MEMORANDUM

TO: Chapel Hill Planning Board

FROM: Mary Jane Nirdlinger, Planning & Sustainability

Phil Mason, Development Services Manager

SUBJECT: Land Use Management Ordinance Text Amendments – Board of Adjustment

DATE: February 17, 2015

PURPOSE

Tonight the Planning Board will consider Land Use Management Ordinance (LUMO) text amendments pertaining to the Board of Adjustment.

BACKGROUND

The Planning Board considered related text amendments <u>September 3, 2013</u>¹ and the Council approved those amendments on <u>September 23, 2013</u>². Tonight's proposed amendments are further adjustments required to make the Land Use Management Ordinance congruent with NC Session Law 2013-126, House Bill 276.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that Planning Board consider the proposed amendments to the Land Use Management Ordinance and forward a recommendation to the Town Council. A Public Hearing is tentatively scheduled for March 16, 2015.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Draft Public Hearing Executive Summary
- 2. Resolution of Consistency With the Comprehensive Plan
- 3. Draft Ordinance A
- 4. Session Law 2013-126, House Bill 276

¹ http://www.townofchapelhill.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=20176

² http://chapelhillpublic.novusagenda.com/Bluesheet.aspx?itemid=2385&meetingid=225



TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL NORTH CAROLINA

Meeting Date: 03/16/2015

MEMORANDUM

AGENDA #6

TO: Roger L. Stancil, Town Manager

FROM: Mary Jane Nirdlinger, Planning & Sustainability

Phil Mason, Development Services Manager

SUBJECT: Land Use Management Ordinance Text Amendments – Board of Adjustment

Recommended Council Action

• That the Council:

- Open the public hearing and receive public comment on the proposed zoning ordinance.
- Enact Ordinance A to amend sections 4.10 and 4.12.2 of the Land Use Management Ordinance (LUMO).

Context with Key Issues

- At the September 23, 2013¹ meeting, the Council enacted an ordinance with a text amendments to LUMO sections 8.3.7, 4.11.1(b), 4.12.1(b)(2), 4.12.2, 3.6.3(j), and 3.6.4(h) in order to make the Ordinance congruent with NC Session Law 2013-126, House Bill 276, pertaining to enabling legislation that authorizes municipalities to create Boards of Adjustment. These changes became effective on October 1, 2013.
- The proposed amendments are further adjustments required to make the Land Use Management Ordinance congruent with NC Session Law 2013-126, House Bill 276. The amendments will provide clarification to notification requirements, stays of further action, and the four findings requirement for variances, in LUMO Sections 4.10.1(b), 4.10.2, and 4.12.2 respectively.

Next Steps

• We recommend that the Town Council open the public hearing and receive public comment in support of and in opposition to the proposed text amendment. We will return to the Council with a follow-up report for consideration on April 13, 2015.

Fiscal Note

• No fiscal impact is determined at this time.

Council Goal:

• Nurture Our Community

• Support Community Prosperity and Engagement

¹ http://chapelhillpublic.novusagenda.com/Bluesheet.aspx?itemid=2385&meetingid=225

_

Attachments

- Resolution A
- Ordinance A
- NC Session Law 2013-126, House Bill 276
- Planning Commission Recommendation



A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE CHAPEL HILL ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT FOR COMPLIANCE AND CONSISTENCY WITH THE TOWN COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND THE NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL STATUTES (2015-03-16/R-)

WHEREAS, on June 19, 2013 House Bill 276 became Session Law 2013-126 after being approved and signed by Governor Pat McCrory; and

WHEREAS, Session Law 2013-126 clarifies and modernizes the statutes regarding Zoning Boards of Adjustment; and

WHEREAS, Session Law 2013-126 became effective October 1, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Chapel Hill's zoning authority is granted through the North Carolina General Statutes and town zoning ordinances must comport with the powers that are granted through the enabling legislation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed zoning text amendment comports with the powers granted in the enabling legislation; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill has considered the proposed text amendment to the Land Use Management Ordinance regarding changes to the Board of Adjustment and finds that the amendment is in the public's interest and is appropriate because the proposed changes comport with the modified enabling legislation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill that the Council hereby finds the proposed ordinance to be reasonable and consistent with the Town Comprehensive Plan and the North Carolina General Statutes.

