STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

File No.

DURHAM County

FILED
12 NOV 28 PM 3:17
DURHAM CO. C.S.C.
BY

In The General Court Of Justice
☐ District ☑ Superior Court Division

In Re: The Nontestimonial Identification Order Of

Name And/Or Description
REGINALD LEONARD JACKSON II

Address
804 SOUTH CHAMPION STREET APT. 3C

City, State, Zip
GREENSBORO, NC

Offense
HOMICIDE/MURDER

APPLICATION FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION ORDER

(ADULT SUSPECT)

G.S. Chapter 15A, Art. 14

The undersigned prosecutor applies for a nontestimonial identification order directing the person named or described above to appear as follows for the requested nontestimonial identification procedures:

Date	Time	Location
12/03/2012	10:00 am ☑ AM ☐ PM	CHAPEL HILL POLICE DEPARTMENT (919)968-2760

Requested Nontestimonial Identification Procedures

BUCCAL SWAB FOR DNA

828 MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. BLVD. CHAPEL HILL, NC
ATTN: SGT. RODNEY MATTHEWS

There is probable cause to believe that an offense punishable as a felony or a Class A1 or Class 1 misdemeanor has been committed and reasonable grounds to suspect that the person named or described above committed the offense. The results of the requested nontestimonial identification procedures will be of material aid in determining whether this person committed the offense.

The facts establishing the matters stated in the preceding paragraph are set forth in the affidavit on the reverse.

Date	Signature Of Prosecutor
11/28/2012	

☐ Modification of Seventy-two (72) Hour Rule

The undersigned prosecutor, being first duly sworn, requests that the seventy-two (72) hour rule be modified for the following reason:

☐ a. delay will adversely affect the probative value of the evidence sought.

☐ b. it appears likely that the person named or described above may not appear for the nontestimonial identification procedure.

Facts Supporting Reason Checked Above For Modification Of Seventy-Two (72) Hour Rule

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO BEFORE ME	**NOTE:** *Prosecutor must be sworn only if he/she seeks a modification of the seventy-two (72) hour rule.*
Date: 11/28/2012	Date:
Signature Of Judge:	Signature Of Prosecutor:

©1999 Administrative Office of the Courts

Original-File
(Over)

AFFIDAVIT FOR NONTESTIMONIAL IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE

I, the undersigned affiant, being first duly sworn, say that:

1. I have probable cause to believe that an offense punishable as a felony or Class A1 or Class 1 misdemeanor was committed.

Offense	County In Which Offense Committed	Offense Date
HOMICIDE/MURDER	DURHAM	09/07/2012

Facts Which Establish Probable Cause

VICTIM FAITH HEDGPETH WAS FOUND INSIDE HER APPARTMENT DECEASED. IT APPEARS SHE HAD BEEN BEATEN ABOUT THE HEAD AND FACE AND DIED AS A RESULT OF WHAT OCCURRED INSIDE THE APPARTMENT BY AN UNKNOWN PARTY OR PARTIES.

2. I have reasonable grounds to suspect that the person named or described on the reverse committed the offense.

Facts Which Establish Reasonable Grounds

REGINALD JACKSON HAD BEEN EXCHANGING TEXTS WITH FAITH PRIOR TO THE HOMICIDE. DURING THE INVESTIGATION OFFICERS ASKED TO MEET WITH REGINALD JACKSON ABOUT THOSE TEXTS AND HIS ASSOCIATION WITH FAITH HEDGEPETH AND AN APPOINTMENT WAS SET UP TO MEET MR. JACKSON. MR. JACKSON WAS NOT HOME WHEN OFFICERS ARRIVED. MR. JACKSON ARRIVED IN THE PARKING AREA OF HIS HOME AND UPON SEEING THE OFFICERS TURNED AROUND IN HIS CAR AND LEFT THE AREA TRYING TO AVOID THE OFFICERS. PLEASE SEE ATT. RPT. FROM INV. NATHAN CHAMBERS.

3. The results of the nontestimonial identification procedures requested on the reverse will be of material aid in determining whether the person named or described on the reverse committed the offense.

Reasons Why Procedures Will Be Of Material Aid

UNKNOWN DNA WAS RECOVERED AT THE SCENE OF THE HOMICIDE OF FAITH HEDGEPETH. THE BUCCAL SWAB FROM MR. JACKSON FOR DNA COMPARISON IS OF MATERIAL AID IN DETERMING IF MR. JACKSON MAY HAVE COMMITTED THE OFFENSE.

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO BEFORE ME	Date 11/28/2012
Date 11/21/2012	Signature Of Affiant Nathan L. Chambers
Signature [illegible]	Name Of Affiant (Type Or Print) INV. NATHAN L. CHAMBERS
☐ District Court Judge ☑ Superior Court Judge	Title Or Position INVESTIGATOR; CHAPEL HILL POLICE DEPARTMENT

AOC-CR-204, Side Two, Rev. 6/99
©1999 Administrative Office of the Courts

my file

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA FILED IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE

COUNTY OF DURHAM 12 NOV 28 PM 3: 50 SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

DURHAM COUNTY, C.S.C.

BY [illegible]

IN RE:	)	
	)	MOTION TO SEAL
FAITH HEDGEPETH	)	NON-TESTIMONIAL APPLICATION
HOMICIDE	)	AND NON-TESTIMONIAL ORDER
	)	

NOW comes the State of North Carolina, and moves the Court to seal the above referenced Non-Testimonial Application and Order related to the investigation of the Faith Hedgepeth Homicide for sixty (60) days. There is a preponderance of evidence to show that the information contained in the Court Order and Application at this time would undermine the ongoing criminal investigation, jeopardize the prosecution's right to prosecute its case relating to the criminal investigation, and jeopardize the right of the suspect to be charged in this matter from receiving a fair trial. The release of the information contained in the Court Order and Application could hinder the State's ability to bring responsible parties to justice. Finally, there is evidence in this case that is yet to be collected that is essential to the resolution of this matter and if the information is made public, it could jeopardize both the criminal investigation and prosecution of this case.

This the 28 day of November, 2012

L. Charlene Coggins-Franks
Assistant District Attorney

my File

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA — IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE

COUNTY OF DURHAM — SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

FILED
12 NOV 28 PM 3: 18
DURHAM COUNTY, C.S.C.
BBC

IN RE:	)	
	)	ORDER TO SEAL
FAITH HEDGEPETH	)	NON-TESTIMONIAL APPLICATION
HOMICIDE	)	AND NON-TESTIMONIAL ORDER
	)	

Upon motion by the State of North Carolina, to seal the above referenced Non-Testimonial Application and Order related to the investigation of the Faith Hedgepeth Homicide for sixty (60) days and for good cause shown, THE COURT, finds that there is a preponderance of evidence to believe that the release of the information contained in the Court Order and Application would undermine the ongoing criminal investigation, jeopardize the prosecution's right to prosecute its case and/or compromise the criminal investigation, and jeopardize the right of the suspect to be charged in this matter from receiving a fair trial. IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that the Non-Testimonial Application and Non-Testimonial Order be sealed for sixty (60) days from the date of this Order.

This the 28 day of November, 2012

MICHAEL O'FOGHLUDHA
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE