends 2015" report dated October 2016, the number of transit vehicles using natural gas has increased 25 percent since 2010. According to the 2014 National transit Database 24.9 percent of large transit buses produced were CNG. Less than 10 percent of all buses that have natural gas propulsion systems use liquefied natural gas (LNG), all of which are located in the southwest US (California, Arizona, and New Mexico).



CNG is more common than LNG and has a longer history of use in vehicles. A typical CNG bus fuel system is comprised of tank storage on top of the bus, a fuel fill system, engine compartment components, fuel lines, regulators and a high pressure fill system. CNG is stored in special tanks at pressures up to 3,600 psi. Fuel lines are connected to a pressure regulator that reduces pressure to engine, where a second regulator controls the engine fuel requirements. Advancements in CNG engine combustion technology has improved emissions, power density and fuel economy significantly. Technology highlights include:

 Introduced in June 2007, the Cummins-Westport ISL-G is a dedicated factory built spark ignition engine operating on 100% natural gas. The engine is based off the Cummins ISL 8.9 liter diesel; the only diesel engine offering currently available for the U.S. large bus market. The other common bus engine, the Detroit Diesel Series 50 was discontinued as a result of inability to meet the new 2010 diesel emissions.

- ISL-G meets current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and California Air Resources Board (ARB) emissions standards, as well as EPA greenhouse gas (GHG) and U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) fuel-consumption regulations.
- ISL-G uses Stoichiometric cooled Exhaust Gas Recirculation (SEGR) combustion, leveraging Cummins proven EGR technology to create a high performance natural gas engine.
- ISL-G combustion technology uses a Three-Way Catalyst (TWC) after-treatment.
 TWC's are effective, simple, passive devices, packaged as part of the muffler. They provide consistent emissions control performance, and are maintenance-free.
- ISL G does not require active after-treatment such as a Diesel Particulate Filter (DPF) or Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).
- The newest Cummins-Westport ISL-G Near Zero (NZ) NOx natural gas engine went into full production in late 2016 and is the first mid-range engine in North America to receive emission certifications from both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Air Resources Board (ARB) in California for meeting the 0.02 grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) optional Near Zero NOx Emissions standards for medium-duty truck, urban bus, school bus, and refuse applications.
- ISL-G NZ exhaust emissions are 90% lower than the current EPA NOx limit of 0.2 g/bhp-hr. California ARB has defined this certified Near Zero emissions level of 0.02 g/bhp-hr NOx as equivalent to a 100% battery truck using electricity from a modern combined cycle natural gas power plant.
- The ISL G NZ meets the 2017 EPA greenhouse gas emission requirements with a 9% GHG reduction from the current ISL G.

Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Bus Summary

Mature technology with reliable performance comparable to diesel. CNG buses are typically heavier than diesel buses and fuel economy of the ISL-G spark ignition engine will be 10-15% lower than a high compression ISL diesel engine. Vehicle maintenance requirements are different but not generally more costly. Long term natural gas prices are projected to remain stable for years with the majority of gas from domestic sources. High pressure gas public fueling systems are not generally in place and require

significant long term agency capital investments and higher on-going operating expenses. Added CNG vehicle and station operating costs can be offset by the lower cost of natural gas fuel.

Battery Electric Bus (BEB)

This list is not exhaustive and in no particular order but the primary electric bus manufacturers in the United States are Proterra, BYD America (subsidiary of BYD of China) Ebus, Gillig LLC, New Flyer, Nova and Complete Coach Works (CCW) retrofitter of standard diesel buses to battery electric propulsion.



Battery Electric Bus Depicted with On Route Fast Charging Stand

Propulsion and regenerative braking systems are reliable and in many cases an extension of existing mature hybrid technologies. A variety of heating and air-conditioning and other accessories for bus operations are readily available. Electric bus manufacturers generally claim lower maintenance costs, however limited data is available for a 12 year lifecycle maintenance cost and miles between road call comparisons to traditional internal combustion engine operations.

An important cost component consideration of electric bus operations is electric grid peak demand charges. Peak demand or time of use charges are levied in some manner by nearly all electric utilities in order to recover their capital costs and are calculated based on the maximum amount of electrical power (in kW) the electric transit bus draws from the grid during a charging event. Demand charges can have a significant impact on a customer electricity bill and should be given careful consideration when comparing operating costs.

The U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration "Peak Demand Charges and Electric Transit Buses" white paper published 10-1-2014 notes that battery electric buses show a clear advantage when no demand charges are included. When demand charges are included, fuel costs can range from \$0.06 to \$0.24 per mile for overnight charging and from \$0.23 to \$0.90 for on-route charging. Demand charges have an even greater impact with small deployments when they cannot be spread over a number of vehicles.

A variety of battery configurations and battery types with varying chemistries and energy densities are represented. Key battery characteristics are the range (distance) traveled on a full charge, time required to recharge, and total lifetime charging cycles. Route operating requirements also impact feasibility and battery configurations. Battery technology is continually evolving and changing while manufacturing processes continue to improve reliability and reduce costs.