This the	e day	of	2015.
Tins uic	zuay	, 01,	2015.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CHAPEL HILL LAND USE MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE TO COMPLY WITH NORTH CAROLINA LAW (2015-03-16/O-)

WHEREAS, the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill has considered the proposed text amendments to the Land Use Management Ordinance to change provisions related to the Board of Adjustment and finds that the amendments are necessary to comply with the enabling legislation and are consistent with the principals of the Town's Comprehensive Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill as follows:

Section 1. Appendix A, Article 4, Section 4.10.1(b) of the Chapel Hill Land Use Management Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

4.10.1. Applicability

(b) An application for appeal shall be filed, with the town clerk, within thirty (30) days of the filing of the decision being appealed or the delivery of any required written notice of the decision, whichever is later by the owner or other party. Any other person with standing to appeal shall have 30 days from receipt from any source of actual or constructive notice of the decision within which to file an appeal.

Section 2. Appendix A, Article 4, Section 4.10.2 of the Chapel Hill Land Use Management Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

4.10.2. Stay of further action

An appeal to the board of adjustment stays all actions seeking enforcement of, or compliance with, the decision being appealed, except where the town manager certifies to the board of adjustment that, based on findings stated in the certificate, a stay would cause imminent peril to life or property, or that because the situation appealed from is transitory in nature, an appeal would seriously interfere with enforcement of this appendix.

An appeal of a notice of violation or other enforcement order stays enforcement of the action appealed from unless the official who made the decision certifies to the board of adjustment after notice of appeal has been filed that because of the facts stated in an affidavit, a stay would cause imminent peril to life or property or because the violation is transitory in nature, a stay would seriously interfere with enforcement of the ordinance. In that case, enforcement proceedings shall not be stayed except by a restraining order, which may be granted by a court. If enforcement proceedings are not stayed, the appellant may file with the official a request for an expedited hearing of the appeal, and the board of adjustment shall meet to hear the appeal within 15 days after such a request is filed.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, appeals of decisions granting a permit or otherwise affirming that a proposed use of property is consistent with the ordinance shall not stay the further review of an application for permits or permissions to use such property; in these

situations the appellant may request and the board may grant a stay of a final decision of permit applications or building permits affected by the issue being appealed.

Section 3. Appendix A, Article 4, Section 4.12.2(a) of the Chapel Hill Land Use Management Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

4.12.2 Variances

(a) When **practical difficulties or** unnecessary hardships would result from carrying out the strict letter of a zoning ordinance, the board of adjustment shall have the power to vary or modify any of the regulations or provisions of the ordinance so that provisions of the ordinance upon a showing of all of the following:

Section 4. This ordinance becomes effective the day of enactment.						
This the	_day of	_, 2015.				

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2013

SESSION LAW 2013-126 HOUSE BILL 276

AN ACT TO CLARIFY AND MODERNIZE STATUTES REGARDING ZONING BOARDS OF ADJUSTMENT.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. G.S. 160A-388 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-388. Board of adjustment.

