



# Action Minutes

## Central West Focus Area: Steering Committee Meeting

**Meeting Date/Time:** October 18, 2013, Noon-1:10p.m.

**Members Present:** Anthony Carey, Lucy Carol Davis, Eric Hyman, Jeff Kidd, Julie McClintock, Sarah McIntee, Firoz Mistry, Michael Parker (co-chair), Whit Rummel, Amy Ryan (co-chair), Jared Simmons, Mickey Jo Sorrell, and Buffie Webber

**Members Absent:** Mia Burroughs, Bruce Murray, Abby Parcell, and David Tuttle

**Staff Present:** David Bonk, Mary Jane Nirdlinger, and Megan Wooley

Agenda Item	Discussion Points	Motions/Votes	Action
<b>1. Introductions and Opening Remarks</b>	Megan Wooley, Chapel Hill Planning Department, opened the meeting and welcomed attendees. She provided an overview of the agenda and upcoming meetings.		
<b>2. Public Participation/ Comments</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patty Krebs: Read an email from Megan Wooley about documenting public participation comments received at Steering Committee meetings. The job Megan has been doing recording the public comments has been inadequate. Read her quote from a previous Steering Committee meeting. Read Megan's recording of these comments. Stated that my comments have been scrubbed to remove the embarrassing parts.</li> <li>• Maria de Bruyn: Disappointed to see that the Concept Plan does not have more specifics regarding open space. Would like for the plan to include a percentage of open space.</li> <li>• John Ager: Traffic analysis focuses on the efficient move of cars from A to B. Not the main concern of everyone in Town. We are pushing the envelope here. Obey Creek has talked a lot about placemaking. Need to consider what kind of place</li> </ul>		

Agenda Item	Discussion Points	Motions/Votes	Action
	<p>we want this to be. Need to think about what the Town can benefit from. Made comments at the last Planning Board meeting that it is my personal opinion that Estes Drive is bound to be widened. Need to move people in volume. This opinion is not me doing a bunch of traffic analysis. Applaud your efforts to try to make sense of it. Estes is a primary road for moving people through Town and to Carrboro.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fred Lampe: Principle #13 – There is no economic section. Is this principle no longer important?</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3. Review and Discuss the Transportation Analysis Information</b></p>	<p>The Steering Committee reviewed the traffic analysis and density numbers for the draft Concept Plan and discussed this analysis.</p> <p><i>For a copy of this information, click here: <a href="http://www.townofchapelhill.org/index.aspx?page=2184">http://www.townofchapelhill.org/index.aspx?page=2184</a> Then scroll to “October 18, 2013 Steering Committee Meeting.” The information is posted here.</i></p>	<p>Motion by Whit Rummel and seconded by Jeff Kidd. The motion is as follows: Endorsement of this material (density information) added to the previously endorsed Small Area Plan will go to Council on Monday, October 21<sup>st</sup> with the understanding that edits can be made on November 7<sup>th</sup> when the Steering Committee gets back together, and that this was not a meeting where the Steering Committee had planned to take a vote, and the Steering Committee is missing four Committee members. <i>Vote: 10 out of 13 (Opposed: Mickey Jo Sorrell, Julie McClintock, and Firoz Mistry) – Passed.</i></p>	
<p><b>4. Public Participation/ Comments</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fred Lampe: You failed one of your fundamental principles. There is a dramatic possibility that this will cost the plan \$100 million a year. Ignored Principle #13.</li> <li>• Alan Tom: Not sure what happened. Lucy’s motion was not passed. Kept most important item until last: transportation. How does everything fit together? The Town does not have an answer to that.</li> <li>• Sam Horowitz: There was a call earlier to do a sensitively analysis. Just said you can’t do this. Kissed it off.</li> <li>• John Ager: Kimberely Brewer on the Planning</li> </ul>		

Agenda Item	Discussion Points	Motions/Votes	Action
	Board did ask for a sensitivity analysis. A sensitivity analysis is not just one thing. Can also be very expensive.		
<b>5. Closing</b>			The meeting adjourned at 1:10p.m.

The next Steering Committee meeting will be on Thursday, November 7<sup>th</sup> from 6:00-9:00pm in Meeting Room B, Chapel Hill Public Library, 100 Library Drive.