The two primary ways of recharging electric buses are on-route opportunity charging, where the batteries are recharged while the vehicle is at a stop or layover or by overnight charging where the batteries are recharged when the vehicle is not in operation. Some of the advantages and disadvantages of each technology implementation include:

- Advantages of on-route charging
 - Smaller battery size reduces vehicle weight and increases efficiency
 - Multiple short versus one long interruption for charging
 - o Less or smaller battery pack cheaper and easier service and replace
- Disadvantages of on-route charging
 - o Dedicated routes with less vehicle flexibility
 - \circ $\;$ Increased cost of demand charges and more complex charging stations
 - o More complex connection to electrical grid
 - o Buses cannot operate when power grid is down
- Advantages of off-route charging
 - Vehicle flexibility for route assignments
 - Decreased cost of demand charges and route charging stations

- Less complex connection to electrical grid and less potential grid upgrades 0
- No route interruptions for charging 0
- Disadvantages of on-route charging
 - Larger battery size increases vehicle weight and decreases efficiency 0
 - Impacts to grid charging multiple buses at the same time/location \cap
 - Accessibility for vehicle maintenance during charging 0
 - Increased charging times 0

Battery Electric Bus Summary

Electric bus technology is continuing to improve and mature. Vehicle operating range and reliability continue to improve with advancements in battery and control technology. One major challenge is addressing demand charges and time of use charges that affect electricity cost. Significantly higher capital costs, operating requirement limitations, and charging infrastructure are also important considerations in determining the feasibility of implementing electric buses in the public transit fleet.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) introduced a guideline for assessing the technology readiness level (TRL) for fuel cell electric buses (FCEBs). This guideline was developed using a Technology Readiness Assessment Guide3 published by DOE in September 2011. Although the guideline was developed for FCEBs, the same basic concept applies to any advanced technology buses including BEBs. The following graphic is a representation of the development process developed for FCEBs.



Commercialization Process

Technology advancements typically experience challenges and issues that need to be resolved before full deployment. At this point in the development, it would be

reasonable to state that BEBs are not fully commercial products and can be considered to be in the technology demonstration/commissioning phase.

The capital costs for BEBs are currently higher than that of conventional technology, although BEB costs have dropped over the last few years as orders for the buses have increased. The increase in orders allows the manufacturers to take advantage of economies of scale to reduce the production costs. Considerable attention is focused on the benefits of reduced maintenance costs, however, more accurate comparisons with internal combustion engines and hybrid systems will only become available over time as long term life cycle cost data for batteries, electric drive propulsion, advanced control systems, and accessories are tracked and reported. Another challenge is training operators and maintenance staff in the differences between BEBs and conventional buses. Operating costs for BEBs are currently low because the buses are all under warranty and the original equipment manufacturers are handling much of the repair costs. Once these buses pass the warranty period and transit staff takes over the repair work, operating costs can be expected to increase.

Fuel Cell Electric Bus (FCEB)

For a number of years the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has funded programs that develop zero emission buses for demonstrations in transit agencies. The National Fuel Cell Bus Program (NFCBP) is a multiyear, cost-share research program established in 2006 for developing and demonstrating commercially viable fuel cell technology for transit buses. Beyond the NFCBP, the FTA has also funded fuel cell bus research at several universities and transit agencies around the country. The state of California funds technology development and demonstration programs that include FCEB projects. Both the California Energy Commission (CEC) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) have funded demonstrations of FCEBs. More information on FCEBs can be obtained through the NREL in a recent report "Fuel Cell Buses in U.S. Transit Fleets: Current Status 2016" published in November 2016.

In an FCEB the bus operates identically to a battery electric bus with the batteries recharged

by a fuel cell. FCEBs continue to show higher fuel economy compared to diesel buses in similar service. As FCEB performance continues to improve; however, there are still challenges to overcome to make the technology commercially viable including parts supply, bus range, staff training and maintenance requirements, bus capital costs, and hydrogen fueling infrastructure.





Fuel Cell Electric Bus (FCEB) Summary

FCEB technology continues to show progress toward meeting technical targets for increasing reliability and durability while also reducing costs. At this point in the development of FCEB technology, costs are still high. The Department of Energy (DOE) and FTA previously set a 2016 target for a capital cost of \$1 million per bus with an ultimate target of \$600,000 per bus. In 2010 a FCEB cost as much as \$2.5 million. More recent orders for FCEBs have had an average cost of \$1.8 million per bus. Capital costs should continue to decrease with larger orders of buses. Although the industry projects an order for 40 buses could result in costs closer to \$1 million each, there are still significant challenges to overcome to make the technology commercially viable.

The following table is a final comparative summary of estimated vehicle capital cost and miles per diesel gallon equivalent performance data using a clean diesel transit bus as a baseline. Fueling or charging infrastructure and differences in maintenance and other operating costs unrelated to fuel source are not evaluated.

Category	Fuel Cell Electric	Battery Electric	CNG	Hybrid Electric	Clean Diesel
Typical Price Per Unit	\$1.8-\$2.5M	\$850,000	\$510,000	\$684,000	\$464,000
Incremental Cost over Diesel	\$1.3 - 2.0M	\$386,000	\$46,000	\$220,000	n/a
Annual Incremental Vehicle Cost	\$10K - \$16K	\$32,167	\$3,833	\$18,333	\$0
MPG - Diesel Gallon Equivalent	4.91 - 7.09	*17.48	4.50	5.25	4.50

Table S4. – Transit Bus Comparisons

* January 2016 NREL report: "Foothill Transit Battery Electric Bus Demonstration Results" for 12 Proterra BEBs in operation for 401,244 miles from April 2014 to July 2015. Average fuel economy of 2.15 kWh/mile. Does not include any costs for potential demand or time of use charges from electric utility.

Section 4

Appendix



ARCHITECTURE ENGINEERING PLANNING ENERGY EFFICIENCY CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

111 Washington Avenue NorthSuite 300, Minneapolis, MN 55401P: 612.332.1401 w: wendelcompanies.com

Minnesota New York Virginia Arizona West Virginia Washington, DC