- <u>Composition and Duties. The city council zoning or unified development</u> ordinance may provide for the appointment and compensation of a board of adjustment consisting of five or more members, each to be appointed for three years. In appointing the original members of such board, members or in the filling of vacancies caused by the expiration of the terms of existing members, the city council may appoint certain members for less than three years to the end so that thereafter the terms of all members shall not expire at the same time. The council may, in its discretion, may appoint and provide compensation for alternate members to serve on the board in the absence or temporary disqualification of any regular member or to fill a vacancy pending appointment of a member. Alternate members shall be appointed for the same term, at the same time, and in the same manner as regular members. Each alternate member, while attending any regular or special meeting of the board and member serving on behalf of any regular member, shall have and may exercise member has all the powers and duties of a regular member. A city-The ordinance may designate a planning board or governing board to perform any or all-of the duties of a board of adjustment in addition to its other duties and may create and designate specialized boards to hear technical appeals.
- (a1) Provisions of Ordinance. The zoning or unified development ordinance may provide that the board of adjustment hear and decide special and conditional use permits, requests for variances, and appeals of decisions of administrative officials charged with enforcement of the ordinance. As used in this section, the term "decision" includes any final and binding order, requirement, or determination. The board of adjustment shall follow quasi-judicial procedures when deciding appeals and requests for variances and special and conditional use permits. The board shall hear and decide all matters upon which it is required to pass under any statute or ordinance that regulates land use or development.
- (a2) Notice of Hearing. Notice of hearings conducted pursuant to this section shall be mailed to the person or entity whose appeal, application, or request is the subject of the hearing; to the owner of the property that is the subject of the hearing if the owner did not initiate the hearing; to the owners of all parcels of land abutting the parcel of land that is the subject of the hearing; and to any other persons entitled to receive notice as provided by the zoning or unified development ordinance. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the city may rely on the county tax listing to determine owners of property entitled to mailed notice. The notice must be deposited in the mail at least 10 days, but not more than 25 days, prior to the date of the hearing. Within that same time period, the city shall also prominently post a notice of the hearing on the site that is the subject of the hearing or on an adjacent street or highway right-of-way.
- (b) A zoning ordinance or those provisions of a unified development ordinance adopted pursuant to the authority granted in this Part shall provide that the board of adjustment shall hear and decide appeals from and review any order, requirement, decision, or determination made by an administrative official charged with the enforcement of that ordinance. An appeal may be taken by any person aggrieved or by an officer, department, board, or bureau of the city. Appeals shall be taken within times prescribed by the board of adjustment by general rule,



by filing with the officer from whom the appeal is taken and with the board of adjustment a notice of appeal, specifying the grounds thereof. The officer from whom the appeal is taken shall forthwith transmit to the board all the papers constituting the record upon which the action appealed from was taken. An appeal stays all proceedings in furtherance of the action appealed from, unless the officer from whom the appeal is taken certifies to the board of adjustment, after notice of appeal has been filed with him, that because of facts stated in the certificate a stay would, in his opinion, cause imminent peril to life or property or that because the violation charged is transitory in nature a stay would seriously interfere with enforcement of the ordinance. In that case proceedings shall not be stayed except by a restraining order, which may be granted by the board of adjustment or by a court of record on application, on notice to the officer from whom the appeal is taken and on due cause shown. The board of adjustment shall fix a reasonable time for the hearing of the appeal, give due notice thereof to the parties, and decide it within a reasonable time. The board of adjustment may reverse or affirm, wholly or partly, or may modify the order, requirement, decision, or determination appealed from, and shall make any order, requirement, decision, or determination that in its opinion ought to be made in the premises. To this end the board shall have all the powers of the officer from whom the appeal is taken.

- (b1) Appeals. The board of adjustment shall hear and decide appeals decisions of administrative officials charged with enforcement of the zoning or unified development ordinance and may hear appeals arising out of any other ordinance that regulates land use or development, pursuant to all of the following:
 - Any person who has standing under G.S. 160A-393(d) or the city may appeal a decision to the board of adjustment. An appeal is taken by filing a notice of appeal with the city clerk. The notice of appeal shall state the grounds for the appeal.
 - (2) The official who made the decision shall give written notice to the owner of the property that is the subject of the decision and to the party who sought the decision, if different from the owner. The written notice shall be delivered by personal delivery, electronic mail, or by first-class mail.
 - (3) The owner or other party shall have 30 days from receipt of the written notice within which to file an appeal. Any other person with standing to appeal shall have 30 days from receipt from any source of actual or constructive notice of the decision within which to file an appeal.
 - It shall be conclusively presumed that all persons with standing to appeal have constructive notice of the decision from the date a sign containing the words "Zoning Decision" or "Subdivision Decision" in letters at least six inches high and identifying the means to contact an official for information about the decision is prominently posted on the property that is the subject of the decision, provided the sign remains on the property for at least 10 days. Posting of signs is not the only form of constructive notice. Any such posting shall be the responsibility of the landowner or applicant. Verification of the posting shall be provided to the official who made the decision. Absent an ordinance provision to the contrary, posting of signs shall not be required.
 - (5) The official who made the decision shall transmit to the board all documents and exhibits constituting the record upon which the action appealed from is taken. The official shall also provide a copy of the record to the appellant and to the owner of the property that is the subject of the appeal if the appellant is not the owner.
 - (6) An appeal of a notice of violation or other enforcement order stays enforcement of the action appealed from unless the official who made the decision certifies to the board of adjustment after notice of appeal has been filed that because of the facts stated in an affidavit, a stay would cause imminent peril to life or property or because the violation is transitory in nature, a stay would seriously interfere with enforcement of the ordinance. In that case, enforcement proceedings shall not be stayed except by a restraining order, which may be granted by a court. If enforcement proceedings are not stayed, the appellant may file with the official a request for an expedited hearing of the appeal, and the board of adjustment shall

meet to hear the appeal within 15 days after such a request is filed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, appeals of decisions granting a permit or otherwise affirming that a proposed use of property is consistent with the ordinance shall not stay the further review of an application for permits or permissions to use such property; in these situations the appellant may request and the board may grant a stay of a final decision of permit applications or building permits affected by the issue being appealed.

(7) Subject to the provisions of subdivision (6) of this subsection, the board of adjustment shall hear and decide the appeal within a reasonable time.

- (8) The official who made the decision shall be present at the hearing as a witness. The appellant shall not be limited at the hearing to matters stated in the notice of appeal. If any party or the city would be unduly prejudiced by the presentation of matters not presented in the notice of appeal, the board shall continue the hearing. The board of adjustment may reverse or affirm, wholly or partly, or may modify the decision appealed from and shall make any order, requirement, decision, or determination that ought to be made. The board shall have all the powers of the official who made the decision.
- When hearing an appeal pursuant to G.S. 160A-400.9(e) or any other appeal in the nature of certiorari, the hearing shall be based on the record below and the scope of review shall be as provided in G.S. 160A-393(k).
- (10) The parties to an appeal that has been made under this subsection may agree to mediation or other forms of alternative dispute resolution. The ordinance may set standards and procedures to facilitate and manage such voluntary alternative dispute resolution.
- (c) <u>Special and Conditional Use Permits.</u>—The <u>zoning</u>-ordinance may provide that the board of adjustment may <u>permit special exceptions</u> to the zoning regulations in specified elasses of cases or situations as provided in subsection (d) of this section, not including <u>variances in permitted uses</u>, and that the board may use <u>hear and decide</u> special and conditional use <u>permits</u>, all to be <u>permits</u> in accordance with <u>the principles</u>, <u>conditions</u>, <u>safeguards</u>, <u>standards</u> and procedures specified in the ordinance. <u>Reasonable and appropriate conditions</u> <u>may be imposed upon these permits</u>. The ordinance may also authorize the board to interpret zoning maps and pass upon disputed questions of lot lines or district boundary lines and similar questions as they arise in the administration of the ordinance. The board shall hear and decide all matters referred to it or upon which it is required to pass under any zoning ordinance.
- (d) <u>Variances.</u>—When practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships would result from carrying out the strict letter of a zoning ordinance, the board of adjustment shall have the power to-vary or modify any of the regulations or provisions of the ordinance so that provisions of the ordinance upon a showing of all of the following:
 - (1) Unnecessary hardship would result from the strict application of the ordinance. It shall not be necessary to demonstrate that, in the absence of the variance, no reasonable use can be made of the property.
 - (2) The hardship results from conditions that are peculiar to the property, such as location, size, or topography. Hardships resulting from personal circumstances, as well as hardships resulting from conditions that are common to the neighborhood or the general public, may not be the basis for granting a variance.
 - (3) The hardship did not result from actions taken by the applicant or the property owner. The act of purchasing property with knowledge that circumstances exist that may justify the granting of a variance shall not be regarded as a self-created hardship.
 - (4) The requested variance is consistent with the spirit spirit, purpose, and intent of the ordinance shall be observed, ordinance, such that public safety and welfare secured, safety is secured, and substantial justice done. is achieved.

No change in permitted uses may be authorized by variance. Appropriate conditions, which must be reasonably related to the condition or circumstance that gives rise to the need for a variance, may be imposed on any approval issued by the board. Appropriate conditions may be imposed on any variance, provided that the conditions are reasonably related to the variance. Any other ordinance that regulates land use or development may provide for variances consistent with the provisions of this subsection.

(e) <u>Voting.</u> –

- The concurring vote of four-fifths of the members of the board shall be necessary to reverse any order, requirement, decision, or determination of any administrative official charged with the enforcement of an ordinance adopted pursuant to this Part, or to decide in favor of the applicant any matter upon which it is required to pass under any ordinance, or to grant a variance from the provisions of the ordinance. grant a variance. A majority of the members shall be required to decide any other quasi-judicial matter or to determine an appeal made in the nature of certiorari. For the purposes of this subsection, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" members of the board for calculation of the requisite supermajority majority if there are no qualified alternates available to take the place of such members.
- (e1) A member of the board or any other body exercising quasi-judicial functions pursuant to this Article shall not participate in or vote on any quasi-judicial matter in a manner that would violate affected persons' constitutional rights to an impartial decision maker. Impermissible conflicts include, but are not limited to, a member having a fixed opinion prior to hearing the matter that is not susceptible to change, undisclosed ex parte communications, a close familial, business, or other associational relationship with an affected person, or a financial interest in the outcome of the matter. If an objection is raised to a member's participation and that member does not recuse himself or herself, the remaining members shall by majority vote rule on the objection.

(e2) Quasi-Judicial Decisions and Judicial Review. –

- The board shall determine contested facts and make its decision within a reasonable time. Every quasi-judicial decision shall be based upon competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record. Each quasi-judicial decision shall be reduced to writing and reflect the board's determination of contested facts and their application to the applicable standards. The written decision shall be signed by the chair or other duly authorized member of the board. A quasi-judicial decision is effective upon filing the written decision with the clerk to the board or such other office or official as the ordinance specifies. The decision of the board shall be delivered by personal delivery, electronic mail, or by first-class mail to the applicant, property owner, and to any person who has submitted a written request for a copy, prior to the date the decision becomes effective. The person required to provide notice shall certify that proper notice has been made.
- (2) Every quasi-judicial decision of the board shall be subject to review by the superior court by proceedings in the nature of certiorari. Any certiorari pursuant to G.S. 160A-393. A petition for review by the superior court shall be filed with the clerk of superior court within by the later of 30 days after the decision of the board is filed in such office as the ordinance specifies, is effective or after a written copy thereof is given in accordance with subdivision (1) of this subsection. When first-class mail is used to deliver notice, three days shall be added to the time to file the petition delivered to every aggrieved party who has filed a written request for such copy with the secretary or chairman of the board at the time of its hearing of the case, whichever is later. The decision of the board may be delivered to the aggrieved party either by personal service or by registered mail or certified mail return receipt requested.
- (f) Oaths. The chairman chair of the board of adjustment or any member temporarily acting as chairman, chair and the clerk to the board areis authorized in his official capacity to administer oaths to witnesses in any matter coming before the board. Any person who, while under oath during a proceeding before the board of adjustment, willfully swears falsely is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor.
- (g) <u>Subpoenas.</u>—The board of <u>adjustment adjustment through the chair, or in the chair's absence anyone acting as chair, may subpoena witnesses and compel the production of evidence. To request issuance of a subpoena, persons with standing under G.S. 160A-393(d)</u>

Page 4 Session Law 2013-126 House Bill 276

may make a written request to the chair explaining why it is necessary for certain witnesses or evidence to be compelled. The chair shall issue requested subpoenas he or she determines to be relevant, reasonable in nature and scope, and not oppressive. The chair shall rule on any motion to quash or modify a subpoena. Decisions regarding subpoenas made by the chair may be appealed to the full board of adjustment. If a person fails or refuses to obey a subpoena issued pursuant to this subsection, the board of adjustment or the party seeking the subpoena may apply to the General Court of Justice for an order requiring that its order subpoena be obeyed, and the court shall have jurisdiction to issue these orders after notice to all proper parties. No testimony of any witness before the board of adjustment pursuant to a subpoena issued in exercise of the power conferred by this subsection may be used against the witness in the trial of any civil or criminal action other than a prosecution for false swearing committed on the examination. Any person who, while under oath during a proceeding before the board of adjustment, willfully swears falsely, is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor."

SECTION 2.(a) G.S. 160A-388(e1) is recodified as G.S. 160A-388(e)(2).

SECTION 2.(b) G.S. 160A-388(e)(2), as recodified by Section 2(a) of this act, reads as rewritten:

"(2) A member of the any board or any other body exercising quasi-judicial functions pursuant to this Article shall not participate in or vote on any quasi-judicial matter in a manner that would violate affected persons' constitutional rights to an impartial decision maker. Impermissible eonflicts violations of due process include, but are not limited to, a member having a fixed opinion prior to hearing the matter that is not susceptible to change, undisclosed ex parte communications, a close familial, business, or other associational relationship with an affected person, or a financial interest in the outcome of the matter. If an objection is raised to a member's participation and that member does not recuse himself or herself, the remaining members shall by majority vote rule on the objection."

SECTION 3.(a) G.S. 153A-345 is repealed except that any local modification to that section in effect on September 30, 2013, shall be treated as a local modification to G.S. 160A-388 from October 1, 2013, through June 30, 2015.

SECTION 3.(b) Article 18 of Chapter 153A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read:

"§ 153A-345.1. Board of adjustment.

- (a) The provisions of G.S. 160A-388 are applicable to counties.
- (b) For the purposes of this section, as used in G.S. 160A-388, the term "city council" is deemed to refer to the board of county commissioners, and the terms "city" or "municipality" are deemed to refer to the county.
- (c) If a board of county commissioners does not zone the entire territorial jurisdiction of the county, each designated zoning area shall, if practicable, have at least one resident as a member of the board of adjustment; otherwise, the provisions of G.S. 153A-25 regarding qualifications for appointive office shall apply to board of adjustment appointments."

SECTION 4. G.S. 160A-381(c) reads as rewritten:

"(c) The regulations may also provide that the board of adjustment, the planning board, or the city council may issue special use permits or conditional use permits in the classes of cases or situations and in accordance with the principles, conditions, safeguards, and procedures specified therein and may impose reasonable and appropriate conditions and safeguards upon these permits. When deciding special use permits or conditional use permits, the city council or planning board shall follow quasi-judicial procedures. Notice of hearings on special or conditional use permit applications shall be as provided in G.S. 160A-388(a2). No vote greater than a majority vote shall be required for the city council or planning board to issue such permits. For the purposes of this section, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" for calculation of the requisite majority. Every such decision of the city council or planning board shall be subject to review of the superior court in the nature of certiorari in accordance with G.S. 160A-388.

Where appropriate, such conditions may include requirements that street and utility rights-of-way be dedicated to the public and that provision be made of recreational space and facilities."

SECTION 5. G.S. 153A-340(c1) reads as rewritten:

"(c1) The regulations may also provide that the board of adjustment, the planning board, or the board of commissioners may issue special use permits or conditional use permits in the classes of cases or situations and in accordance with the principles, conditions, safeguards, and procedures specified therein and may impose reasonable and appropriate conditions and safeguards upon these permits. Where appropriate, the conditions may include requirements that street and utility rights-of-way be dedicated to the public and that recreational space be provided. When deciding special use permits or conditional use permits, the board of county commissioners or planning board shall follow quasi-judicial procedures. Notice of hearings on special or conditional use permit applications shall be as provided in G.S. 160A-388(a2). No vote greater than a majority vote shall be required for the board of county commissioners or planning board to issue such permits. For the purposes of this section, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" for calculation of the requisite majority. Every such decision of the board of county commissioners or planning board shall be subject to review of the superior court in the nature of certiorari consistent with G.S. 153A-345."

SECTION 6. G.S. 153A-44 reads as rewritten:

"§ 153A-44. Members excused from voting.

The board may excuse a member from voting, but only upon questions involving the member's own financial interest or official conduct or on matters on which the member is prohibited from voting under G.S. 14-234, 153A-340(g), or 153A-345(e1).—160A-388(e)(2). For purposes of this section, the question of the compensation and allowances of members of the board does not involve a member's own financial interest or official conduct."

SECTION 7. G.S. 153A-336(a) reads as rewritten:

"(a) When a subdivision ordinance adopted under this Part provides that the decision whether to approve or deny a preliminary or final subdivision plat is to be made by a board of commissioners or a planning board, other than a planning board comprised solely of members of a county planning staff, and the ordinance authorizes the board of commissioners or planning board to make a quasi-judicial decision in deciding whether to approve the subdivision plat, then that quasi-judicial decision of the board of commissioners or planning board shall be subject to review by the superior court by proceedings in the nature of certiorari. The provisions of G.S. 153A-340(f), 153A-345(e2),160A-388(e2)(2), and 153A-349 shall apply to those appeals."

SECTION 8. G.S. 153A-340(c1) reads as rewritten:

"(c1) The regulations may also provide that the board of adjustment, the planning board, or the board of commissioners may issue special use permits or conditional use permits in the classes of cases or situations and in accordance with the principles, conditions, safeguards, and procedures specified therein and may impose reasonable and appropriate conditions and safeguards upon these permits. Where appropriate, the conditions may include requirements that street and utility rights-of-way be dedicated to the public and that recreational space be provided. When deciding special use permits or conditional use permits, the board of county commissioners or planning board shall follow quasi-judicial procedures. No vote greater than a majority vote shall be required for the board of county commissioners or planning board to issue such permits. For the purposes of this section, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered "members of the board" for calculation of the requisite majority. Every such decision of the board of county commissioners or planning board shall be subject to review of the superior court in the nature of certiorari consistent with G.S. 153A-345. G.S. 160A-388."

SECTION 9. G.S. 153A-349(c) is repealed.

SECTION 10. G.S. 153A-349.8(c) reads as rewritten:

"(c) If the developer fails to cure the material breach within the time given, then the local government unilaterally may terminate or modify the development agreement; provided, the notice of termination or modification may be appealed to the board of adjustment in the manner provided by G.S. 153A 345(b). G.S. 160A-388(b1)."

SECTION 11. G.S. 160A-75 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-75. Voting.

No member shall be excused from voting except upon matters involving the consideration of the member's own financial interest or official conduct or on matters on which the member is prohibited from voting under G.S. 14-234, 160A-381(d), or 160A-388(e1).160A-388(e)(2). In all other cases, a failure to vote by a member who is physically present in the council chamber,

or who has withdrawn without being excused by a majority vote of the remaining members present, shall be recorded as an affirmative vote. The question of the compensation and allowances of members of the council is not a matter involving a member's own financial interest or official conduct.

An affirmative vote equal to a majority of all the members of the council not excused from voting on the question in issue, including the mayor's vote in case of an equal division, shall be required to adopt an ordinance, take any action having the effect of an ordinance, authorize or commit the expenditure of public funds, or make, ratify, or authorize any contract on behalf of the city. In addition, no ordinance nor any action having the effect of any ordinance may be finally adopted on the date on which it is introduced except by an affirmative vote equal to or greater than two thirds of all the actual membership of the council, excluding vacant seats and not including the mayor unless the mayor has the right to vote on all questions before the council. For purposes of this section, an ordinance shall be deemed to have been introduced on the date the subject matter is first voted on by the council."

SECTION 12. G.S. 160A-377(a) reads as rewritten:

"(a) When a subdivision ordinance adopted under this Part provides that the decision whether to approve or deny a preliminary or final subdivision plat is to be made by a city council or a planning board, other than a planning board comprised solely of members of a city planning staff, and the ordinance authorizes the council or planning board to make a quasi-judicial decision in deciding whether to approve the subdivision plat, then that quasi-judicial decision of the council or planning board shall be subject to review by the superior court by proceedings in the nature of certiorari. The provisions of G.S. 160A-381(c), 160A-388(e2),160A-388(e2)(2), and 160A-393 shall apply to those appeals."

SECTION 13. G.S. 160A-393(c)(3) reads as rewritten:

"(3) Set forth with particularity the allegations and facts, if any, in support of allegations that, as the result of impermissible conflict as described in G.S. 160A-388(e1),G.S. 160A-388(e)(2), or locally adopted conflict rules, the decision-making body was not sufficiently impartial to comply with due process principles."

SECTION 14. G.S. 160A-393(j)(2) reads as rewritten:

"(2) Whether, as a result of impermissible conflict as described in G.S. 160A-388(e1),G.S. 160A-388(e)(2), or locally adopted conflict rules, the decision-making body was not sufficiently impartial to comply with due process principles."

SECTION 15. This act becomes effective October 1, 2013, and applies to actions taken on or after that date by any board of adjustment.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 10th day of June, 2013.

- s/ Daniel J. Forest President of the Senate
- s/ Thom Tillis Speaker of the House of Representatives
- s/ Pat McCrory Governor

Approved 4:20 p.m. this 19th day of June, 2013